

# Measure solutions to the conservative renewal equation

Pierre Gabriel

► **To cite this version:**

Pierre Gabriel. Measure solutions to the conservative renewal equation. Doctoral. Moka, Mauritius. 2016, pp.12. cel-01499728v2

**HAL Id: cel-01499728**

**<https://cel.archives-ouvertes.fr/cel-01499728v2>**

Submitted on 2 Mar 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



**CIMPA Research School**  
Mathematical models in biology and medicine  
Moka, Mauritius  
2016, December 5-16

---

PIERRE GABRIEL  
University of Versailles, France

# Measure solutions to the conservative renewal equation

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Some recalls about measure theory</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Definition of a measure solution</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>The dual renewal equation</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Existence and uniqueness of a measure solution</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Exponential convergence to the invariant measure</b>	<b>10</b>

# Introduction

In this mini-course we are interested in the conservative renewal equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t}(t, a) + \frac{\partial n}{\partial a}(t, a) + \beta(a)n(t, a) = 0, & t, a > 0, \\ n(t, 0) = \int_0^\infty \beta(a)n(t, a) da, & t > 0, \\ n(0, a) = n^{\text{in}}(a), & a \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

It is a standard model of population dynamics, sometimes referred to as the McKendrick-von Foerster model. The population is structured by an age variable  $a \geq 0$  which grows at the same speed as time and is reset to zero according to the rate  $\beta(a)$ . It is used for instance as a model of cell division, the age of the cells being the time elapsed since the mitosis of their mother. Suppose we follow one cell in a cell line over time and whenever a division occurs we continue to follow only one of the two daughter cells. Equation (1) prescribes the time evolution of the probability distribution  $n(t, a)$  of the cell to be at age  $a$  at time  $t$ , starting with an initial probability distribution  $n^{\text{in}}$ . Integrating (formally) the equation with respect to age we get the conservation property

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty n(t, a) da = 0$$

which ensures that, if  $n^{\text{in}}$  is a probability distribution (*i.e.*  $\int_0^\infty n^{\text{in}} = 1$ ), then  $n(t, \cdot)$  is a probability distribution for any time  $t \geq 0$ . It is also worth noticing that Equation (1) admits stationary solutions which are explicitly given by

$$N(a) = N(0) e^{-\int_0^a \beta(u) du}.$$

The problem of asymptotic behavior for Equation (1) consists in investigating the convergence of any solution to a stationary one when time goes to infinity.

The goal of the mini-course is to define measure solutions to Equation (1), prove existence and uniqueness of such solutions, and demonstrate their exponential convergence to the equilibrium. Considering measure solutions instead of  $L^1$  solutions (*i.e.* probability density functions) presents the crucial advantage to authorize Dirac masses as initial data. This is very important for the biological problem since it corresponds to the case when the age of the cell at the initial time is known with accuracy.

# 1 Some recalls about measure theory

We first recall some classical results about measure theory and more particularly about its functional point of view. We endow  $\mathbb{R}_+$  with its standard topology and the associated Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra. We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the set of signed Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Theorem** (Jordan decomposition). *Any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  admits a unique decomposition of the form  $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$  where  $\mu_+$  and  $\mu_-$  are finite positive Borel measures which are mutually singular. The positive measure  $|\mu| = \mu_+ + \mu_-$  is called the total variation measure of the measure  $\mu$ . We call total variation of  $\mu$  the (finite) quantity*

$$\|\mu\|_{TV} := |\mu|(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mu_+(\mathbb{R}_+) + \mu_-(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

We denote by  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the vector space of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Endowed with the norm  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{x \geq 0} |f(x)|$  it is a Banach space. We also consider the closed subspace  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  of continuous functions which tend to zero at infinity. To any  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we can associate a continuous linear form  $T_\mu \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  defined by

$$T_\mu : f \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f \, d\mu.$$

The continuity is ensured by the inequality

$$|T_\mu f| \leq \|\mu\|_{TV} \|f\|_\infty.$$

The following theorem ensures that the application  $\mu \mapsto T_\mu$  is an isometry from  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  onto  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)'$ , where  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  is endowed with the dual norm

$$\|T\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)' } := \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} |Tf|.$$

**Theorem** (Riesz representation). *For any  $T \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  there exists a unique  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $T = T_\mu$ . Additionally we have  $\|T\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)' } = \|\mu\|_{TV}$ .*

This theorem ensures that  $(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+), \|\cdot\|_{TV})$  is a Banach space. It also ensures the existence of an isometric inclusion  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)' \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)'$ . Notice that this inclusion is strict: there exist nontrivial continuous linear forms on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  which are trivial on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Such a continuous linear form can be built for instance by using the Hahn-Banach theorem to extend the application which associates, to continuous functions which have a finite limit at infinity, the value of this limit.

More precisely the mapping  $\Psi : C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)' \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  defined by  $\Psi(T) = T$  is surjective (due to the Riesz representation theorem). So  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  is isomorphic to  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)' / \text{Ker } \Psi$ , i.e. for all  $T \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  there exists a unique decomposition  $T = \mu + L$  with  $\mu \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)' = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $L \in \text{Ker } \Psi$ . Additionally  $T \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  if and only if for all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have  $Tf = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(f_n)$  where  $f_n$  is defined for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq n, \\ (n+1-x)f(x) & \text{if } n < x < n+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \geq n+1. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Abusing notations, we will now denote for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$

$$\mu f := T_\mu f = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f \, d\mu.$$

We end by recalling two notions of convergence in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  which are weaker than the convergence in norm.

**Definition** (Weak convergence). *A sequence  $(\mu^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  converges narrowly (resp. weak\*) to  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu^n f = \mu f$$

for all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  (resp. for all  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ).

## 2 Definition of a measure solution

Before giving the definition of a measure solution to Equation (1), we need to state the assumptions on the division rate  $\beta$ . We assume that  $\beta$  is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  which satisfies

$$\exists a_*, \beta_{\min}, \beta_{\max} > 0, \quad \forall a \geq 0, \quad \beta_{\min} \mathbb{1}_{[a_*, \infty)}(a) \leq \beta(a) \leq \beta_{\max}. \quad (3)$$

We explain now how we extend the classical sense of Equation (1) to measures. Assume that  $n(t, a) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+) \cap C(\mathbb{R}_+; L^1(\mathbb{R}_+))$  satisfies (1) in the classical sense, and let  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the space of continuously differentiable functions with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then we have after integration of (1) multiplied by  $f$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} n(t, a) f(a) da = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} n^{\text{in}}(a) f(a) da + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} n(s, a) (f'(a) - \beta(a) f(a) + \beta(a) f(0)) da ds.$$

This motivates the definition of a measure solution to Equation (1). From now on we will denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the operator defined on  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \mid f, f' \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)\}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}f(a) := f'(a) + \beta(a)(f(0) - f(a)).$$

**Definition 1.** A family  $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0} \subset \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is called a measure solution to Equation (1) with initial data  $n^{\text{in}} = \mu^{\text{in}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  if the mapping  $t \mapsto \mu_t$  is narrowly continuous and for all  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\mu_t f = \mu^{\text{in}} f + \int_0^t \mu_s \mathcal{A}f ds, \quad (4)$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(a) d\mu_t(a) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(a) d\mu^{\text{in}}(a) + \int_0^t \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} [f'(a) - \beta(a) f(a) + \beta(a) f(0)] d\mu_s(a) \right) ds.$$

**Proposition 2.** If  $t \mapsto \mu_t$  is a solution to Equation (1) in the sense of the definition above, then for all  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the function  $t \mapsto \mu_t f$  is of class  $C^1$  and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}(\mu_t f) = \mu_t \mathcal{A}f, & t \geq 0 \\ \mu_0 = \mu^{\text{in}}. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Reciprocally any solution to (5) satisfies (4).

*Proof.* We start by checking that (4) is also satisfied for  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $t > 0$  and  $\rho \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  a nonincreasing function which satisfies  $\rho(a) = 1$  for  $a \leq 0$  and  $\rho(a) = 0$  for  $a \geq 1$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \geq 0$  define  $f_n(a) = \rho(a - n)f(a)$ . For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfies (4) and it remains to check that we can pass to the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By monotone convergence we have  $\mu_t f_n \rightarrow \mu_t f$  and  $\mu^{\text{in}} f_n \rightarrow \mu^{\text{in}} f$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Additionally  $\|\mathcal{A}f_n\|_\infty \leq (\|\rho'\|_\infty + 2\beta_{\max})\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty$  so by dominated convergence we have  $\mu_s \mathcal{A}f_n \rightarrow \mu_s \mathcal{A}f$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $s \in [0, t]$ , and then  $\int_0^t \mu_s \mathcal{A}f_n ds \rightarrow \int_0^t \mu_s \mathcal{A}f ds$ .

For  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have  $\mathcal{A}f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  so  $s \mapsto \mu_s \mathcal{A}f$  is continuous and using (4) we deduce that

$$\frac{\mu_{t+h} f - \mu_t f}{h} = \frac{1}{h} \int_t^{t+h} \mu_s \mathcal{A}f ds \rightarrow \mu_t \mathcal{A}f \quad \text{when } h \rightarrow 0.$$

□

### 3 The dual renewal equation

To build a measure solution to Equation (1) we use a duality approach. The idea is to start with the dual problem: find a solution to the dual renewal equation

$$\partial_t f(t, a) - \partial_a f(t, a) + \beta(a)f(t, a) = \beta(a)f(t, 0), \quad f(0, a) = f_0(a). \quad (6)$$

As for the direct problem, we first give a definition of weak solutions for (6) by using the method of characteristics. Assume that  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  satisfies (6) in the classical sense. Then easy computations show that for all  $a \geq 0$  the function  $\psi(t) = f(t, a - t)$  is solution to the ordinary differential equation  $\psi'(t) + \beta(a - t)\psi(t) = 0$ . After integration we get that  $f$  satisfies

$$f(t, a - t) = f_0(a)e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a-u)du} + \int_0^t \beta(a - \tau)e^{-\int_\tau^t \beta(a-u)du} f(\tau, 0) d\tau$$

and the change of variable  $a \leftarrow a + t$  leads to the following definition.

**Definition 3.** We say that  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  is a solution to (6) when, for all  $t, a \geq 0$ ,

$$f(t, a) = f_0(a + t)e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u)du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + \tau) f(t - \tau, 0) d\tau \quad (7)$$

$$= f_0(a + t)e^{-\int_a^{a+t} \beta(u)du} + \int_a^{a+t} e^{-\int_a^\tau \beta(u)du} \beta(\tau) f(a + t - \tau, 0) d\tau. \quad (8)$$

Formulations (7) and (8) are the same up to changes of variables, but both will be useful in the sequel.

**Theorem 4.** For all  $f_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , there exists a unique  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  solution to (6). Additionally

$$\text{for all } t \geq 0, \|f(t, \cdot)\|_\infty \leq \|f_0\|_\infty,$$

$$\text{if } f_0 \geq 0 \text{ then for all } t \geq 0, f(t, \cdot) \geq 0,$$

$$\text{if } f_0 \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \text{ then for all } T > 0, f \in C_b^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+).$$

*Proof.* To prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution we use the Banach fixed point theorem. For  $f_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we define the operator  $\Gamma : C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  by

$$\Gamma f(t, a) = f_0(t + a)e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u)du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + \tau) f(t - \tau, 0) d\tau.$$

We easily have

$$\|\Gamma f - \Gamma g\|_\infty \leq T \|\beta\|_\infty \|f - g\|_\infty,$$

so  $\Gamma$  is a contraction if  $T < \|\beta\|_\infty^{-1}$  and there is a unique fixed point in  $C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ . Additionally, since  $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f_0\|_\infty$  implies

$$\|\Gamma f\|_\infty \leq \|f_0\|_\infty \left( e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u)du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + \tau) d\tau \right) = \|f_0\|_\infty,$$

the closed ball of radius  $\|f_0\|_\infty$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$  and the unique fixed point necessarily belongs to this ball. Iterating on  $[T, 2T]$ ,  $[2T, 3T]$ ,  $\dots$ , we obtain a unique global solution in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ , and this solution satisfies  $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f_0\|_\infty$ . Since  $\Gamma$  preserves non-negativity if  $f_0 \geq 0$ , we get similarly that  $f$  is nonnegative when  $f_0$  is nonnegative.

If  $f_0 \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we can do the fixed point in  $C_b^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C_b^1} := \|f\|_\infty + \|\partial_t f\|_\infty + \|\partial_a f\|_\infty.$$

More precisely we do it in the closed and  $\Gamma$ -invariant subset  $\{f \in C_b^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+), f(0, \cdot) = f_0\}$ . We have

$$\Gamma f(t, a) = f_0(t+a)e^{-\int_a^{t+a} \beta(u)du} + \int_a^{t+a} e^{-\int_a^\tau \beta(u)du} \beta(\tau) f(t-\tau+a, 0) d\tau$$

so by differentiation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Gamma f(t, a) &= [f_0'(t+a) - \beta(t+a)f_0(t+a)]e^{-\int_a^{t+a} \beta(u)du} \\ &\quad + e^{-\int_a^{t+a} \beta(u)du} \beta(t+a)f_0(0) + \int_a^{t+a} e^{-\int_a^\tau \beta(u)du} \beta(\tau) \partial_t f(t-\tau+a, 0) d\tau \\ &= \mathcal{A}f_0(t+a)e^{-\int_a^{t+a} \beta(u)du} + \int_a^{t+a} e^{-\int_a^\tau \beta(u)du} \beta(\tau) \partial_t f(t-\tau+a, 0) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a \Gamma f(t, a) &= [f_0'(t+a) + (\beta(a) - \beta(t+a))f_0(t+a)]e^{-\int_a^{t+a} \beta(u)du} + e^{-\int_a^{t+a} \beta(u)du} \beta(t+a)f_0(0) - \beta(a)f(t, 0) \\ &\quad + \int_a^{t+a} \beta(a)e^{-\int_a^\tau \beta(u)du} \beta(\tau) f(t-\tau+a, 0) d\tau + \int_a^{t+a} e^{-\int_a^\tau \beta(u)du} \beta(\tau) \partial_t f(t-\tau+a, 0) d\tau \\ &= [f_0'(t+a) + (\beta(a) - \beta(t+a))(f_0(t+a) - f_0(0))]e^{-\int_a^{t+a} \beta(u)du} \\ &\quad + \int_a^{t+a} e^{-\int_a^\tau \beta(u)du} (\beta(\tau) - \beta(a)) \partial_t f(t-\tau+a, 0) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$\|\Gamma f - \Gamma g\|_{C_b^1} \leq T \|\beta\|_\infty [\|f - g\|_\infty + 2\|\partial_t(f - g)\|_\infty] \leq 2T \|\beta\|_\infty \|f - g\|_{C_b^1}.$$

We conclude that if  $f_0 \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  then the unique solution belongs to  $C_b^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  for all  $T > 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $f_0, g_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that*

$$\exists A > 0, \forall a \in [0, A], f_0(a) = g_0(a)$$

*and let  $f$  and  $g$  the solutions to Equation (6) with initial distributions  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  respectively. Then for all  $T \in (0, A)$  we have*

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \forall a \in [0, A - T], \quad f(t, a) = g(t, a).$$

*Proof.* The closed subspace  $\{f \in C_b([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}_+), \forall t \in [0, T], \forall a \in [0, A - T], f(t, a) = g(t, a)\}$  is invariant under  $\Gamma$  if  $f_0$  satisfies  $\forall a \in [0, A], f_0(a) = g_0(a)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *The family of operators  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  defined on  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  by  $M_t f_0 = f(t, \cdot)$  is a semigroup, i.e.*

$$M_0 f = f \quad \text{and} \quad M_{t+s} f = M_t(M_s f).$$

*Additionally it is a positive and conservative contraction, i.e for all  $t \geq 0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} f \geq 0 &\implies M_t f \geq 0, \\ M_t \mathbf{1} &= \mathbf{1}, \\ \forall f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+), &\quad \|M_t f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

*Finally it satisfies, for all  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\partial_t M_t f = M_t \mathcal{A}f = \mathcal{A}M_t f.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , fix  $s \geq 0$ , and define  $g(t, a) = M_t(M_s f)(a)$  and  $h(t, a) = M_{t+s}f(a)$ . We have  $g(0, a) = h(0, a)$  and

$$g(t, a) = M_s f(t+a) e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u) du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau) g(t-\tau, 0) d\tau$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h(t, a) &= f(t+s+a) e^{-\int_0^{t+s} \beta(a+u) du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau) h(t-\tau, 0) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+s} e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau) M_{t+s-\tau} f(0) d\tau \\ &= f(t+s+a) e^{-\int_0^{t+s} \beta(a+u) du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau) h(t-\tau, 0) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^s e^{-\int_0^{\tau+t} \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau+t) M_{s-\tau} f(0) d\tau \\ &= \left[ f(t+a+s) e^{-\int_0^s \beta(t+a+u) du} + \int_0^s e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(t+a+u) du} \beta(t+a+\tau) M_{s-\tau} f(0) d\tau \right] e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u) du} \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau) h(t-\tau, 0) d\tau \\ &= M_s f(t+a) e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u) du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau) h(t-\tau, 0) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of the fixed point we deduce that  $g(t, a) = h(t, a)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The conservativeness  $M_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  is straightforward computations and the positivity and the contraction property follow immediately from Theorem 4.

Now consider  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . From (9) we deduce that  $\partial_t M_t f$  is the fixed point of  $\Gamma$  with initial data  $\mathcal{A}f$ . By uniqueness of the fixed point we deduce that  $\partial_t M_t f = M_t \mathcal{A}f$ . But since  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $M_t f$  satisfies (6) in the classical sense, i.e.  $\partial_t M_t f(a) = \mathcal{A}M_t f(a)$ , and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Remark.** The semigroup  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is not strongly continuous, i.e. we do not have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|M_t f - f\|_\infty = 0$  for all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . However the restriction of the semigroup to the invariant subspace of bounded and uniformly continuous functions is strongly continuous. Indeed for all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and all  $t, a \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |M_t f(a) - f(a)| &= \left| f(a+t) e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u) du} - f(a) + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u) du} \beta(a+\tau) M_{t-\tau} f(0) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq |f(a+t) - f(a)| + \|f\|_\infty (1 - e^{-\|\beta\|_\infty t}) + \|\beta\|_\infty \|f\|_\infty t, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sup_{a \geq 0} |f(a+t) - f(a)| \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$  if (and only if)  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

**Proposition 7.** Assume that  $\beta$  satisfies (3) and is additionally uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Then the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is the generator of the semigroup  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  in the sense that

$$\forall f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \left\| \frac{1}{t} (M_t f - f) - \mathcal{A}f \right\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } t \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $\beta$  is uniformly continuous, then for all  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the function  $\mathcal{A}f$  is uniformly continuous. Using the strong continuity of the semigroup  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on the space of bounded and uniformly continuous functions we deduce that  $\|M_t \mathcal{A}f - \mathcal{A}f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow 0$ . As a consequence we have, using that  $\partial_t M_t f = M_t \mathcal{A}f$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{t} (M_t f - f) - \mathcal{A}f \right\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \|M_s \mathcal{A}f - \mathcal{A}f\|_\infty ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } t \rightarrow 0.$$

$\square$



## 4 Existence and uniqueness of a measure solution

We are now ready to prove the existence and uniqueness of a measure solution to Equation (1). We define the dual semigroup  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+) = C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)'$  by

$$\mu M_t : f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+) \mapsto \mu(M_t f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} M_t f \, d\mu.$$

In other words we have by definition

$$\forall f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad (\mu M_t) f = \mu(M_t f), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f \, d(\mu M_t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} M_t f \, d\mu.$$

The following lemma ensures that this identity is also satisfied for  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . From now on we will denote without ambiguity the quantity  $(\mu M_t) f = \mu(M_t f)$  by  $\mu M_t f$ .

**Lemma 8.** *For all  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  we have  $(\mu M_t) f = \mu(M_t f)$ .*

*Proof.* The identity is true by definition for any  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $f_n$  as defined in (2). The sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  lies in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  so  $(\mu M_t) f_n = \mu(M_t f_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By monotone convergence we clearly have  $(\mu M_t) f_n \rightarrow (\mu M_t) f$ . We will prove that  $\mu(M_t f_n) \rightarrow \mu(M_t f)$  by dominated convergence. First by positivity of  $M_t$  we have  $|M_t f_n(a)| \leq M_t |f_n|(a) \leq M_t |f|(a) \leq \|f\|_\infty$ . Additionally since  $f_n(a) = f(a)$  for all  $a \in [0, n]$ , we have from Lemma 5 that for all  $a \in [0, n - t]$ ,  $M_t f_n(a) = M_t f(a)$ , so for all  $a \geq 0$  we have  $M_t f_n(a) \rightarrow M_t f(a)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *The left semigroup  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a positive and conservative contraction, i.e for all  $t \geq 0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \geq 0 &\implies \mu M_t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mu M_t\|_{TV} = \|\mu\|_{TV}, \\ \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+), &\quad \|\mu M_t\|_{TV} \leq \|\mu\|_{TV}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It is a consequence of Proposition 6. If  $\mu \geq 0$ , then for any  $f \geq 0$  we have  $(\mu M_t) f = \mu(M_t f) \geq 0$ . Additionally  $\|\mu M_t\|_{TV} = (\mu M_t) \mathbf{1} = \mu(M_t \mathbf{1}) = \mu \mathbf{1} = \|\mu\|_{TV}$ . For  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  not necessarily positive, we have

$$\|\mu M_t\|_{TV} = \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} |\mu M_t f| \leq \sup_{\|g\|_\infty \leq 1} |\mu g| = \|\mu\|_{TV}. \quad \square$$

**Remark.** *The left semigroup is not strongly continuous, i.e. we do not have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|\mu M_t - \mu\|_{TV} = 0$  for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . This is due to the non continuity of the transport semigroup for the total variation distance: for instance for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\|\delta_{a+t} - \delta_a\|_{TV} = 2$  for any  $t > 0$ . But the left semigroup is weak\* continuous. This is an immediate consequence of the strong continuity of the right semigroup on the space of bounded and uniformly continuous functions (which contains  $C_0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ). The left semigroup is even narrowly continuous as we will see in the proof of the next theorem.*

**Theorem 10.** *For any  $\mu^{\text{in}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , the orbit map  $t \mapsto \mu^{\text{in}} M_t$  is the unique measure solution to Equation (1).*

*Proof.* We will use Proposition 6 at different places.

*Existence.* Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . We start by checking that  $t \mapsto \mu M_t$  is narrowly continuous. Let  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Due to the semigroup property, it is sufficient to check that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu M_t f = \mu f$ . But from (7) we have

$$|\mu M_t f - \mu f| \leq \underbrace{\left| \mu f - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} f(a+t) e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u) du} \, d\mu(a) \right|}_{\xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0 \text{ by dominated convergence}} + \underbrace{\left| \mu \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(\cdot+u) du} \beta(\cdot+\tau) M_{t-\tau} f(0) \, d\tau \right|}_{\leq \|\mu\|_{TV} \|\beta\|_\infty \|f\|_\infty t \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} 0}.$$

Now we check that  $(\mu M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies (4). Let  $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Using the identity  $\partial_t M_t f = M_t \mathcal{A}f$  and the Fubini's theorem we have

$$(\mu M_t)f = \mu(M_t f) = \mu f + \mu \int_0^t \partial_s M_s f \, ds = \mu f + \mu \int_0^t M_s \mathcal{A}f \, ds = \mu f + \int_0^t (\mu M_s) \mathcal{A}f \, ds.$$

Alternatively we can prove that  $\mu M_t$  satisfies (5). For  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $t \geq 0$  we can write

$$\frac{1}{h}(\mu M_{t+h}f - \mu M_t f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{1}{h}(M_h M_t f - M_t f) \, d\mu \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} M_t \mathcal{A}f \, d\mu = \mu M_t \mathcal{A}f$$

by dominated convergence, since for all  $h > 0$  and all  $a \geq 0$  we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{h}(M_{t+h}f(a) - M_t f(a)) \right| \leq \sup_{s \geq t} \|M_s \mathcal{A}f\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{A}f\|_\infty.$$

*Uniqueness.* We want to check that if  $t \mapsto \mu_t$  is a measure solution to Equation (1), then  $\mu_t = \mu^{\text{in}} M_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . For  $t > 0$  fixed and  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  consider the mapping

$$s \mapsto \int_0^s \mu_\tau M_{t-s} f \, d\tau$$

defined on  $[0, t]$  and compute its derivative. For  $0 < s < s+h < t$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h} \left[ \int_0^{s+h} \mu_\tau M_{t-s-h} f \, d\tau - \int_0^s \mu_\tau M_{t-s} f \, d\tau \right] = \\ \frac{1}{h} \int_s^{s+h} \mu_\tau M_{t-s} f \, d\tau + \int_s^{s+h} \mu_\tau \frac{M_{t-s-h} f - M_{t-s} f}{h} \, d\tau + \int_0^s \mu_\tau \frac{M_{t-s-h} f - M_{t-s} f}{h} \, d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the first term is a consequence of the narrow continuity of  $t \mapsto \mu_t$

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_s^{s+h} \mu_\tau M_{t-s} f \, d\tau \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} \mu_s M_{t-s} f.$$

For the second term we use that  $t \mapsto \mu_t$  is locally bounded for the total variation norm due to the uniform boundedness principle, because it is weak\*-continuous, and we get

$$\left| \int_s^{s+h} \mu_\tau \frac{M_{t-s-h} f - M_{t-s} f}{h} \, d\tau \right| \leq h \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|\mu_\tau\|_{TV} \|\mathcal{A}f\|_\infty \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

For the last term we have by dominated convergence, using that  $\partial_t M_t f = \mathcal{A}M_t f$ ,

$$\int_0^s \mu_\tau \frac{M_{t-s-h} f - M_{t-s} f}{h} \, d\tau \xrightarrow{h \rightarrow 0} - \int_0^s \mu_\tau \mathcal{A}M_{t-s} f \, d\tau.$$

Finally we get

$$\frac{d}{ds} \int_0^s \mu_\tau M_{t-s} f \, d\tau = \mu_s M_{t-s} f - \int_0^s \mu_\tau \mathcal{A}M_{t-s} f \, d\tau = \mu^{\text{in}} M_{t-s} f$$

since we have seen in the proof of Proposition 2 that (4) is also satisfied for test functions in  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Integrating between  $s = 0$  and  $s = t$  we obtain

$$\int_0^t \mu_\tau f \, d\tau = \int_0^t \mu^{\text{in}} M_{t-s} f \, ds = \int_0^t \mu^{\text{in}} M_\tau f \, d\tau$$

and then by differentiation with respect to  $t$

$$\mu_t f = \mu^{\text{in}} M_t f.$$

The density of  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$  in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}_+)$  ensures the conclusion:  $\mu_t = \mu^{\text{in}} M_t$ . □

## 5 Exponential convergence to the invariant measure

In this last section we prove the exponential convergence of the measure solutions to a stationary distribution. The proof relies on a coupling argument expressed by a so-called Doeblin's condition. This condition guarantees that the total variation distance between two solutions with the same mass decreases exponentially fast. Choosing the invariant measure as a reference then allows to get the asymptotic stability result. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  the set of probability measures, namely the positive measures with mass 1.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a conservative semigroup on  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  which satisfies the Doeblin's condition*

$$\exists t_0 > 0, c \in (0, 1), \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{such that} \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+), \quad \mu M_{t_0} \geq c\nu.$$

*Then for  $\alpha := \frac{-\log(1-c)}{t_0} > 0$  we have for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\mu_1(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mu_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and all  $t \geq 0$*

$$\|\mu_1 M_t - \mu_2 M_t\|_{TV} \leq e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\mu_1(\mathbb{R}_+) = \mu_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , so that  $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)_+(\mathbb{R}_+) = (\mu_2 - \mu_1)_+(\mathbb{R}_+) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV}$ . The measure  $\mu$  defined by

$$\mu := \frac{2}{\|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV}} (\mu_1 - \mu_2).$$

thus satisfies  $\mu_+, \mu_- \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and the Doeblin's condition ensures that

$$\mu_{\pm} M_{t_0} \geq c\nu.$$

Using the conservativeness of  $M_{t_0}$  we deduce that

$$\|\mu_{\pm} M_{t_0} - c\nu\|_{TV} = (\mu_{\pm} M_{t_0} - c\nu)(\mathbb{R}_+) = 1 - c$$

and then

$$\|\mu M_{t_0}\|_{TV} \leq \|\mu_+ M_{t_0} - c\nu\|_{TV} + \|\mu_- M_{t_0} - c\nu\|_{TV} = 2(1 - c)$$

which gives

$$\|\mu_1 M_{t_0} - \mu_2 M_{t_0}\|_{TV} = \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV} \|\mu M_{t_0}\|_{TV} \leq (1 - c) \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV}.$$

Now for  $t \geq 0$  we define  $n = \lfloor \frac{t}{t_0} \rfloor$  and we get by induction

$$\|\mu_1 M_t - \mu_2 M_t\|_{TV} \leq (1 - c)^n \|\mu_1 M_{t-nt_0} - \mu_2 M_{t-nt_0}\|_{TV} \leq e^{n \log(1-c)} \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|_{TV}.$$

This ends the proof since

$$n \log(1 - c) \leq \left( \frac{t}{t_0} - 1 \right) \log(1 - c) = -\alpha(t - t_0).$$

□

**Proposition 12.** *The renewal semigroup  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfies the Doeblin's condition with  $t_0 = a_* + \eta$ ,  $c = \eta \beta_{\min} e^{-\beta_{\max}(a_* + \eta)}$ , and  $\nu$  the uniform probability measure on  $[0, \eta]$ , for any choice of  $\eta > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the equivalent dual formulation of the Doeblin's condition:

$$\exists t_0 > 0, c \in (0, 1), \nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}_+) \quad \text{such that} \quad \forall f \geq 0, \forall a \geq 0, \quad M_{t_0} f(a) \geq c(\nu f).$$

To do so we iterate once the Duhamel formula (7) and we get for any  $f \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t, a) &= f_0(t + a)e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u)du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + \tau) f(t - \tau, 0) d\tau \\
&= f_0(t + a)e^{-\int_0^t \beta(a+u)du} + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + \tau) f_0(t - \tau) e^{-\int_0^{t-\tau} \beta(u)du} d\tau + (\geq 0) \\
&\geq \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + \tau) f_0(t - \tau) e^{-\int_0^{t-\tau} \beta(u)du} d\tau \\
&= \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^{t-\tau} \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + t - \tau) f_0(\tau) e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(u)du} d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the probability measure  $\nu$  defined by  $\nu f = \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^\eta f(a) da$  for some  $\eta > 0$ . Then for any  $t \geq a_* + \eta$  and any  $a \geq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(t, a) &\geq \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^{t-\tau} \beta(a+u)du} \beta(a + t - \tau) f_0(\tau) e^{-\int_0^\tau \beta(u)du} d\tau \\
&\geq \int_0^\eta e^{-\beta_{\max}(t-\tau)} \beta_{\min} f_0(\tau) e^{-\beta_{\max}\tau} d\tau \\
&= \eta \beta_{\min} e^{-\beta_{\max}t} (\nu f_0).
\end{aligned}$$

□

As we have already seen in the introduction, the conservative equation (1) admits a unique invariant probability measure, *i.e.* there exists a unique probability measure  $\mu_\infty$  such that  $\mu_\infty M_t = \mu_\infty$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . This probability measure has a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure

$$d\mu_\infty = N(a) da$$

where  $N$  is explicitly given by

$$N(a) = N(0) e^{-\int_0^a \beta(u)du}$$

with  $N(0)$  such that  $\int_0^\infty N(a) da = 1$ .

**Corollary 13.** *For all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and all  $\eta > 0$  we have*

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|\mu M_t - (\mu \mathbf{1}) \mu_\infty\|_{TV} \leq e^{-\alpha(t-t_0)} \|\mu - (\mu \mathbf{1}) \mu_\infty\|_{TV},$$

where  $t_0 = a_* + \eta$ ,  $c = \eta \beta_{\min} e^{-\beta_{\max}(a_* + \eta)}$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{-\log(1-c)}{t_0}$ .

Notice that in the case  $a_* = 0$  we obtain by passing to the limit  $\eta \rightarrow 0$

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \|\mu M_t - (\mu \mathbf{1}) \mu_\infty\|_{TV} \leq e^{-\beta_{\min} t} \|\mu - (\mu \mathbf{1}) \mu_\infty\|_{TV}.$$