

Fourier Mukai transforms and applications to string theory

Bjorn Andreas, Daniel Hernandez Ruipérez

► **To cite this version:**

| Bjorn Andreas, Daniel Hernandez Ruipérez. Fourier Mukai transforms and applications to string theory. 3rd cycle. Guanajuato (Mexique), 2006, pp.49. cel-00392114

HAL Id: cel-00392114

<https://cel.archives-ouvertes.fr/cel-00392114>

Submitted on 5 Jun 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Fourier Mukai transforms and applications to string theory

Björn Andreas and Daniel Hernández Ruipérez

Abstract. We give an introductory review of Fourier-Mukai transforms and their application to various aspects of moduli problems, string theory and mirror symmetry. We develop the necessary mathematical background for Fourier-Mukai transforms such as aspects of derived categories and integral functors as well as their relative version which becomes important for making precise the notion of fiberwise T-duality on elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds. We discuss various applications of the Fourier-Mukai transform to D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds as well as homological mirror symmetry and the construction of vector bundles for heterotic string theory.

Transformaciones de Fourier-Mukai y aplicaciones a la teoría de cuerdas

Resumen. El artículo es una introducción a la transformación de Fourier-Mukai y sus aplicaciones a varios problemas de móduli, teoría de cuerdas y simetría “mirror”. Se desarrollan los fundamentos necesarios para las transformaciones de Fourier-Mukai, entre ellos las categorías derivadas y los funtores integrales. Se explican además sus versiones relativas, que se necesitan para precisar la noción de T-dualidad fibrada en variedades de Calabi-Yau elípticas de dimensión tres. Se consideran también varias aplicaciones de la transformación de Fourier-Mukai a las D-branas en variedades de Calabi-Yau, así como a la simetría “mirror” y a la construcción de fibrados vectoriales para la cuerda heterótica.

Contents.

1	Introduction	30
2	Aspects of derived categories	31
2.1	What is the derived category?	32
2.2	Derived functors in derived categories	34
3	Geometric integral functors and Fourier-Mukai transforms	38
3.1	Geometric integral functors	38
3.2	Relative geometric integral functors	42
4	Elliptic fibrations	43
4.1	Weierstrass models and Todd classes	44
4.2	Relative geometric integral functors for elliptic fibrations	45
4.3	The spectral cover	46

Presentado por Pedro Luis García Pérez el 2 de febrero de 2005.

Recibido: 13 de enero de 2005. Aceptado: 4 de mayo de 2005.

Palabras clave / Keywords: stable sheaves and vector bundles, semistable sheaves and vector bundles, moduli, elliptic fibrations, elliptic surfaces, geometric integral functors, Fourier-Mukai transforms, compactified Jacobians, spectral covers, D-branes, T-duality, heterotic strings

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 14J60, 14J32, 18E30, 81T30, 83E30

© 2005 Real Academia de Ciencias, España.

5	Topological invariants of the Fourier-Mukai transform	48
5.1	The case of elliptic surfaces	49
5.2	The case of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds	49
6	Applications to moduli problems	50
6.1	Moduli of relatively semistable sheaves on elliptic fibrations	50
6.2	Absolutely semistable sheaves on an elliptic surface	53
7	Applications to string theory and mirror symmetry	56
7.1	Generalities on D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds	56
7.2	T-duality as a relative Fourier-Mukai transform	59
7.3	D-branes and homological mirror symmetry	60
7.4	Application to heterotic string theory	63
7.5	Feedback to derived categories	68
A	Simpson stability for pure sheaves	69
A.1	Simpson’s slope and reduced Hilbert polynomial	69
A.2	Pure sheaves and stability	70

1 Introduction

The interplay between geometry and physics has a long story. Traditionally differential geometry played a fundamental role in many physical aspects such as general relativity or gauge theory. Today various methods and objects of algebraic geometry are required for formulating and understanding string theory. In particular the physical notion of “duality” has lead in mathematics to unexpected connections between the geometry of different spaces. Mirror symmetry was an example of this but many more remain to be explored. On the physics side one hopes to obtain a better understanding of nonperturbative aspects of the way string theory describes the real world. So both mathematics and physics appear to benefit greatly from the duality correspondences. These sparked various new developments on both sides, in particular, a new geometrical understanding of mirror symmetry has begun recently to evolve. Some aspects of this evolution, like Kontsevich proposal of homological mirror symmetry but also the construction of holomorphic bundles from spectral data require the use of Fourier-Mukai transforms and inspired the present review paper.

In this paper we will review some aspects of derived categories, Fourier-Mukai transforms and their relative version, that is, their formulation for families rather than for single varieties. The main advantage of the relative setting is that base-change properties (or parameter dependencies) are better encoded into the problem; the drawback is of course the increasing abstraction and technical machinery we need. In most of this review we will be concerned with the relative Fourier-Mukai transform for elliptic fibrations. However, to begin let us briefly recall a few aspects of Fourier-Mukai transforms to put the subject in context and describe how the relative setting enters into string theory.

The Fourier-Mukai transform was introduced in the study of abelian varieties by Mukai and can be thought of as a nontrivial algebro-geometric analogue of the Fourier transform. Since its original introduction, the Fourier-Mukai transform turned out to be a useful tool for studying various aspects of sheaves on varieties and their moduli spaces, and as a natural consequence, to learn about the varieties themselves. In recent years it was found that the Fourier-Mukai transform also enters into string theory. The most prominent example is given by Kontsevich’s homological mirror symmetry conjecture [81]. The conjecture predicts (for mirror dual pairs of Calabi-Yau manifolds) an equivalence between the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves and the Fukaya category. The conjecture implies a correspondence between self-equivalences of the derived category and certain symplectic self-equivalences of the mirror manifold.

Besides their importance for geometrical aspects of mirror symmetry, the Fourier-Mukai transform has been shown to be also important for heterotic string compactifications on elliptic fibrations. The motivation for this came from the conjectured correspondence between the heterotic string and the so called F-theory which both rely on elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau manifolds. To give evidence for this correspondence, an

explicit description of stable holomorphic vector bundles was required and inspired the seminal work of Friedman, Morgan and Witten [58, 59, 61]. They showed how to construct vector bundles in terms of two geometrical objects: a hypersurface in the Calabi-Yau manifold together with a line bundle on it (called the spectral data). Various aspects and refinements of this construction have been studied in subsequent work [48, 14, 49, 50, 32, 2, 44, 46, 71, 8, 7]. Moreover, a physical way to understand this bundle construction can be given if one views holomorphic vector bundles as D-branes and uses the fact that D-branes are mapped under T-duality to new D-branes (of different dimensions) which can then be made mathematically precise in terms of a relative Fourier-Mukai transform.

More generally, D-branes can be interpreted as objects of the derived category, one then expects the Fourier-Mukai transform (or its relative version) to act on the spectrum of D-branes. This suggests that the Fourier-Mukai transform is actually a symmetry of string theory. Furthermore, the study of D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds inspired numerous mathematical questions, for instance, the search for new Fourier-Mukai partners [91, 97, 35, 79, 76, 112], the reconstruction of the underlying variety from the derived category [29], the study of the self-equivalence/monodromy correspondence in the context of mirror symmetry and the search for a new categorical stability notion [31, 30] which has been motivated by Douglas II-stability of D-branes [52, 53, 54].

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we review the definition and basic facts about the derived category and derived functors. We have tried to avoid technicalities as much as possible. In section 3 we define Fourier-Mukai functors and some examples of them, together with their composition and IT and WIT conditions. We also deal with Fourier-Mukai functors for families, and we state the base-change properties of those functors. Since the main example of a relative Fourier-Mukai functor is the one defined for elliptic fibrations, we have devoted section 4 to those fibrations, their Weierstrass models and particular aspects of the Fourier-Mukai transforms for them. We also define spectral covers and so prepare further applications in Section 7. The computation of the topological invariants of the Fourier-Mukai transform is given in section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the application of the Fourier-Mukai transform to certain moduli problems, like the determination of the moduli of relatively stable sheaves on an elliptic fibrations or the isomorphism of the moduli of absolutely stable sheaves on an elliptic surface with a (generically) integral system over a Hilbert scheme. In Section 7 we discuss some applications of the Fourier-Mukai transform to string theory. After giving a brief introduction to D-branes, we discuss the action of the Fourier-Mukai transform on the spectrum of D-branes on elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds (in physical terms this reflects the adiabatic character of T-duality). Then we outline a procedure (which relies on the comparison of central charges associated to A-type, respectively, B-type D-brane configurations) that allows to make explicit Kontsevich's proposed self-equivalence/monodromy correspondence. We refer to monodromies in the moduli space of the complexified Kähler form which have to be identified by mirror symmetry with the complex structure moduli space of the mirror manifold. If the complex structure varies while keeping fixed the Kähler structure, the isotopy classes of Lagrangian submanifolds vary as well and then loops in the complex structure moduli space produce monodromies on the classes of Lagrangian submanifolds. The last application is devoted to heterotic string theory on elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds. It is shown how the relative Fourier-Mukai transform can be used to construct vector bundles (via the spectral cover approach) which satisfy the topological consistency conditions of heterotic string theory. We have also included a short subsection about the influence of mirror symmetry in the problem of the reconstruction of a variety out of its derived category. Finally there is an appendix that collects some basic definitions and results regarding pure sheaves and Simpson stability.

2 Aspects of derived categories

Derived categories were introduced in the sixties in the framework of homological algebra and Grothendieck duality of coherent sheaves. One of the first accounts on the subject is a 1963 Verdier's booklet reproduced in [114] and expanded in Verdier's Ph.D. thesis (1967), which has been now reedited [115]. Since then, many readable accounts have been written, for instance [77] or quite recently [109].

2.1 What is the derived category?

Let us start with a complex algebraic variety X whose structure ring sheaf we denote by \mathcal{O}_X^1 . By sheaves we will always understand *sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules* and we will denote them by calligraphic letters, like \mathcal{F} , as far as possible.

A sequence

$$F \equiv \dots \xrightarrow{d_{i-2}} \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{d_i} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} \dots \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

of morphisms of sheaves where the composition of any two consecutive maps is zero, is known as a *complex of sheaves*. The morphisms d_i are also known as *differentials* of the complex F . The complex is *bounded below* if it starts at some place i (that is, the sheaves \mathcal{F}^j are zero for $j < i$), *bounded above* if it ends at some place i , and simply *bounded* if it has only a finite number of non-vanishing sheaves.

A complex has *cohomology sheaves* defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^i(F) = \text{Ker } d_i / \text{Im } d_{i-1}.$$

Morphisms of complexes $\phi: F \rightarrow G$ are defined as collections $\phi_i: \mathcal{F}^i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^i$ of morphisms commuting with the differentials. A complex morphism induces morphisms $\mathcal{H}^i(\phi): \mathcal{H}^i(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^i(G)$. We then say that ϕ is a *quasi-isomorphism* whenever all the induced morphisms $\mathcal{H}^i(\phi)$ are isomorphisms.

The derived category of sheaves is a category built from complexes in such a way that complex quasi-isomorphisms become isomorphisms. This is accomplished as follows: We first identify two complex morphisms $\phi: F \rightarrow G$ and $\psi: F \rightarrow G$ when they are homotopically equivalent. This gives rise to a category, the category of complexes up to homotopies.

The second step is to “localize” by (classes of) quasi-isomorphisms. This localization is a fraction calculus for categories: just think of the composition of morphisms as a product. Then quasi-isomorphisms verify the conditions for being a multiplicative system (that is, a nice set of denominators), namely, the identity is a quasi-isomorphism and the composition of two quasi-isomorphisms is a quasi-isomorphism. Now, a fraction is nothing but a diagram of (homotopy classes of) complex morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{F} & & \\ \phi \downarrow & \searrow \psi & \\ F & & G \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where ϕ is a *quasi-isomorphism*. We also have a notion of equivalence of fractions, we say that two “fractions”

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{F} & & \\ \phi \downarrow & \searrow \psi & \\ F & & G \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{F}' & & \\ \phi' \downarrow & \searrow \psi' & \\ F & & G \end{array}$$

are equivalent when there exist quasi-isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{F} & \\ \gamma \swarrow & & \searrow \gamma' \\ \bar{F} & & \bar{F}' \end{array}$$

such that $\phi \circ \gamma = \phi' \circ \gamma'$ and $\psi \circ \gamma = \psi' \circ \gamma'$.

¹Definitions and properties in this section are true for schemes over an arbitrary algebraically closed field. Most of them remain true even for more general schemes.

The *derived category of complexes of sheaves* $D(X)$ is then defined as the category whose objects are complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves and whose morphisms are “fractions” like (1) where two equivalent fractions give rise to the same morphism.

By the very definition, complex quasi-isomorphisms become isomorphisms in $D(X)$ and quasi-isomorphic complexes (that is, complexes F and G such that there exists a diagram like 1 where both ϕ and ψ are quasi-isomorphisms), become isomorphic.

Some other derived categories can be defined in a similar way:

1. The derived category $D^+(X)$ of bounded below complexes of sheaves,

$$F \equiv \mathcal{F}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

There is a functor $D^+(X) \rightarrow D(X)$, that is an equivalence between $D^+(X)$ and the subcategory of objects in $D(X)$ defined by complexes which are quasi-isomorphic to bounded below complexes. One can see that they are exactly those complexes F whose homology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(F)$ are zero for all $i < m$ for a certain $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. The derived category $D^-(X)$ of bounded above complexes,

$$F \equiv \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{m-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^m \quad (m \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

As above, $D^-(X)$ is equivalent to the subcategory of all objects in $D(X)$ defined by complexes quasi-isomorphic to bounded above complexes, or what amount to the same, to complexes F whose homology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(F)$ are zero for all $i \geq m$ for a certain $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3. The derived category $D^b(X)$ of bounded complexes of sheaves,

$$F \equiv \mathcal{F}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{s-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^s \quad (m \leq s \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Again $D^b(X)$ is equivalent to the subcategory of complexes in $D(X)$ that are quasi-isomorphic to bounded complexes, or all complexes F whose homology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(F)$ are zero for all $i \notin [m, s]$ for some $m \leq s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

4. The corresponding categories $D_{qc}(X)$, $D_{qc}^+(X)$, $D_{qc}^-(X)$, $D_{qc}^b(X)$ defined as above by using complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves. They are isomorphic to the subcategories of $D(X)$, for instance $D_{qc}^b(X)$ is equivalent to the subcategory of $D(X)$ defined by complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves whose cohomology sheaves are coherent and zero above and below certain finite indexes.
5. Finally, the corresponding categories $D_c(X)$, $D_c^+(X)$, $D_c^-(X)$, $D_c^b(X)$ defined as above using complexes of coherent sheaves instead of complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves. It turns out that they are isomorphic to the subcategories of $D_{qc}(X)$ and $D(X)$. For instance, $D_c^+(X)$ is equivalent to the subcategory of $D_{qc}^+(X)$ defined by bounded below complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves whose cohomology sheaves are coherent, and also to the subcategory of $D(X)$ of complexes whose cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^i(F)$ are coherent and zero for all $i < m$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The derived categories we have defined are *triangulated categories*. We are not giving the definition of what a triangulated category is. We just say that part of the notion of triangulated category is the existence of a translation functor. In the case of $D(X)$ (and of any of the other derived categories) that functor is

$$\begin{aligned} D(X) &\xrightarrow{\tau} D(X) \\ F &\mapsto \tau(F) = F[1] \end{aligned}$$

where for a complex F and an integer number i , the complex $F[i]$ is the complex given by $F[i]^n = F^{n+i}$, that is, is the complex F shifted i -places to the left.

2.2 Derived functors in derived categories

Derived functors are the “derived category notion” that corresponds to “cohomology”. We know that sheaf cohomology groups are computed with the aid of resolutions. If we have a resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow R \simeq \mathcal{R}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of a sheaf \mathcal{F} by injective sheaves \mathcal{R}^i , the cohomology groups of \mathcal{F} are defined as the cohomology groups

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) = H^i(\Gamma(X, R))$$

of the complex

$$\Gamma(X, R) \simeq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{R}^0) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{R}^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

One proves that the definition of $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ is well-posed, that is, it is independent of the injective resolution R . Working in the derived category, we can see that this is equivalent to saying that whenever R and \bar{R} are injective resolutions of a sheaf \mathcal{F} , then the complexes $\Gamma(X, R)$ and $\Gamma(X, \bar{R})$ are quasi-isomorphic, that is, $\Gamma(X, R) \simeq \Gamma(X, \bar{R})$ in the derived category.

In that way we can associate to \mathcal{F} a single object $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}) := \Gamma(X, R)$ of the derived category. This suggests that the derived category is the natural arena for cohomology constructions, such as derived functors.

The derived direct image

Assume for instance that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties (or schemes if you prefer so). As for cohomology, the higher direct images are defined as the cohomology sheaves $R^i f_*(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}^i(f_*(R))$, where

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

is a resolution of \mathcal{F} by injective sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. As for the cohomology groups, we can generalize to the derived category the construction of the higher direct images by defining the *right derived functor* of the direct image as the functor

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_*: D^+(X) &\rightarrow D^+(Y) \\ F &\mapsto Rf_*(F) := f_*(R) \end{aligned}$$

where R is a bounded below complex of injective sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F . Such a complex R always exists.

In this way we can derive many functors. Sometimes, as we have seen, we can extend functors defined for sheaves and taking values on sheaves as well; besides, we can also derive, that is, extend to the derived category, functors defined only for complexes and taking values in complexes of sheaves.

Let us go back to the right derived direct image $Rf_*: D^+(X) \rightarrow D^+(Y)$. Under very mild conditions,² Rf_* maps complexes with quasi-coherent cohomology to complexes with quasi-coherent cohomology, thus defining a functor

$$Rf_*: D_{qc}^+(X) \rightarrow D_{qc}^+(Y),$$

that we denote with the same symbol. When f is proper, so that the higher direct images $R^i f_* \mathcal{F}$ of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} are coherent as well (cf. [67, Thm.3.2.1] or [69, Thm. 5.2], [103] in the projective case), we also have a functor

$$Rf_*: D_c^+(X) \rightarrow D_c^+(Y).$$

² f has to be quasi-compact locally of finite type so that the direct image of a quasi-coherent sheaf is still quasi-coherent.

Finally, when the cohomological dimension of f is finite, and this happens for instance when the dimensions of the fibers are bounded, then Rf_* maps complexes with bounded cohomology to complexes with bounded cohomology, thus defining a functor

$$Rf_*: D_c^b(X) \rightarrow D_c^b(Y).$$

Moreover, in this case the derived direct image can be extended to functors

$$Rf_*: D_{qc}(X) \rightarrow D_{qc}(Y), \quad Rf_*: D_c(X) \rightarrow D_c(Y)$$

between the whole derived categories, which actually map $D_{qc}^b(X)$ to $D_{qc}^b(Y)$ and $D_c^b(X)$ to $D_c^b(Y)$. This follows essentially because every complex of sheaves, even if infinite on both sides, is still quasi-isomorphic to a complex of injective sheaves.

This procedure is quite general, and applies with minor changes to other situations. We list a few relevant cases:

The derived inverse image

Again $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of algebraic varieties. Once we know how the classical definition of higher direct images can be defined in terms of the derived category, we then also know how to do for the higher inverse images: The higher inverse images are defined as the cohomology sheaves

$$L_i f_*(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{H}^{-i}(f^*(P)),$$

where

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

is a resolution of \mathcal{F} by locally free sheaves. Then we define the *left derived functor* of the inverse image as the functor

$$\begin{aligned} Lf^*: D^-(X) &\rightarrow D^-(Y) \\ F &\mapsto Lf^*(F) := f^*(P) \end{aligned}$$

where P is a bounded above complex of locally free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F (it always exists). It is very easy to check that Lf^* defines also functors $Lf^*: D_{qc}^-(X) \rightarrow D_{qc}^-(Y)$ and $Lf^*: D_c^-(X) \rightarrow D_c^-(Y)$.

In some cases Lf^* defines a functor

$$Lf^*: D_c(X) \rightarrow D_c(Y)$$

that maps $D_c^b(X)$ to $D_c^b(Y)$. One is when every coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on Y admits a *finite* resolution by coherent locally free sheaves, a condition that is equivalent to the smoothness of Y (by the Serre criterion, cf. [104]). In such a case, every object in $D_c^b(Y)$ can be represented as a bounded complex of coherent locally free sheaves³.

Note that, when f is *of finite Tor-dimension*, that is, when for every coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on Y there are only a finite number of non-zero derived inverse images $L^i f^*(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Tor}_i^{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}(f^{-1}(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{O}_X)$. This happens of course when f is flat, because no Tor can arise. In this case, if F is a bounded complex of coherent sheaves and P is a bounded above complex of locally free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F , then $f^*(F)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $f^*(P)$, so that $L^*F = f^*F$ in $D^b(X)$.

³The complexes that are quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of coherent locally free sheaves are known as *perfect* complexes.

Deriving the tensor product

Recall that given two complexes F, G of sheaves, the tensor product complex $F \otimes G$ is defined by

$$(F \otimes G)^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F^p \otimes G^q$$

with the differential d that acts on $F^p \otimes G^q$ as $d_F \otimes 1 + (-1)^p 1 \otimes d_G$.

Take a fixed bounded above complex of sheaves on an algebraic variety X . If G is another bounded above complex of sheaves and P, \bar{P} are two bounded above complexes of locally free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to G , then the simple complexes

$$F \otimes P, \quad F \otimes \bar{P}$$

associated to the bicomplexes whose (p, q) terms are respectively $F^p \otimes P^q$ and $F^p \otimes \bar{P}^q$, are quasi-isomorphic. We can then define a left-derived functor

$$\begin{aligned} F \otimes : D^-(X) &\rightarrow D^-(X) \\ G &\mapsto F \otimes G := F \otimes P \end{aligned}$$

where P is a bounded above complex of locally free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to G (it always exists). One can now prove that if we fix the complex P and consider two different bounded above complexes Q, \bar{Q} of locally free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F , then the simple complexes

$$Q \otimes P, \quad \bar{Q} \otimes P$$

associated to the bicomplexes whose (p, q) terms are, respectively, $Q^p \otimes P^q$ and $\bar{Q}^p \otimes P^q$, are quasi-isomorphic. In this way we can define the *total left-derived functor* of the tensor product as the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes^L : D^-(X) \times D^-(X) &\rightarrow D^-(X) \\ (F, G) &\mapsto F \otimes^L G := Q \otimes P \end{aligned}$$

where P is a bounded above complex of locally free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to G and Q is a bounded above complex of locally free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F .

The total derived functor of the tensor product can be defined as well as a functor $\otimes^L : D_{qc}^-(X) \times D_{qc}^-(X) \rightarrow D_{qc}^-(X)$ and also as a functor $\otimes^L : D_c^-(X) \times D_c^-(X) \rightarrow D_c^-(X)$, analogously to what happens for the inverse image. Also as in this case, sometimes the derived tensor product can be extended as a functor between bigger derived categories; for instance, if F is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of coherent locally free sheaves (a perfect complex), then one can define

$$\begin{aligned} F \otimes^L : D(X) &\rightarrow D(X) \\ G &\mapsto F \otimes^L G \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $D_{qc}(X)$ and D_c . These functors preserve the categories $D^b(X)$, $D_{qc}^b(X)$ and $D_c^b(X)$.

Deriving the homomorphisms

We have here two types of complexes of homomorphisms, the global and the local ones.

Let us consider first the global case. Recall that given two complexes F, G of sheaves, the complex of (global) homomorphisms is defined as the complex of abelian groups given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X^n(F, G) = \prod_p \mathrm{Hom}_X(F^p, G^{p+n})$$

with differential $df = f \circ d_F + (-1)^{n+1}d_G \circ f$. Here the key point is that if I, \bar{I} are two quasi-isomorphic bounded below complexes of injective sheaves, then the complexes of homomorphisms $\text{Hom}_X^\bullet(F, I)$ and $\text{Hom}_X^\bullet(F, \bar{I})$ are quasi-isomorphic as well whatever the complex F is. Also, if we fix a bounded below complex I of injective sheaves and F, \bar{F} are quasi-isomorphic, then $\text{Hom}_X^\bullet(F, I)$ and $\text{Hom}_X^\bullet(\bar{F}, I)$ are still quasi-isomorphic. We can then define a right derived functor

$$\begin{aligned} R\text{Hom}_X : D(X)^0 \times D^+(X) &\rightarrow D(\text{Ab}) \\ (F, G) &\mapsto R\text{Hom}_X(F, G) := \text{Hom}_X^\bullet(F, I) \end{aligned}$$

where I is any bounded below complex of injective sheaves quasi-isomorphic to G . Here $D(\text{Ab})$ stands for the derived category of abelian groups. We can also define a left derived functor

$$\begin{aligned} L\text{Hom}_X : D^-(X)^0 \times D(X) &\rightarrow D(\text{Ab}) \\ (F, G) &\mapsto L\text{Hom}_X(F, G) := \text{Hom}_X^\bullet(P, G) \end{aligned}$$

where P is any bounded above complex of locally-free sheaves quasi-isomorphic to F . Both functors coincide over $D^-(X)^0 \times D^+(X)$.

One can define the Ext groups for objects F, G of the derived category, they are defined as the groups

$$\text{Ext}_X^i(F, G) := H^i(R\text{Hom}_X(F, G)) \quad \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and they are defined whenever the second right hand has a sense. There is a nice formula which allow to compute those Ext's and most of their properties:

Proposition 1 *Assume that either F is in $D(X)$ and G in $D^+(X)$ or F is in $D^-(X)$ and G in $D(X)$. Then one has*

$$\text{Ext}_X^i(F, G) = \text{Hom}_{D(X)}(F, G[i]) \quad \text{for every } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We can derive the homomorphism sheaves as well. The procedure is the same, considering now the complex of sheaves

$$\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}^n(F, G) = \prod_p \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F^p, G^{p+n})$$

with differential $df = f \circ d_F + (-1)^{n+1}d_G \circ f$ as above. The result here is a right derived functor

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X} : D(X)^0 \times D^+(X) &\rightarrow D(X) \\ (F, G) &\mapsto R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, G) := \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet(F, I) \end{aligned}$$

where I is any bounded below complex of injective sheaves quasi-isomorphic to G .

One readily checks that the derived homomorphism sheaves preserve the categories $D_{qc}(X)$ and $D_c(X)$ in the sense one naturally thinks of. In some more precise terms it induces functors

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X} : D_{qc}(X)^0 \times D_{qc}^+(X) \rightarrow D_{qc}(X), \quad R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X} : D_c(X)^0 \times D_c^+(X) \rightarrow D_c(X).$$

We can now define the ‘‘dual’’ of an object F in any of the derived categories $D(X)$, $D_{qc}(X)$ or $D_c(X)$. It is the object $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Of course even if F reduces to a sheaf \mathcal{F} , the dual $R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ may fail to be a sheaf. It is represented by a complex whose $(-i)$ -th cohomology sheaf is the Ext-sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^i(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

The relationship between derived homomorphism sheaves and groups is very easy, and it is a particular case of what is known as Grothendieck theorem on the composition functor. One simply has that

$$R\mathcal{H}om(F, G) = R\Gamma(X, R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F, G))$$

when F, G reduce to single sheaves \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} , the above equality means that there exists a spectral sequence whose term E_2^{pq} is $H^p(X, \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{O}_X}^q(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}))$ converging to $E_\infty^{p+q} = \text{Ext}_X^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$.

Many formulae like the above one, relating different ordinary derived functors by means of spectral sequences, can be also stated in a very clean way by the aid of derived categories. We have base change theorems, projection formulae and many others. The reader is referred for instance to [68].

Chern classes in derived category

When X is a smooth projective variety, any complex M in the derived category $D(X)$ is isomorphic to a bounded complex

$$M \simeq E \equiv \mathcal{E}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{s+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{s+n}$$

of locally free sheaves (i.e. it is *perfect* or *of finite Tor-dimension*).

The Chern characters of M are then defined by

$$\text{ch}_j(M) = \sum_i (-1)^i \text{ch}_j(\mathcal{E}^i) \in A^j(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

where $A^j(X)$ is the j -th component of the Chow ring (when $k = \mathbb{C}$, the group $A^j(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the algebraic part of the rational cohomology group $H^{2j}(X, \mathbb{Q})$). This definition is well posed since it is independent of the choice of the bounded complex E of locally free sheaves.

By definition the rank of M is the integer number

$$\text{rk}(M) = \text{ch}_0(M). \quad (2)$$

We shall see however a different definition of rank of a sheaf, namely the polarized rank (Definition 10), and we will find the relationship between both.

3 Geometric integral functors and Fourier-Mukai transforms

We now define Fourier-Mukai transforms, or more generally *geometrical integral functors*.

We will always refer to algebraic varieties as a synonymous for the more technical “schemes of finite type over an algebraically closed field k ”. You may think that k is the field of complex numbers if you feel more comfortable; however, the characteristic of k does not play any roll and then can be arbitrary. A sheaf on X is always assumed to be coherent.

For a scheme X we denote by \mathcal{O}_X its structure sheaf and by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ or \mathcal{O}_x the local ring of \mathcal{O}_X at x . The ideal sheaf of x will be denoted by \mathfrak{m}_x and $\kappa(x) = \mathcal{O}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x$ is the residue field at the point.

By the sake of simplicity we will simply write $D(X)$ for the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves.

3.1 Geometric integral functors

Let X, \widehat{X} be proper algebraic varieties; the projections of the cartesian product $X \times \widehat{X}$ onto the factors X, \widehat{X} are denoted, respectively, by $\pi, \hat{\pi}$. We can put this information into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X \times \widehat{X} & \\ \pi \swarrow & & \searrow \hat{\pi} \\ X & & \widehat{X} \end{array}$$

Let E be an object in the derived category $D(X \times \widehat{X})$. We shall call it a “kernel” and define a *geometric integral functor* between the derived categories by

$$\Phi^E: D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X}), \quad F \mapsto \Phi^E(F) = R\hat{\pi}_*(L\pi^*F \otimes E)$$

(the tensor product is made in the derived category). That is, we first pull back F to $X \times \widehat{X}$, then twist with the kernel E and push forward to \widehat{X} .

We shall call *Fourier-Mukai functors* those geometric integral functors that are *equivalences of categories* between $D(X)$ and $D(\widehat{X})$ and *Fourier-Mukai transforms*, the Fourier-Mukai functors whose kernel E is a single sheaf.

If $\Phi^E: D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$ is a Fourier-Mukai functor, it preserves the homomorphism groups. Since the Ext groups in derived category are defined as

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^i(F, G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D(X)}(F, G[i])$$

by Proposition 1, we get

Proposition 2 (Parseval formula) $\Phi^E: D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$ is a Fourier-Mukai functor; then for every F and G in $D(X)$ one has

$$\mathrm{Ext}_X^i(F, G) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}_{\widehat{X}}^i(\Phi^E(F), \Phi^E(G))$$

for all indexes i .

WIT and IT conditions

An important feature of geometric integral functors is that they are *exact* as functors of triangulated categories. In more familiar terms we can say that for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ of coherent sheaves in X we obtain an exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \Phi^{i-1}(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Phi^i(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \Phi^i(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Phi^i(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \Phi^{i+1}(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \dots \quad (3)$$

where we have written $\Phi = \Phi^E$ and $\Phi^i(F) = \mathcal{H}^i(\Phi(F))$.

Definition 1 Given an geometric integral functor Φ^E , a complex F in $D(X)$ satisfies the WIT_i condition (or is WIT_i) if there is a coherent sheaf \mathcal{G} on \widehat{X} such that $\Phi^E(F) \simeq \mathcal{G}[i]$ in $D(\widehat{X})$, where $\mathcal{G}[i]$ is the associated complex concentrated in degree i . We say that F satisfies the IT_i condition if in addition \mathcal{G} is locally free.

When the kernel E is simply a sheaf \mathcal{Q} on $X \times \widehat{X}$ flat over \widehat{X} , by cohomology and base change theorem [69, III.12.11] one has

Proposition 3 A coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is IT_i if and only if $H^j(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{Q}_\xi) = 0$ for all $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ and for all $j \neq i$, where \mathcal{Q}_ξ denotes the restriction of \mathcal{Q} to $X \times \{\xi\}$. Furthermore, \mathcal{F} is WIT_0 if and only if it is IT_0 .

The acronym ‘‘IT’’ stands for ‘‘index theorem’’, while ‘‘W’’ stands for ‘‘weak’’. This terminology comes from Nahm transforms for connections on tori in complex differential geometry.

A systematic and comprehensive treatment of geometric integral functors and Fourier-Mukai transforms is to appear in the book [20].

The original Fourier-Mukai transform

Mukai introduced the first Fourier-Mukai transform in the framework of abelian varieties, we refer to [93] or [82] for very readable accounts on abelian varieties. Abelian varieties are simply proper algebraic groups; however, properness implies commutativity which explains the terminology. From a differential geometric viewpoint, a complex abelian variety X of dimension g is a complex torus, $X \simeq \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda$, with $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^g$ being a lattice.

The play of the second variety \widehat{X} is played by the ‘‘dual’’ abelian variety. This is described algebraically as the variety parametrizing line bundles of degree zero on X , or analytically as $\widehat{X} = \mathbb{C}^g/\Lambda^\vee$, where Λ^\vee is the dual lattice.

The kernel is the Poincaré line bundle \mathcal{P} on $X \times \widehat{X}$. This is the universal line bundle of degree zero, and it is characterized by the property that its restriction to $X \times \{\xi\}$ where $\xi \in \widehat{X}$ is precisely the line bundle \mathcal{P}_ξ on X defined by ξ . This characterization determines \mathcal{P} only up to tensor products by inverse images of line bundles on \widehat{X} . It is customary to normalize \mathcal{P} such that its restriction to $\{0\} \times \widehat{X}$ is trivial (here 0 denotes the origin of the abelian variety X).

Mukai's seminal idea [91] was to use the normalized Poincaré bundle \mathcal{P} to define an integral functor between the derived categories

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{P}}: D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$$

which turns out to be an equivalence of triangulated categories, or in our terminology, a Fourier-Mukai transform.

Composition of geometric integral functors

The composition of two geometric integral functors is still a geometric integral functor whose kernel can be expressed as a kind of “convolution product” of the two original kernels. If \widetilde{X} is a third proper variety, let us take a kernel \widetilde{E} in $D(\widehat{X} \times \widetilde{X})$ and consider the geometric integral functor

$$\Phi^{\widetilde{E}}: D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X}), \quad G \mapsto \Phi^{\widetilde{E}}(G) = R\tilde{\pi}_*(L\tilde{\pi}^*G \otimes \widetilde{E})$$

We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X \times \widehat{X} \times \widetilde{X} & & \\ & \swarrow \pi_{1,2} & \downarrow \pi_{2,3} & \searrow \pi_{1,3} & \\ X \times \widehat{X} & & \widehat{X} \times \widetilde{X} & & X \times \widetilde{X} \end{array}$$

Proposition 4 *The composition of the geometric integral functors*

$$\Phi^E: D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X}), \quad \Phi^{\widetilde{E}}: D(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow D(\widetilde{X})$$

is the geometric integral functor $D(X) \rightarrow D(\widetilde{X})$ with kernel $R\pi_{13,*}(L\pi_{12}^*E \otimes L\pi_{23}^*\widetilde{E})$, that is,

$$\Phi^{\widetilde{E}}(\Phi^E(F)) = R\pi_{2,*}(\pi_1^*(F) \otimes R\pi_{13,*}(L\pi_{12}^*E \otimes L\pi_{23}^*\widetilde{E})).$$

The proof (see [91] for the original Fourier-Mukai transform or the book [20]) is a standard exercise in derived category (base-change and projection formula).

Fourier-Mukai functors

We now give a few elementary examples of geometric integral functors and Fourier-Mukai functors:

1. Let E be the complex in $D(X \times X)$ defined by the the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_Δ of the diagonal $\Delta \subset X \times X$. Then it is easy to check that $\Phi^E: D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$ is isomorphic to the identity functor on $D(X)$.
If we shift degrees by n taking $E = \mathcal{O}_\Delta[n]$ (a complex with only the sheaf \mathcal{O}_Δ placed in degree n), then $\Phi^E: D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$ is the degree shifting functor $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G}[n]$.
2. More generally, given a proper morphism $f: X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$, by taking as E the structure sheaf of the graph $\Gamma_f \subset X \times \widehat{X}$, one has isomorphisms of functors $\Phi^E \simeq Rf_*$ as functors $D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$ and $\Phi^E \simeq f^*$ now as functors $D(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow D(X)$.

3. Take $\widehat{X} = X$ and let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on X . If $E = \hat{\pi}^* \mathcal{L}$, then $\Phi^E(G) = G \otimes \mathcal{L}$ for any G in $D(X)$.

Actually we can not find examples of equivalences of derived categories other than Fourier-Mukai functors. This is due to the following Orlov's crucial result [97].

Theorem 1 *Let X and \widehat{X} be smooth projective varieties. Any fully faithful functor $D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$ is a geometric integral functor. In particular, any equivalence of categories $D(X) \simeq D(\widehat{X})$ is a Fourier-Mukai functor.*

It is very interesting to characterize for which kernels E in $D(X \times \widehat{X})$ the corresponding geometric integral functor Φ^E is an equivalence of categories, or in our current terminology, a Fourier-Mukai functor.

For simplicity, we consider only the case of kernels that reduce to a single sheaf \mathcal{Q} . This covers an important number of relevant situations, including the original Fourier-Mukai transform whose kernel is the Poincaré line bundle \mathcal{P} on the product of an abelian variety X and its dual variety \widehat{X} .

Bridgeland-Maciocia [83] extracted the properties of the kernel \mathcal{P} that make the geometric integral functor $\Phi^{\mathcal{P}} : D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$ into a Fourier-Mukai transform and introduced strongly simple sheaves.

Definition 2 *A coherent sheaf \mathcal{P} on $X \times \widehat{X}$ is strongly simple over X if it is flat over X and satisfies the following two conditions*

1. $\text{Ext}_{D(\widehat{X})}^i(\mathcal{P}_{x_1}, \mathcal{P}_{x_2}) = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ whenever $x_1 \neq x_2$;
2. \mathcal{P}_x is simple for every $x \in X$, i.e., all its automorphisms are constant multiples of the identity, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}) = k$.

The relevant result is

Proposition 5 *Let X, \widehat{X} be smooth proper algebraic varieties and let $\omega_{\widehat{X}}$ be the canonical line bundle of \widehat{X} . If \mathcal{P} is a sheaf on $X \times \widehat{X}$ strongly simple over X , then $\Phi^{\mathcal{P}} : D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$ is a Fourier-Mukai transform if $\dim X = \dim \widehat{X}$ and $\mathcal{P}_x \otimes \omega_{\widehat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{P}_x$ for all $x \in X$. Moreover, \mathcal{P} is strongly simple over \widehat{X} as well and $\Phi^{\mathcal{P}} : D(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow D(X)$ is also a Fourier-Mukai transform.*

The inverse of the geometric integral functor $\Phi^{\mathcal{P}} : D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$ with kernel \mathcal{P} is the Fourier-Mukai functor $\Phi^{\mathcal{Q}} : D(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow D(X)$ whose kernel is the complex

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^* \otimes \pi^* \omega_X[n]$$

where $n = \dim X$. The proof of the above Proposition is based on the description of the composition of two geometric integral functors given by Proposition 4 plus a technical argument that ensures that the convolution $\mathcal{P} * \mathcal{Q}$ is actually the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_{Δ} of the diagonal on $X \times X$ (see [33] or [20]).

Earlier results about the invertibility of certain geometric integral functors can be proved in much simpler way using Proposition 5. We mention here just the two who historically came first:

1. The *original Fourier-Mukai transform* already described in a precedent section. It is very easy to see that the Poincaré line bundle \mathcal{P} is strongly simple over \widehat{X} . Since both the canonical bundles of X and \widehat{X} are trivial, Proposition 5 gives that \mathcal{P} is also strongly simple over X and that the original Fourier-Mukai transform

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{P}} : D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$$

is indeed a Fourier-Mukai transform, that is, an equivalence of categories.

2. The Fourier-Mukai transform for *reflexive K3 surfaces* ([19]). Here X is a K3 surface with a polarization H and a divisor ℓ such that $H^2 = 2$, $H \cdot \ell = 0$, $\ell^2 = -12$, and $\ell + 2H$ is not effective. We take \widehat{X} as the fine moduli space of stable sheaves (with respect to H) of rank 2, $c_1 = \ell$ and Euler

characteristic equal to -1 . This topological invariants are selected so that earlier theorems of Mukai ([92]) ensure that \widehat{X} is another K3 surface (which turns out to be isomorphic with X). The kernel of the geometric integral functor is the universal rank 2 sheaf \mathcal{P} on $X \times \widehat{X}$ (suitably normalized).

As in the former example, one can readily prove, using that \mathcal{P}_ξ is a rank 2 stable vector bundle of degree zero on X for every point $\xi \in \widehat{X}$, that \mathcal{P} is strongly simple over \widehat{X} . Again the canonical bundles of X and \widehat{X} are trivial, so that again by Proposition 5 one has that the geometric integral functor

$$\Phi^{\mathcal{P}} : D(X) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X})$$

is a Fourier-Mukai transform.

3.2 Relative geometric integral functors

From the old days of Grothendieck, algebraic geometers use to consider the “relative” situation, that is, they study problems for families rather than for single varieties. As we said before, we can then better encode base-change properties into the problem.

We can do that for geometric integral functors as well. To this end, we consider two morphisms $p: X \rightarrow B, \hat{p}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow B$ of algebraic varieties. We shall define a relative geometric integral functor in this setting by means of a “kernel” E in the derived category $D(X \times_B \widehat{X})$, just by mimicking the “absolute” definition we already gave.

And since we want geometric integral functors for families, we don’t content ourselves with this setting and go beyond allowing further changes in the base space B , that is, we consider base-change morphisms $g: S \rightarrow B$ we denote all objects obtained by base change to S by a subscript S , like $X_S = S \times_B X$ etc. In particular, the kernel E defines an object $E_S = Lg^*E \in D((X \times_B \widehat{X})_S) = D(X_S \times_S \widehat{X}_S)$.

There is then a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X \times_B \widehat{X})_S \simeq & X_S \times_S \widehat{X}_S & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}_S} & \widehat{X}_S \\ & \downarrow \pi_S & & \downarrow \hat{p}_S \\ & X_S & \xrightarrow{p_S} & S \end{array}$$

and the *relative geometric integral functor* associated to E is the functor between the derived categories of quasi-coherent sheaves given by

$$\Phi^{E_S} : D(X_S) \rightarrow D(\widehat{X}_S), \quad F \mapsto \Phi^{E_S}(F) = R\hat{\pi}_{S*}(L\pi_S^*F \otimes E_S)$$

(the tensor product is made in the derived category). When \hat{p} is a flat morphism, π_S is flat as well and we can simply write π_S^*F instead of $L\pi_S^*F$.

We should not be scared by this new definition, because we immediately note that the the relative geometric integral functor with respect to $E \in D(X \times_B \widehat{X})$ is *nothing but the absolute geometric integral functor with kernel $i_*E \in D(X \times \widehat{X})$, where $i: X \times_B \widehat{X} \hookrightarrow X \times \widehat{X}$ is the immersion*. The gain is that we can state neatly the following base-change property:

Proposition 6 *Assume that \hat{p} is flat and let F be an object in $D(\widehat{X}_S)$. For every morphism $g: S' \rightarrow S$ there is an isomorphism*

$$Lg_{\widehat{X}}^*(\Phi^{E_S}(F)) \simeq \Phi^{E_{S'}}(Lg_X^*F)$$

in the derived category $D^-(\widehat{X}_{S'})$, where $g_X: X_{S'} \rightarrow X_S, g_{\widehat{X}}: \widehat{X}_{S'} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_S$ are the morphisms induced by g .

PROOF. We give the proof as an easy example of standard properties of derived categories. We have

$$Lg_{\widehat{X}}^*(\Phi^{E_S}(F)) = Lg_{\widehat{X}}^*(R\hat{\pi}_{S*}(L\pi_S^*F \otimes E_S)) = R\hat{\pi}_{S'*}(Lg_{X \times_B \widehat{X}}^*(L\pi_S^*F \otimes E_S))$$

by base-change in the derived category, and then

$$Lg_{X \times_B \widehat{X}}^*(L\pi_S^* F \otimes E_S) = L\pi_{S'}^*(Lg_X^* F) \otimes Lg_{X \times_B \widehat{X}}^*(E_S) = L\pi_{S'}^*(Lg_X^* F) \otimes \widehat{E}_{S'}.$$

■

Due to this property we shall very often drop the subscript S and refer only to $X \rightarrow B$.

Base change and WIT_i -conditions

In this paragraph we assume that the kernel is a single sheaf \mathcal{P} flat over B and that both p and \widehat{p} are proper flat morphisms of relative dimension n . We study the relationship between the WIT condition for a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X with respect to the relative geometric integral functor defined by E in $D(X \times_B \widehat{X})$ and the WIT condition for the restrictions \mathcal{F}_s of \mathcal{F} to the fibers $X_s = p^{-1}(s)$ ($s \in B$) with respect to the restriction.

Let us write $\Phi = \Phi^E$ and $\Phi_s = \Phi^{E_s}$.

Corollary 1 *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X , flat over B .*

1. *The formation of $\Phi^n(\mathcal{F})$ is compatible with base change, that is, one has $\Phi^n(\mathcal{F})_s \simeq \Phi_s^n(\mathcal{F}_s)$, for every point $s \in B$.*
2. *There is a convergent spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{-p,q} = \text{Tor}_p^{\mathcal{O}_S}(\Phi^q(\mathcal{F}), \kappa(s)) \implies \Phi^{q-p}(\mathcal{F}_s).$$

3. *Assume that \mathcal{F} is WIT_i and let $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \Phi^i(\mathcal{F})$ be its Fourier-Mukai transform. Then for every $s \in B$ there are isomorphisms*

$$\text{Tor}_j^{\mathcal{O}_S}(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \kappa(s)) \simeq \Phi_s^{i-j}(\mathcal{F}_s), \quad j \leq i$$

of sheaves over \widehat{X}_s . In particular $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is flat over B if and only if the restriction \mathcal{F}_s to the fiber X_s is WIT_i for every point $s \in B$.

PROOF. (1) follows from the fact that the highest direct image is compatible with base change ([69]). (2) is a consequence of Proposition 6 and implies (3). ■

Corollary 2 *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X , flat over B . There exists an open subscheme $V \subseteq B$ which is the largest subscheme V fulfilling one of the following equivalent conditions hold:*

1. *\mathcal{F}_V is WIT_i on X_V and the geometric integral functor $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_V$ is flat over V .*
2. *The sheaves \mathcal{F}_s are WIT_i for every point $s \in V$.*

4 Elliptic fibrations

An elliptic fibration is a proper flat morphism $p: X \rightarrow B$ of schemes whose fibers are Gorenstein curves of arithmetic genus 1. We also assume that p has a section $\sigma: B \hookrightarrow X$ taking values in the smooth locus $X' \rightarrow B$ of p . The generic fibers are then smooth elliptic curves whereas some singular fibers are allowed. If the base B is a smooth curve, elliptic fibrations were studied and classified by Kodaira [80], who described all the types of singular fibers that may occur, the so-called Kodaira curves. When the base is a smooth surface, more complicated configuration of singular curves can occur (see Miranda [89]).

When X is a Calabi-Yau threefold, the presence of the section imposes constraints to the base surface B ; it is known that it has to be of a particular kind, namely B has to be a Del Pezzo surface (a surface whose

anticanonical divisor $-K_B$ is ample), a Hirzebruch surface (a rational ruled surface), a Enriques surface (a minimal surface with $2K_B$ numerically equivalent to zero) or a blow-up of a Hirzebruch surface (see for instance [49] or [90]).

We denote by $\Theta = \sigma(B)$ the image of the section, by X_t the fiber of p over $t \in B$ and by $i_t: X_t \hookrightarrow X$ the inclusion. $\omega_{X/B}$ is the relative dualizing sheaf and we write $\omega = R^1 p_* \mathcal{O}_X \simeq (p_* \omega_{X/B})^*$, where the isomorphism is Grothendieck-Serre duality for p (cf. [45]).

The sheaf $\mathcal{L} = p_* \omega_{X/B}$ is a line bundle and $\omega_{X/B} \simeq p^* \mathcal{L}$. We write $\bar{K} = c_1(\mathcal{L})$. Adjunction formula for $\Theta \hookrightarrow X$ gives that $\Theta^2 = -\Theta \cdot p^{-1} \bar{K}$ as cycles on X .

4.1 Weierstrass models and Todd classes

We now recall some facts about the Weierstrass model for an elliptic fibration $p: X \rightarrow B$ with a section σ . If B is a smooth curve, then from Kodaira's classification of possible singular fibers [80] one finds that the components of reducible fibers of p which do not meet Θ form rational double point configurations disjoint from Θ . Let $X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ be the result of contracting these configurations and let $\bar{p}: \bar{X} \rightarrow B$ be the induced map. Then all fibers of \bar{p} are irreducible with at worst nodes or cusps as singularities. In this case one refers to \bar{X} as the Weierstrass model of X . The Weierstrass model can be constructed as follows: the divisor 3Θ is relatively ample and if $\mathcal{E} = p_* \mathcal{O}_X(3\Theta) \simeq \mathcal{O}_B \oplus \omega^{\otimes 2} \oplus \omega^{\otimes 3}$ and $\bar{p}: P = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E}^*) = \text{Proj}(S^\bullet(\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow B$ is the associated projective bundle, there is a projective morphism of B -schemes $j: X \rightarrow P$ such that $\bar{X} = j(X)$.

By the sake of simplicity we shall refer to a particular kind of elliptic fibrations, namely elliptic fibrations with a section as above whose fibers are all *geometrically integral*. This means that the fibration is isomorphic with its Weierstrass model. Now, special fibers can have at most one singular point, either a cusp or a simple node. Thus, in this case 3Θ is relatively very ample and gives rise to a closed immersion $j: X \hookrightarrow P$ such that $j^* \mathcal{O}_P(1) = \mathcal{O}_X(3\Theta)$. Moreover j is locally a complete intersection whose normal sheaf is

$$\mathcal{N}(X/P) \simeq p^* \omega^{-\otimes 6} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(9\Theta). \quad (4)$$

This follows by relative duality since

$$\omega_{P/B} = \bigwedge \Omega_{P/B} \simeq \bar{p}^* \omega^{\otimes 5}(-3),$$

due to the Euler exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{P/B} \rightarrow \bar{p}^* \mathcal{E}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P \rightarrow 0.$$

The morphism $p: X \rightarrow B$ is then a l.c.i. morphism in the sense of [62, 6.6] and has a virtual relative tangent bundle $T_{X/B} = [j^* T_{P/B}] - [\mathcal{N}_{X/P}]$ in the K -group $K^\bullet(X)$. Even if $T_{X/B}$ is not a true sheaf, it still has Chern classes; in particular, it has a Todd class which one can readily compute [71].

Proposition 7 *The Todd class of the virtual tangent bundle $T_{X/B}$ is*

$$\text{td}(T_{X/B}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} p^{-1} \bar{K} + \frac{1}{12} (12\Theta \cdot p^{-1} \bar{K} + 13p^{-1} \bar{K}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \Theta \cdot p^{-1} \bar{K}^2 + \text{terms of higher degree}.$$

Since $\text{td}(B) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} c_1(B) + \frac{1}{12} (c_1(B)^2 + c_2(B)) + \frac{1}{24} c_1(B) c_2(B) + \dots$, we obtain the expression

for the Todd class of X

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{td}(X) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}p^{-1}(c_1(B) - \bar{K}) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{12}(12\Theta \cdot p^{-1}\bar{K} + 13p^{-1}\bar{K}^2 - 3p^{-1}(c_1(B) \cdot \bar{K}) + p^{-1}(c_1(B)^2 + c_2(B))) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{24}[p^{-1}(c_1(B)c_2(B)) - p^{-1}(\bar{K} \cdot (c_1(B)^2 + c_2(B))) + 12\Theta \cdot p^{-1}(\bar{K} \cdot c_1(B)) \\
 &\quad + p^{-1}(c_1(B) \cdot \bar{K}^2) - 6\Theta \cdot p^{-1}(\bar{K}^2 \cdot c_1(B))] \\
 &+ \text{terms of higher degree.}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

4.2 Relative geometric integral functors for elliptic fibrations

There is an algebraic variety $\hat{p}: \hat{X} \rightarrow B$ (the Altman-Kleiman compactification of the relative Jacobian) whose points parametrize torsion-free, rank one and degree zero sheaves on the fiber of $X \rightarrow B$. Moreover, the natural morphism of B -schemes

$$\begin{aligned}
 X &\rightarrow \hat{X} \\
 x &\mapsto \mathfrak{m}_x \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_s}(e(s))
 \end{aligned}$$

is an *isomorphism*. Here \mathfrak{m}_x is the ideal sheaf of the point x in X_s .

The variety \hat{X} is a fine moduli space. This means that there exists a coherent sheaf \mathcal{P} on $X \times_B \hat{X}$ flat over \hat{X} , whose restrictions to the fibers of $\hat{\pi}$ are torsion-free, and of rank one and degree zero, such that for every morphism $f: S \rightarrow B$ and every sheaf \mathcal{L} on $X \times_B S$ flat over S and whose restrictions to the fibers of p_S are torsion-free, of rank one and degree zero, there exists a unique morphism $\phi: X \rightarrow \hat{X}$ of S -schemes such that \mathcal{L} and $(1 \times \phi)^*\mathcal{P}$ are isomorphic when restricted to every fiber of $X_S \rightarrow S$.

The sheaf \mathcal{P} is defined up to tensor product by the pullback of a line bundle on \hat{X} , and is called the *universal Poincaré sheaf*.

Hereafter we identify $X \simeq \hat{X}$. Now \mathcal{P} is a sheaf on $X \times_B X$ that we can normalize by letting

$$\mathcal{P}|_{\Theta \times_B \hat{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X. \tag{6}$$

We shall henceforth assume that \mathcal{P} is normalized in this way so that

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes \pi^*\mathcal{O}_X(\Theta) \otimes \hat{\pi}^*\mathcal{O}_X(\Theta) \otimes q^*\omega^{-1} \tag{7}$$

where π , $\hat{\pi}$ and $q = p \circ \pi = \hat{p} \circ \hat{\pi}$ refer to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \times_B X & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & X \\
 \downarrow \pi & \searrow q & \downarrow \hat{p} \\
 X & \xrightarrow{p} & B
 \end{array} \tag{8}$$

and \mathcal{I}_Δ is the ideal sheaf of the diagonal immersion $X \hookrightarrow X \times_B X$.

Here we consider an elliptic fibration $p: X \rightarrow B$ as above and the associated “dual” fibration $\hat{p}: \hat{X} = X \rightarrow B$; we assume also that X is smooth. We shall consider the relative geometric integral functor in this setting starting with the diagram 8 and whose kernel is the normalized relative universal Poincaré sheaf \mathcal{P} on the fibered product $X \times_B X$.

We then have a geometric integral functor

$$\Phi = \Phi^{\mathcal{P}}: D(X_S) \rightarrow D(X_S), \quad F \mapsto \Phi(F) = R\hat{\pi}_{S*}(\pi_S^*F \otimes \mathcal{P}_S)$$

for every morphism $S \rightarrow B$.

Using our earlier invertibility result (Proposition 5) or proceeding directly as in [21, Theorem 3.2] (the latter was the first given proof), we easily obtain

Proposition 8 *The geometric integral functor Φ is an equivalence of categories, (or a Fourier-Mukai transform). The inverse Fourier-Mukai functor is $\Phi^{\mathcal{Q}[1]}$, where*

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}^* \otimes \pi^* p^* \omega^{-1}.$$

We shall denote by $\hat{\Phi}$ the Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi^{\mathcal{Q}}: D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$. The previous Proposition implies that if a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is WIT_i with respect to Φ ($i = 0, 1$), then $\Phi^i(\mathcal{F})$ is WIT_{1-i} with respect to $\hat{\Phi}$ and $\hat{\Phi}^{1-i}(\Phi^i(\mathcal{F})) \simeq \mathcal{F}$. The analogous statement intertwining Φ and $\hat{\Phi}$ is also true.

4.3 The spectral cover

We are going to see how the construction of vector bundles out of spectral data, first considered in [72] and [25] can be easily described in the case of elliptic fibrations by means of the Fourier-Mukai transform we have defined. This construction was widely exploited by Friedman, Morgan and Witten [58, 59, 61] to construct stable bundles on elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds. We shall come again to this point.

To start with, we think of things the other way round. We take a sheaf \mathcal{F} of rank n on an elliptic fibration $X \rightarrow B$ with certain properties on the fibers and construct its spectral data, namely, a pair (C, \mathcal{L}) where $C \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed subvariety projecting with finite fibers (generically of length n) onto B (the *spectral cover*) and a torsion free rank one sheaf \mathcal{L} on C (in many case actually a line bundle), such that \mathcal{F} can be recovered via the inverse Fourier-Mukai transform out of (C, \mathcal{L}) .

We take \mathcal{F} as a good parametrization of semistable sheaves of rank n and degree 0 on the fibers of $X \rightarrow B$. Here good means that \mathcal{F} is flat over the base B . The reason for doing so is twofold; first we know the structure of the semistable sheaves of rank n and degree 0 on a fiber as we report in Proposition 9, second we can easily compute the Fourier-Mukai transform of torsion free rank one sheaves of degree zero on X_s (Proposition 10).

Let us then fix a fiber X_s of the elliptic fibration ($s \in B$). We denote by Φ_s the Fourier-Mukai transform on the fiber with kernel \mathcal{P}_s .

The structure of the semistable sheaves of rank n and degree 0 on X_s is due to Atiyah [18] and Tu [111] in the smooth case and to Friedman-Morgan-Witten [61] for Weierstrass curves and locally free sheaves. The result we need is

Proposition 9 *Every torsion-free semistable sheaf of rank n and degree 0 on X_s is S -equivalent (see Appendix A) to a direct sum of torsion-free rank 1 and degree 0 sheaves:*

$$\mathcal{F} \sim \bigoplus_{i=0}^r (\mathcal{L}_i \oplus n_i \cdot \mathcal{L}_i).$$

If X_s is smooth all the sheaves \mathcal{L}_i are line bundles. If X_s is singular, at most one of them, say \mathcal{L}_0 , is nonlocally-free; the number n_0 of factors isomorphic to \mathcal{L}_0 can be zero.

Now, let \mathcal{L} be a rank-one, zero-degree, torsion-free sheaf on X_s .

Proposition 10 *\mathcal{L} is WIT_1 and $\Phi_s^1(\mathcal{L}) = \kappa(\xi^*)$, where $\xi^* = [\mathcal{L}^*]$ is the point of $X_s \simeq \hat{X}_s$ defined by \mathcal{L}^* .*

We derive a few consequences of the two previous results. First is that a zero-degree torsion-free sheaf of rank $n \geq 1$ and semistable on a fiber X_s has to be WIT_1 because this is what happens when the rank is 1. We can state something stronger:

Proposition 11 *Let \mathcal{F} be a zero-degree sheaf of rank $n \geq 1$ on a fiber X_s . Then \mathcal{F} is torsion-free and semistable on X_s if and only if it is WIT_1 .*

A second consequence is that the unique Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi_s^1(\mathcal{F})$ is supported by a finite number of points, again because Proposition 10 tell us so in the rank one case.

If we go back to our elliptic fibration $p: X \rightarrow B$ and our sheaf \mathcal{F}_s moves in a flat family \mathcal{F} on $X \rightarrow B$, the support of $\Phi_s^1(\mathcal{F}_s)$ moves as well giving a finite covering $C \rightarrow B$. We notice, however, that the fiber over s of the support of $\Phi^1(\mathcal{F})$ may fail to be equal to the support of $\Phi_s^1(\mathcal{F}_s)$. To circumvent this problem we consider the closed subscheme defined by the 0-th Fitting ideal of $\Phi\mathcal{F}$ (see for instance [100] for a summary of properties of the Fitting ideals). The precise definition (see [58, 59, 61, 14, 71]) is

Definition 3 *Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X . The spectral cover of \mathcal{F} is the closed subscheme $C(\mathcal{F})$ of \widehat{X} defined by the 0-th Fitting ideal $F_0(\Phi^1(\mathcal{F}))$ of $\Phi^1(\mathcal{F})$.*

The fibered structure of the spectral cover is a consequence of:

Lemma 1 *Let \mathcal{F} be a zero-degree torsion-free semistable sheaf of rank $n \geq 1$ on a fiber X_s .*

1. *The 0-th Fitting ideal $F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = \Phi_s^1(\mathcal{F})$ only depends on the S -equivalence class of \mathcal{F} .*
2. *One has $F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) = \prod_{i=0}^r \mathfrak{m}_i^{n_i}$, where $\mathcal{F} \sim \bigoplus_{i=0}^r (\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_i)$ is the S -equivalence given by Proposition 9 and \mathfrak{m}_i is the ideal of the point $\xi_i^* \in \widehat{X}_s = X_s$ defined by \mathcal{L}_i^* . Then, $\text{length}(\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}_t}/F_0(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})) \geq n$ with equality if either $n_0 = 0$ or $n_0 = 1$, that is, if the only possible nonlocally-free rank 1 torsion-free sheaf of degree 0 occurs at most once.*

Then we have the structure of the spectral cover for a relatively semistable sheaf of degree zero on fibers.

Proposition 12 *If \mathcal{F} is relatively torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree zero on $X \rightarrow B$, then the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow B$ is a finite morphism with fibers of degree $\geq n$. If in addition \mathcal{F} is locally free, then all the fibers of the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow B$ have degree n .*

PROOF. Since the spectral cover commutes with base changes, $C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow S$ is quasi-finite with fibers of degree $\geq n$ by Lemma 1; then it is finite. The second statement follows from (2) of the same Lemma. ■

We can also give information about the spectral cover in some other cases. Take for instance a sheaf \mathcal{F} on X flat over B and of fiberwise degree zero. We don't need to assume that \mathcal{F}_s is semistable for every point $s \in B$. If this is true only for all the points s of a dense open subset U (i.e. any non-empty open subset if B is irreducible), then as a consequence of Corollary 2 and Proposition 11 we have that \mathcal{F} is still globally WIT_1 (that is, $\Phi^0(\mathcal{F}) = 0$) even if for $s \notin U$ we have $\Phi_s^0(\mathcal{F}_s) \neq 0$. In this case, *the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F})$ contains the whole fiber X_s .*

Let's go back to the case of a relatively torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{F} semistable of rank n and degree zero on $X \rightarrow B$. We then have that the unique Fourier-Mukai transform of \mathcal{F} is of the form

$$\Phi^1(\mathcal{F}) = i_*\mathcal{L}$$

where $i: C(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow X$ is the immersion of the spectral cover and \mathcal{L} is a sheaf on $C(\mathcal{F})$. What can be said about \mathcal{L} ?

A first look at Proposition 10 seems to say that \mathcal{L} has rank one at every point. And this is actually what happens though one has to be careful because the spectral cover can be pretty singular. If $C(\mathcal{F})$ is irreducible and reduced, then one can see quite easily that \mathcal{L} is torsion-free of rank one. When $C(\mathcal{F})$ is reducible (it can even have multiple components), torsion-freeness has to be substituted with another notion; people familiar with moduli problems won't be surprised to hear that the relevant notion is the one of *pure sheaf* of maximal dimension introduced by Simpson [107]. We have described for the reader's convenience the definition of pure sheaf, polarized rank and Simpson stability in Appendix A. Using the definitions given there we have

Proposition 13 *Let $m = \dim B$ and let \mathcal{F} be a relatively torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree zero on $X \rightarrow B$. Assume that all fibers of the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow B$ have degree n (this happens for instance if \mathcal{F} is locally free). Then the restriction \mathcal{L} of the unique Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{\Phi}^1(\mathcal{F})$ is a pure sheaf of dimension m and polarized rank one on the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F})$.*

Conversely, given a closed subscheme $i: C(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow X$ such that $C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow B$ is a finite covering of degree n and a sheaf \mathcal{L} on $C(\mathcal{F})$ of pure dimension m and polarized rank one, the sheaf $i_(\mathcal{L})$ is WIT_0 with respect to $\hat{\Phi}$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \hat{\Phi}(i_*(\mathcal{L}))$ is a sheaf on $X \rightarrow B$ relatively torsion-free and semistable sheaf of rank n and degree zero.*

The most interesting case is when the base B is a *smooth curve*, that is, when X is an elliptic surface. Let then \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X flat over B and fiberwise of degree zero. Assume that the restriction of \mathcal{F} to the generic fiber is semistable, so that it is WIT_1 by Corollary 2.

Proposition 14 *Let $V \subseteq B$ be the relative semistability locus of \mathcal{F} .*

1. *The spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow B$ is flat of degree n over V ; then $C(\mathcal{F}_V)$ is a Cartier divisor of X_V .*
2. *If $s \notin V$ is a point such that \mathcal{F}_s is unstable, then $C(\mathcal{F})$ contains the whole fiber \hat{X}_s .*

Thus $C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow B$ is finite (and automatically flat of degree n) if and only if \mathcal{F}_s is semistable for every $s \in B$.

5 Topological invariants of the Fourier-Mukai transform

Let E be an object of $D(X)$. We can compute the topological invariants of the geometric integral functor $\hat{\Phi}(E) = R\hat{\pi}_*(\pi^*E \otimes \mathcal{P})$ by using the Riemann-Roch theorem for $\hat{\pi}$. There is a technical point here: even if we assume that X and B are smooth, $X \times_B X$ may be not. However, Fulton established the so-called *singular Riemann-Roch* and it turns out that our morphism $\hat{\pi}$ is what is called a l.c.i. morphism. By ([62], Cor.18.3.1), we have

$$\text{ch}(\hat{\Phi}(E)) = \hat{\pi}_*[\pi^*(\text{ch } E) \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{P}) \text{td}(T_{X/B})]. \quad (9)$$

The Todd class $\text{td}(T_{X/B})$ is readily determined from Proposition 7 and the Chern character of \mathcal{P} is computed from (7).

The Chern character $\text{ch}(\hat{\Phi}(E))$ has as many components $\text{ch}_i(\hat{\Phi}(E))$ as the dimension of X . We give here the precise expressions of $\text{ch}_i(\hat{\Phi}(E))$ in two low dimensional cases, when X is a *smooth elliptic surface* [19, 71] and when X is an *elliptic Calabi-Yau* [10, 9].

We can also compute the effect of the geometric integral functor $\hat{\Phi}$ on the *relative Chern character* of E . This is specified by the relative rank n and the relative degree d , that is, the Chern character of the restriction of E to a fiber X_s ⁴. This is independent on the fiber, so we can apply Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch on a smooth curve to obtain that the relative Chern character of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{\Phi}_S(E)$ is $(d, -n)$, that is:

$$(\text{rk}(\hat{\Phi}_S(E)), d(\hat{\Phi}_S(E))) = (d(E), -\text{rk}(E)) \quad (10)$$

If we denote by $\mu_{\text{rel}}(E) = d/n$ the relative slope, we have

Proposition 15 *If \mathcal{F} is a WIT_i sheaf on X and $d \neq 0$, then $\mu_{\text{rel}}(\hat{\mathcal{F}}) = -1/\mu(\mathcal{F})$. Moreover*

1. *If \mathcal{F} is WIT_0 , then $d(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$, and $d(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{F} = 0$.*
2. *If \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 , then $d(\mathcal{F}) \leq 0$.*

⁴This restriction is the derived inverse image Lj_s^*E where $j_s: X_s \hookrightarrow X$ is the immersion of the fiber. When E is a sheaf flat over s , then $Lj_s^*E = E|_{X_s}$

5.1 The case of elliptic surfaces

Let us denote by e the degree of the divisor \bar{K} on B ; we have $\Theta \cdot p^* \bar{K} = e = -\Theta^2$ and $K_{X/B} = p^* \bar{K} \equiv e \mathfrak{f}$. By Proposition 7 the Todd class of the virtual relative tangent bundle of p is given by

$$\mathrm{td}(T_{X/B}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} p^{-1} \bar{K} + e w, \quad (11)$$

where w is the fundamental class of X .

Now, if E is an object of $D(X)$, the Chern character of the Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi(E)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}(\Phi(E)) &= \pi_* [\pi^*(\mathrm{ch} E) \cdot (1 - \delta_*(1) - \frac{1}{2} \delta_*(p^* \bar{K}) + e \delta_*(w)) \cdot (1 + \pi^* H - \frac{1}{2} e w) \\ &\quad \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{2} p^* \bar{K} + e w)] \cdot (1 + \Theta - \frac{1}{2} w) \cdot (1 + e \mathfrak{f}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the Chern characters of $\Phi(E)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_0(\Phi(E)) &= d \\ \mathrm{ch}_1(\Phi(E)) &= -c_1(E) + d p^* \bar{K} + (d - n) \Theta + (c - \frac{1}{2} e d + s) \mathfrak{f} \\ \mathrm{ch}_2(\Phi(E)) &= (-c - d e + \frac{1}{2} n e) w \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $n = \mathrm{ch}_0(E)$, $d = c_1(E) \cdot \mathfrak{f}$ is the relative degree, $c = c_1(E) \cdot H$ and $\mathrm{ch}_2(E) = s w$.

Similar calculations can be done for the inverse Fourier-Mukai transform giving rise to the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_0(\widehat{\Phi}(E)) &= d \\ \mathrm{ch}_1(\widehat{\Phi}(E)) &= (c_1(E)) - n p^* \bar{K} - (d + n) H + (s + n e - c - \frac{1}{2} e d) \mathfrak{f} \\ \mathrm{ch}_2(\widehat{\Phi}(E)) &= -(c + d e + \frac{1}{2} n e) w \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

5.2 The case of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds

When X is an elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold and B is a smooth surface (with the restrictions mentioned in section 4), the formula given in Proposition 7 for the Todd class of the relative tangent bundle takes the form

$$\mathrm{td}(T_{X/B}) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} c_1 + \frac{1}{12} (13c_1^2 + 12\Theta c_1) - \frac{1}{2} \Theta c_1^2 \quad (14)$$

with $c_1 = p^* c_1(B) = -p^*(K_B)$ and equation (7) is

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{I}_\Delta \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{O}_X(\Theta) \otimes \hat{\pi}^* \mathcal{O}_X(\Theta) \otimes q^* \omega_B^{-1} \quad (15)$$

where $\omega_B = \mathcal{O}_B(K_B)$ is the canonical line bundle of B and δ is the diagonal immersion. Note first that $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta) = 1 - \mathrm{ch}(\delta_* \mathcal{O}_X)$. Singular Riemann-Roch gives

$$\mathrm{ch}(\delta_* \mathcal{O}_X) \mathrm{td}(X \times_B X) = \delta_*(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{O}_X) \mathrm{td}(X))$$

where one has the expressions for $\mathrm{td}(X)$ (see (5)) and $\mathrm{td}(X \times_B X)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{td}(X) &= 1 + \frac{1}{12} (c_2 + 11c_1^2 + 12\Theta c_1) \\ \mathrm{td}(X \times_B X) &= \hat{\pi}^* \mathrm{td}(X) \pi_1^* \mathrm{td}(T_{X/B}) \end{aligned}$$

with $c_2 = p^*(c_2(B))$. The Chern character of the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Δ is then given by (with the diagonal class $\Delta = \delta_*(1)$)

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{I}_\Delta) = 1 - \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \cdot \hat{\pi}^* c_1 + \Delta \cdot \hat{\pi}^*(\Theta \cdot c_1) + \frac{5}{6} \Delta \cdot \hat{\pi}^*(c_1^2) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \cdot \hat{\pi}^*(\Theta c_1^2)$$

and one can compute $\text{ch}(\mathcal{P})$ from that expression and find the general formula for $\Phi(E)$ from (9).

We shall consider for simplicity objects E in $D(X)$ with Chern characters given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_0(E) &= n_E \\ \text{ch}_1(E) &= x_E \Theta + p^* S_E \\ \text{ch}_2(E) &= \Theta p^* \eta_E + a_E \mathfrak{f} \\ \text{ch}_3(E) &= s_E \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\eta_E, S_E \in A^1(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, $s_e \in A^3(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{f} \in A^2(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is the class of a fiber of p . This covers the most important applications. Now (9) and the corresponding formula for the inverse Fourier-Mukai transform $\hat{\Phi}$ provide the first Chern characters of $\Phi(E)$ and $\hat{\Phi}(E)$. They are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_0(\Phi(E)) &= x_E \\ \text{ch}_1(\Phi(E)) &= -n_E \Theta + p^* \eta_E - \frac{1}{2} x_E c_1 \\ \text{ch}_2(\Phi(E)) &= \left(\frac{1}{2} n_E c_1 - p^* S_E \right) \Theta + \left(s_E - \frac{1}{2} p^* \eta_E c_1 \Theta + \frac{1}{12} x_E c_1^2 \Theta \right) \mathfrak{f} \\ \text{ch}_3(\Phi(E)) &= -\frac{1}{6} n_E \Theta c_1^2 - a_E + \frac{1}{2} \Theta c_1 p^* S_E \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_0(\hat{\Phi}(E)) &= x_E \\ \text{ch}_1(\hat{\Phi}(E)) &= -n_E \Theta + p^* \eta_E + \frac{1}{2} x_E c_1 \\ \text{ch}_2(\hat{\Phi}(E)) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} n_E c_1 - p^* S_E \right) \Theta + \left(s_E + \frac{1}{2} p^* \eta_E c_1 \Theta + \frac{1}{12} x_E c_1^2 \Theta \right) \mathfrak{f} \\ \text{ch}_3(\hat{\Phi}(E)) &= -\frac{1}{6} n_E \Theta c_1^2 - a_E - \frac{1}{2} \Theta c_1 p^* S_E + x_E \Theta c_1^2 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Many nice geometrical properties and applications to Physics can be derived from Fourier-Mukai functor theory, and in particular from the formulae above.

6 Applications to moduli problems

In this section we report some applications of the geometric integral functor theory to moduli problems. The material is taken mostly from [71, 22].

6.1 Moduli of relatively semistable sheaves on elliptic fibrations

The structure of relatively semistable sheaves on an elliptic fibration $p: X \rightarrow B$ can be described in terms of the relative Fourier-Mukai transform introduced in section 4. The techniques and results are of quite different nature when the degree on fibers of the sheaves are zero or not, so we consider separately the two cases.

The case of relative degree equal to zero

If we start with a single fiber X_s , then Proposition 9 means that S-equivalence classes of semistable sheaves of rank n and degree 0 on X_s are equivalent to families of n torsion-free rank one sheaves of degree zero, $\mathcal{F}_s \sim \bigoplus_{i=0}^r (\mathcal{L}_i \otimes n_i \cdot \mathcal{L}_i)$. This gives a one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{M}}(X_s, n, 0) &\leftrightarrow \text{Sym}^n X_s \\ \mathcal{F}_s &\mapsto n_0 x_0^* + \cdots + n_r x_r^*, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

(where x_i^* is the point of X_s that corresponds to $[\mathcal{L}_i^*]$ under $\varpi: X_s \simeq \widehat{X}_s$) between the moduli space of torsion-free and semistable sheaves of rank n and degree 0 on X_s and the n -th symmetric product of the compactified Jacobian $\widehat{X}_s \simeq X_s$. The reason for taking duals comes from Proposition 10 and Lemma 1: the skyscraper sheaf $\kappa([\xi_i^*])$ is the Fourier-Mukai transform of \mathcal{L}_i , and if $n_0 = 0$, then $n_1 x_1^* + \cdots + n_r x_r^*$ is the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F}_s)$.

As we have seen, when \mathcal{F}_s moves in a flat family, $C(\mathcal{F}_s)$ moves to give the spectral cover of \mathcal{F} defined in 3. We can then extend (19) to the whole elliptic fibration $X \rightarrow B$ under some technical assumptions (namely, that B is *normal of dimension bigger than zero* and the generic fiber is *smooth*).

There are two different varieties that parametrize flat families of clusters of points on the fibers. The first one is the *Hilbert scheme* $\text{Hilb}^n(X/B) \rightarrow B$ of B -flat subschemes of \widehat{X} of fiberwise dimension 0 and length n . The second one is the *relative symmetric n -product* $\text{Sym}_B^n X$ of $X \rightarrow B$. They are not isomorphic in general, only birational; actually, there is a Chow morphism $\text{Hilb}^n(X/B) \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n X$ mapping a cluster of length n to the n points defined by the cluster, which induces an isomorphism $\text{Hilb}^n(X'/B) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n X'$, where $X' \rightarrow B$ is the smooth locus of $p: X \rightarrow B$.

Let us denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ the (coarse) moduli scheme of torsion-free and semistable sheaves of rank n and degree 0 on the fibers of $X \rightarrow B$, by $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ the open subscheme of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ defined by those sheaves on fibers which are S -equivalent to a direct sum of line bundles (see [107] or Appendix A).

If \mathcal{F} is a sheaf on $X \rightarrow B$ defining a B -valued point of $\mathbf{M}(n, 0)$, then the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F})$ is flat of degree n over B , and then defines a B -valued point of $\text{Hilb}^n(X'/B)$ which depends only on the S -equivalence class of \mathcal{F} . One easily deduce that we can define in that way a morphism of B -schemes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C}': \mathcal{M}(n, 0) &\rightarrow \text{Hilb}^n(X'/B) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n X' \\ [\mathcal{F}] &\mapsto C(\mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

where $[\mathcal{F}]$ is the point of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0)$ defined by \mathcal{F} .

We now have [71, Theorem 2.1]

Theorem 2 \mathbf{C}' is an isomorphism $\mathbf{C}': \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hilb}^n(X'/B) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n X'$ and extends to an isomorphism of B -schemes $\mathbf{C}: \overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$. For every geometric point $\mathcal{F} \sim \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{L}_i \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_i)$ the image $\mathbf{C}([\mathcal{F}])$ is the point of $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ defined by $n_1 \xi_1^* + \cdots + n_r \xi_r^*$.

We denote by $J^n \rightarrow B$ the relative Jacobian of line bundles on $p: X \rightarrow B$ fiberwise of degree n . We have an isomorphism $\tau: J^n \xrightarrow{\sim} J^0$ which is the translation $\tau(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-n\Theta)$, and also the natural involution $\iota: J^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} J^0$ mapping a line bundle to its inverse. Let $\gamma: J^n \xrightarrow{\sim} J^0$ be the composition $\gamma = \iota \circ \tau$, so that if $x_1 + \cdots + x_n$ is a positive divisor in X'_s , then $\gamma[\mathcal{O}_{X_s}(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)] = [\mathcal{L}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_n^*]$, where $[\mathcal{L}_i^*]$ corresponds to x under $\varpi: X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$.

Theorem 3 There is a commutative diagram of B -schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(n, 0) & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \text{Sym}_B^n(\widehat{X}') \\ \det \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_n \\ J^0 & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \widehat{J}^n \end{array}$$

where \det is the “determinant” morphism and ϕ_n is the Abel morphism of degree n .

The previous Theorem generalizes [57, Theorem 3.14] and can be considered as a global version of the results obtained in Section 4 of [61] about the relative moduli space of locally free sheaves on $X \rightarrow B$ whose restrictions to the fibers have rank n and trivial determinant. Theorem 3 leads to these results by using standard structure theorems for the Abel morphism.

Let \mathcal{L}_n be a universal line bundle over $q: \widehat{X} \times_B J^n \rightarrow J^n$. The Picard sheaf $\mathcal{P}_n = R^1 q_*(\mathcal{L}_n^{-1} \otimes \omega_{\widehat{X}/B})$ is a locally free sheaf of rank n and then defines a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_n^*) = \text{Proj } S^\bullet(\mathcal{P}_n)$. We now have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{M}_U(n, 0) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Sym}_U^n X_U & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_n^*|_U) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{M}(n, 0) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Sym}_B^n(X') & \xrightarrow{\text{dense}} & \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{P}_n^*) \\
 \downarrow \text{det} & & \downarrow \text{Abel} & \swarrow & \\
 J^0 & \xleftarrow{\sim} & J^n & &
 \end{array}$$

where $U \hookrightarrow B$ is the open subset supporting the smooth fibers of $p: X \rightarrow B$ and $X_U = p^{-1}(U)$. The immersions of the symmetric products into the projective bundles follow from the structure of the Abel morphism (cf. [1]).

Corollary 3 $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_n \simeq (\text{det})_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}(n,0)}(\Theta_{n,0})$.

Since $e^*(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_n) \simeq (p_* \mathcal{O}_X(nH))^*$, we obtain the structure theorem proved in [61]: Let $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) = (\text{det})^{-1}(\widehat{e}(B))$ be the subscheme of those locally free sheaves in $\mathcal{M}(n, 0)$ with trivial determinant and $\mathcal{M}_U(n, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) \cap \mathcal{M}_U(n, 0)$.

Corollary 4 *There is a dense immersion of B -schemes $\mathcal{M}(n, \mathcal{O}_X) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_n)$, where $\mathcal{V}_n = p_*(\mathcal{O}_X(nH))$. Moreover, this morphism induces an isomorphism of U -schemes $\mathcal{M}_U(n, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}_n|_U)$.*

The case of nonzero relative degree

The study of relatively stable sheaves of positive degree on elliptic fibrations was done in [22, 37]. The first thing to do is to characterize WIT_0 sheaves. We need a preliminary result (cf. also [32]), whose proof is given to show the techniques employed.

Lemma 2 *A coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X is WIT_0 if and only if*

$$\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}_\xi) = 0$$

for every $\xi \in \widehat{X} \simeq X$.

PROOF. By Proposition (10), \mathcal{P}_ξ is WIT_1 and $\Phi^1(\mathcal{P}_\xi) = \kappa(\xi^*)$, where ξ^* is the point of \widehat{X}_t corresponding to \mathcal{P}_ξ^* ($t = p(x)$). Then, Parseval formula (Proposition 2) implies that

$$\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}_\xi) \simeq \text{Hom}_{D(\widehat{X})}(\Phi(\mathcal{F}), \kappa(\xi^*)[1]).$$

If \mathcal{F} is not WIT_0 , there is a point $\widehat{\xi}^* \in \widehat{X}$ such that a nonzero morphism $\Phi^1(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \kappa(\widehat{\xi}^*)$ exists. This gives rise to a non-zero morphism $\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \kappa(\widehat{\xi}^*)[1]$ in the derived category, so that $\text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P}_\xi) \neq 0$. The converse is straightforward. ■

Proposition 16 *Let \mathcal{F} be a relatively (semi)stable sheaf on X , with $d(\mathcal{F}) > 0$. Then \mathcal{F} is WIT_0 and its Fourier-Mukai transform $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is relatively (semi)stable.*

We don't give a complete proof (it can be founded in [22]). The idea is to use the previous Lemma to show that the sheaf is WIT_0 and to apply the invertibility of the Fourier-Mukai transform to get a contradiction from the assumption that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ could be destabilized.

Corollary 5 *Let \mathcal{F} be a torsion-free semistable sheaf on X_t of degree $d > 0$. Then $H^1(X_t, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P}_\xi) = 0$ for every $\xi \in \widehat{X}_t$.*

Proposition 16 also gives the characterization of semi(stable) sheaves of relative negative degree. They are WIT_1 and their Fourier-Mukai transforms are also (semi)stable.

As a side result of these results, we see that the relative Fourier-Mukai transform provides a characterization of some moduli spaces of relatively stable bundles. Let $J_n \rightarrow B$ be the relative Jacobian of invertible sheaves of relative degree n and $\bar{J}_n \rightarrow B$ the natural compactification of J_n obtained by adding to J_n the B -flat coherent sheaves on $p: X \rightarrow B$ whose restrictions to the fibers of are torsion-free, of rank one and degree n [1]. Proposition 16 gives (cf. [22, 37]):

Theorem 4 *Let \mathcal{N} be an invertible sheaf on X of relative degree m , and let $\mathcal{M}(n, nm - 1)$ be the moduli space of rank n relatively μ -stable sheaves on $X \rightarrow B$ of degree $nm - 1$. The Fourier-Mukai transform induces an isomorphism of B -schemes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{J}_n & \xrightarrow{\Phi^0 \otimes \mathcal{N}} & \mathcal{M}(n, nm - 1) \\ \mathcal{L} & \mapsto & \Phi^0(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{N}. \end{array}$$

6.2 Absolutely semistable sheaves on an elliptic surface

In this section we apply the theory so far developed to the study of the moduli space of absolutely stable sheaves on an elliptic surface.

We rely on the computation of the Chern character of the Fourier-Mukai transforms provided by (12). This enable us to the study of the preservation of stability. We shall see that stable sheaves on spectral covers transform to absolutely stable sheaves on the surface and prove that in this way one obtains an open subset of the moduli space of absolutely stable sheaves on the surface.

In the whole section the base B is a *projective smooth curve*.

The elliptic surface is polarized by $H = a\Theta + b\mathfrak{f}$ for suitable positive integers a and b . Any effective divisor $i_t: C \hookrightarrow X$ is then polarized by the restriction $H_C = H \cdot C$ of H . Then, even if the curve C is not integral, we can define rank, degree and Simpson stability for pure sheaves concentrated on C as discussed in Appendix A.

Moreover, a pure sheaf \mathcal{Q} of dimension 1, with support contained in C is Simpson (semi)stable with respecto to H_C if and only if $i_*\mathcal{Q}$ is Simpson (semi)stable with respecto to H

Preservation of absolute stability

Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X flat over B with Chern character (n, Δ, s) with $n > 1$. Assume that the restrictions of \mathcal{F} to the fibers X_s are semistable of degree 0. Then \mathcal{F} is WIT_1 and the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F})$ is a Cartier divisor finite of degree n over B (Proposition 14). Moreover $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is a sheaf of pure dimension 1 whose support is contained in $C(\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 17 *For any integer $a > 0$ there is $b_0 > 0$ depending only on the numerical invariants (n, c, s) , such that for any $b > 0$ the following is true: \mathcal{F} is (semi)stable on X with respect to $H = a\Theta + b\mathfrak{f}$ if and only if $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is (semi)stable on X with respect to $H = a\Theta + b\mathfrak{f}$, and then, if and only if $\mathcal{L} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}|_{C(\mathcal{F})}$ is (semi)stable as pure dimension 1 sheaf on the spectral cover $C(\mathcal{F})$.*

PROOF. By (12), one has

$$\chi(X, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(mH)) = (nb - nae - as)m + c - ne + \frac{1}{2}nc_1 \quad (20)$$

where $n = \text{ch}_0(\mathcal{F})$, $c = c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \Theta$, $\text{ch}_2(\mathcal{F}) = sw$, $e = -\Theta^2$ and $c_1 = c_1(B)$. The Simpson slope of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is

$$\mu(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) = \frac{c - ne + \frac{1}{2}nc_1}{nb - nae - as}.$$

Let now

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0 \quad (21)$$

be an exact sequence. Then \mathcal{G} is concentrated on $C(\mathcal{F})$, so that it is WIT_0 and the Fourier-Mukai transform $\bar{\mathcal{F}} = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ has relative degree 0 and it is WIT_1 by Proposition 13. Reasoning as above, the Simpson slope of \mathcal{G} is

$$\mu(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{\bar{c} - \bar{n}e + \frac{1}{2}\bar{n}c_1}{\bar{n}b - \bar{n}ae - \bar{a}s},$$

where bars denote the topological invariants of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover one has the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \widehat{K} \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume that \mathcal{F} is semistable with respect to H and that (21) is a destabilizing sequence. Then $\mu(\mathcal{G}) > \mu(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$. Since the family of subsheaves of \mathcal{F} is bounded, there is a finite number of possibilities for the Hilbert polynomial of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$. Since the denominators of $\mu(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mu(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$ are positive, the condition $\mu(\mathcal{G}) > \mu(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})$ is equivalent to

$$(\bar{n}c - n\bar{c})b + a(n\bar{c} - \bar{n}c + c\bar{s} - \bar{c}s + e(\bar{n}s - n\bar{s}) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(n\bar{s} - \bar{n}s)) > 0$$

Again because there is a finite number of possibilities for the Hilbert polynomial of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$, there is a finite number of possibilities for $n\bar{c} - \bar{n}c + c\bar{s} - \bar{c}s + e(\bar{n}s - n\bar{s}) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(n\bar{s} - \bar{n}s)$. Thus, for fixed $a > 0$ and $b \gg 0$ the destabilizing condition is

$$n\bar{c} - \bar{n}c > 0.$$

On the other hand, the semistability of \mathcal{F} implies that

$$\frac{c_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}) \cdot (a\Theta + bf)}{\bar{n}} \leq \frac{c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot (a\Theta + bf)}{n}$$

that is, $n\bar{c} - \bar{n}c \leq 0$, which is a contradiction. The corresponding semistability statement is proven analogously.

For the converse, assume that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is semistable on X with respect to $H = a\Theta + b\mathfrak{b}$ for $b \gg 0$ and that

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

is a destabilizing sequence. We can assume that $\bar{n} < n$ and that \mathcal{Q} is torsion free and H -semistable; moreover one has $nc_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}) \cdot H > \bar{n}c_1(\mathcal{F}) \cdot H$, that is, $n(a\bar{c} + b\bar{d}) > \bar{n}ac$.

The sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ is WIT_1 so that $\bar{d} \leq 0$ by Proposition 15. Assume first that $\bar{d} < 0$ and let ρ be the maximum of the integers $nc_1(\bar{\mathcal{F}}) \cdot \Theta - \text{rk}(\bar{\mathcal{F}})c$ for all nonzero subsheaves $\bar{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} . Then $n(a\bar{c} + b\bar{d}) - \bar{n}ac \leq a\rho + nb\bar{d}$ is strictly negative for b sufficiently large, which is absurd. It follows that $\bar{d} = 0$ and the destabilizing condition is

$$n\bar{c} - \bar{n}c > 0.$$

Moreover $d(\mathcal{Q}) = 0$. Since \mathcal{Q} is torsion free, for every $s \in B$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{F}}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_s \rightarrow 0$$

so that \mathcal{Q}_s is semistable of degree 0. Then \mathcal{Q} is WIT_1 and one has an exact sequence of Fourier-Mukai transforms:

$$0 \rightarrow \widehat{\bar{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Q}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proceeding as above we see that the semistability of \mathcal{F} for $b \gg 0$ implies that $n\bar{c} - \bar{nc} \leq 0$, which is a contradiction. ■

Then absolute stability with respect to $a\Theta + bb$ is preserved for $b \gg 0$ depending on a and on the Chern character (n, Δ, s) . This was proved in a different way in [71]; similar results can be founded in [78, 120].

Notice that (20) is deduced from the formula

$$\chi(C(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}(mH)) = \chi(X, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(mH)) = (C(\mathcal{F}) \cdot H) m + \frac{1}{2} C(\mathcal{F}) \cdot c_1(X) + \text{ch}_2(i_* \mathcal{L})$$

where $i: C(\mathcal{F}) \hookrightarrow X$ is the immersion, so that the polarized rank of \mathcal{L} is 1 in agreement with Proposition 17, and its Euler characteristic is

$$\chi(C(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2} C(\mathcal{F}) \cdot c_1(X) + \text{ch}_2(i_* \mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2} n(c_1 - e) + \text{ch}_2(i_* \mathcal{L})$$

We then see that given a Cartier divisor $i: C \hookrightarrow X$ flat of degree n over B and a pure dimension one sheaf \mathcal{L} of C of polarized rank 1 and we write $\ell = C \cdot \Theta$ and $r = \chi(C, \mathcal{L})$, then the numerical invariants of $\mathcal{F} = \widehat{\Phi}(i_* \mathcal{L})$ are given according to (13) by

$$\text{rk}(\mathcal{F}) = n, \quad d = 0 \quad c = ne + r - \frac{1}{2} nc_1, \quad s = \ell - ne \quad (22)$$

Moduli of absolutely stable sheaves and compactified Jacobian of the universal spectral cover

In this subsection we shall prove that there exists a universal spectral cover over a Hilbert scheme and that the geometric integral functor embeds the compactified Jacobian of the universal spectral cover as an open subspace the moduli space of absolutely stable sheaves on the elliptic surface (cf. [71]). Most of what is needed has been proven in the preceding subsection.

We start by describing the spectral cover of a relatively semistable sheaf in terms of the isomorphism $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \simeq \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ provided by Theorem 2. There is a “universal” subscheme

$$C \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$$

defined as the image of the closed immersion $\widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^{n-1} \widehat{X} \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$, $(\xi, \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{n-1}) \mapsto (\xi, \xi + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{n-1})$. The natural morphism $g: C \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ is finite and generically of degree n . Let $A: S \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ be a morphism of B -schemes and let $C(A) = (1 \times A)^{-1}(C) \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}_S$ be the closed subscheme of \widehat{X}_S obtained by pulling the universal subscheme back by the graph $1 \times A: \widehat{X}_S \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times_B \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ of A . There is a finite morphism $g_A: C(A) \rightarrow S$ induced by g .

By Theorem 2, a S -flat sheaf \mathcal{F} on X_S fiberwise torsion-free and semistable of rank n and degree 0 defines a morphism $A: S \rightarrow \text{Sym}_S^n(\widehat{X}_S)$; we easily see from Lemma 1 that

Proposition 18 $C(A)$ is the spectral cover associated to \mathcal{F} , $C(A) = C(\mathcal{F})$.

When $S = B$, A is merely a section of $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} \simeq \overline{\mathcal{M}}(n, 0) \rightarrow B$. In this case, $C(A) \rightarrow B$ is flat of degree n because it is finite and B is a smooth curve. The same happens when the base scheme is of the form $S = B \times T$, where T is an arbitrary scheme:

Proposition 19 For every morphism $A: B \times T \rightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ of B -schemes, the spectral cover projection $g_A: C(A) \rightarrow B \times T$ is flat of degree n .

If the section A takes values in $\text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}' \simeq \mathcal{M}(n, 0) \rightarrow B$, then $g_A: C(A) \rightarrow B$ coincides with the spectral cover constructed in [61].

Let now \mathcal{H} be the Hilbert scheme of sections of the projection $\hat{\pi}_n: \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} \rightarrow B$. If T is a k -scheme, a T -valued point of \mathcal{H} is a section $B \times T \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} \times T$ of the projection $\hat{\pi}_n \times 1: \text{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X} \times T \rightarrow B \times T$,

that is, a morphism $B \times T \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$ of B -schemes. There is a universal section $\mathcal{A}: B \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sym}_B^n \widehat{X}$. It gives rise to a “universal” spectral cover $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \hookrightarrow \widehat{X} \times \mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 19, the “universal” spectral cover projection $g_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow B \times \mathcal{H}$ is flat of degree n . It is endowed with a relative polarization $\Xi = H \times \mathcal{H}$ where $H = a\Theta + b\mathfrak{f}$ for $a > 0$ and $b \gg 0$.

Let $\bar{\mathbf{J}}^r \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the functor of sheaves of pure dimension one, polarized rank one, Euler characteristics r and semistable with respect to Ξ on the fibers of the flat family of curves $\rho: \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.

Let \mathcal{H}_ℓ be the subscheme of those points $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\rho^{-1}(h) \cdot \Theta = \ell$. The subscheme \mathcal{H}_ℓ is a disjoint union of connected components of \mathcal{H} and then we can decompose ρ as a union of projections $\rho_\ell: \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\ell$. We decompose $\bar{\mathbf{J}}^r$ accordingly into functors $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_\ell^r$.

By Theorem 1.21 of [107] (cf. Theorem 6) there exists a coarse moduli scheme $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\ell^r$ for $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_\ell^r$. It is projective over \mathcal{H}_ℓ and can be considered as a “compactified” relative Jacobian of the universal spectral cover $\rho_\ell: \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\ell$. The open subfunctor \mathbf{J}_ℓ^r of $\bar{\mathbf{J}}_\ell^r$ corresponding to stable sheaves has a fine moduli space \mathcal{J}_ℓ^r and it is an open subscheme of $\bar{\mathcal{J}}_\ell^r$.

On the other side we can consider the coarse moduli scheme $\bar{\mathcal{M}}(a, b)$ torsion-free sheaves on X that are semistable with respect to $aH + b\mu$ and have Chern character (n, Δ, s, w) where $d = \Delta \cdot \mathfrak{f} = 0$, and the values of $c = \Delta \cdot \Theta$ and s are giving by (22). We also have the corresponding moduli functor $\bar{\mathbf{M}}(a, b)$ (see again [107]). Let $\mathcal{M}(a, b) \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}(a, b)$ the open subscheme defined by the stable sheaves. It is a fine moduli scheme for its moduli functor $\mathbf{M}(a, b)$.

Given $a > 0$, let us fix b_0 so that Proposition 17 holds for ℓ and (n, c, s) , and take $b > b_0$.

Lemma 3 *The geometric integral functor induces morphisms of functors*

$$\widehat{\Phi}^0: \bar{\mathbf{J}}_{p,\ell}^r \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbf{M}}(a, b), \quad \widehat{\Phi}^0: \mathbf{J}_{p,\ell}^r \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}(a, b)$$

that are representable by open immersions.

Theorem 5 *The geometric integral functor gives a morphism $\widehat{\Phi}^0: \bar{\mathcal{J}}_{p,\ell}^r \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{M}}(a, b)$ of schemes that induces an isomorphism*

$$\widehat{\Phi}^0: \mathcal{J}_{p,\ell}^r \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{M}'_{p,\ell}(a, b),$$

where $\mathcal{M}'_{p,\ell}(a, b)$ is the open subscheme of those sheaves in $\mathcal{M}(a, b)$ whose spectral cover is finite over $S = B \times T$ and verifies $\chi(C_t) = 1 - p$, $C_t \cdot \Theta = \ell$ for every $t \in T$.

Remark 1 *A similar result to Proposition 17 about preservation of absolute stability is also true for elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds [8]. Similar results to Theorem 5 are true as well.*

7 Applications to string theory and mirror symmetry

We describe here a few applications of Fourier-Mukai functor theory to string theory and mirror symmetry.

7.1 Generalities on D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds

The name D-brane is a contraction of Dirichlet brane. D-branes occur in type IIA, type IIB and type I string theory as dynamical objects on which strings can end. The coordinates of the attached strings satisfy Dirichlet boundary conditions in the directions normal to the brane and Neumann boundary conditions in the directions tangent to the brane. Further, a D_p -brane is p dimensional, where p is even for type IIA strings, odd for type IIB strings and 1, 5 or 9 for type I strings.

One typically distinguishes between two types of D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds called A-type or B-type D-branes. A-type D-branes occur in IIB string theory and B-type D-branes in IIA string theory. All topological invariants of B-type D-brane are given by an element of a particular K-theory group [88, 118]. The D-brane RR-charge can then be written [88] as:

$$Q(E) = \mathrm{ch}(i_! E) \sqrt{\widehat{A}(X)}. \quad (23)$$

where $\hat{A}(X)$ denotes the A -roof genus; note that on a Calabi-Yau manifold $\hat{A}(X) = \text{td}(X)$. Furthermore, in order to give a supersymmetric configuration the mass M of a D-brane and its central charge have to satisfy the inequality $M \geq |Z(Q)|$. Here $Z(Q)$ is the Bogomolnyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS)⁵ central charge is defined in terms of the prepotential F (a function on the complexified Kähler moduli space) and $Q(E)$.

Definition 4 (*B-type at large volume*). *A holomorphic D-brane on a Calabi-Yau manifold X is given by a triple (C, E, ∇) where C is a holomorphic submanifold of X and ∇ is a holomorphic connection on E and so E a holomorphic vector bundle.*

If $C = X$, that is, if the D-brane is wrapped over X then supersymmetry requires that ∇ has to satisfy the hermitian Yang-Mills equations and thus E has to be a μ -stable vector bundle. If the branes are wrapped around holomorphic submanifolds of X then the hermitian Yang-Mills equations must be replaced by a generalization of the Hitchin equations [70]. More precisely, the gauge fields which are polarized transverse to C are replaced by “twisted” scalars Φ ; these are one forms in the normal bundle of C in X [28].

The above point of view can be generalized if one takes into account that a holomorphic vector bundle defined on a holomorphic submanifold C defines a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G} = i_*E$ (with $i: C \hookrightarrow X$ being the inclusion map).

Moreover, Kontsevich’s homological mirror symmetry conjecture, tachyon condensation [102] and the fact that K -theory [118] classifies D-brane charges has led to the proposal that Kontsevich’s mirror conjecture could be physically realized via off-shell states in the open string B -model; this suggested to consider D-branes as objects in the derived category of coherent sheaves [52, 53] are then to represent those objects the D-brane/anti-D-brane configurations (whereas maps between objects in the derived categories are represented by tachyons and localization on quasi-isomorphisms is expected to be realized by renormalization-group flow). By all progress, there are still many open problems in identifying open string B -model boundary states with objects in $D^b(X)$, for instance, it is hard to confirm that the localization on quasi-isomorphisms is actually realized physically by renormalization-group flow. For a recent review on these developments see [11]. Formulating a general definition of D-branes is still an open problem, however, a preliminary definition can be given as follows:

Definition 5 (*B-type*). *A B-type BPS brane on a Calabi-Yau manifold X is a Π -stable object in the bounded derived category $D^b(X)$ on X .*

At the large volume limit where D-branes are represented by coherent sheaves, we can choose any of the available stability notions for them, like slope stability, Gieseker stability or in the generalized Simpson approach. However, we know that as we move away from the large volume limit, D-branes are no longer represented by sheaves but rather they are objects of the derived category. Indeed, the transformation mirroring certain symplectic automorphisms on the sLag side (like Kontsevich monodromies) are conjecturally the automorphisms of the derived category, and there are evidences in this direction [9, 51, 10, 73]. Then a stable sheaf is transformed in an object of the derived category and we need a notion of stability for those new objects. Douglas made the first attempt to define a notion of stability for D-branes, called Π -stability [53, 52, 54] so that stable branes correspond to BPS states. Π -stability has been originally introduced in [55] as a generalization of μ -stability; in particular, it has been shown that in the large volume limit Π -stability reduces to μ -stability, respectively, to the θ -stability notion at the orbifold point at which the description of D-branes involves supersymmetric gauge theories constructed from quivers. Since Π -stability depends

⁵Note that a BPS state is a state that is invariant under a nontrivial subalgebra of the full supersymmetry algebra. BPS states carry conserved charges. The supersymmetry algebra determines the mass of the state in terms of its charges. Formally speaking, the central charge is an operator (or constant) that appears on the right-hand side of a Lie algebra and commutes with all operators in the algebra (for example Virasoro algebra or supersymmetry algebras). In $N = 2$ supersymmetric Seiberg-Witten theory it has been shown that the central charge becomes geometrically; depending there on the periods of a particular elliptic curve and electric and magnetic charges. For details see for example [119]. This concept carries over for $N = 2$ type II string theories on Calabi-Yau threefolds; the central charge depending now on the periods of the holomorphic three-form and the RR-charges.

on the periods of the Calabi-Yau manifold, it can be used to predict the lines of marginal stability in the compactification moduli space, at which the *BPS* spectrum is expected to jump. This has been analyzed in [15, 12].

There have been many attempts to make this notion rigorous from a mathematical point of view. It seems that one cannot define when an object is stable, but define all the stable objects as a whole. In other words, one can define certain special subcategories of the derived category whose objects would correspond to the *BPS* branes. The key references are Bridgeland papers [30, 31] where the notion of stability for a triangulated category D is established and it is shown that there is a complex manifold $\text{Stab}(D)$ parametrizing stability conditions on D . When $D = D^b(X)$ is the derived category of a Calabi-Yau manifold, then $\text{Stab}(D^b(X))$ is a finite dimensional complex manifold on which $\text{Aut}(X)$ acts naturally. The points of $\text{Stab}(D^b(X))$ correspond to t-structures on $D^b(X)$ together with some extra data defined by Harder-Narasimhan filtrations.

The space $\text{Stab}(D^b(X))/\text{Aut}(X)$ is proposed by Bridgeland as the first approximation to the stringy Kähler moduli space. The problem of computing this space is very hard; in the case of K3 surfaces, Bridgeland has computed $\text{Stab}(D^b(X))$ [30]. However, the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ is still unknown. Very recently stability conditions for projective spaces and del Pezzo surfaces has been also founded [84]. The very interesting case of open Calabi-Yau threefolds is in progress.

Definition 6 (*A-type*). *A special Lagrangian D-brane in a Calabi-Yau manifold Y is given by a triple (Σ, E, ∇) where Σ is a special Lagrangian submanifold of Y and E a flat vector bundle on Σ with a flat connection ∇ .*

Remark 2 *Note that Σ is said to be special Lagrangian if the following conditions hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}\omega|_{\Sigma} &= 0 \\ \text{Re}(e^{i\theta}\Omega|_{\Sigma}) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

where Ω is the holomorphic three-form, ω the Kähler form and θ is an arbitrary phase. Equivalently to the second equation, one can require that Ω pulls back to a constant multiple of the volume element on Σ .

Remark 3 *A more precise definition of A-type branes has to take as well into account destabilizing quantum effects arising from open string tadpoles [11]. Also one expects A-type branes to be objects of the Fukaya category of Y , and so B-type branes should be mapped to A-type branes, using the homological mirror symmetry conjecture of Kontsevich. Furthermore, for A-type branes the central charge is the integral of the holomorphic three-form over the Lagrangian submanifold Σ .*

Remark 4 *A given A-type or B-type D-brane can be deformed by deforming the submanifold and the bundle with its connection such that the deformations respect the BPS-condition, i.e., a given D-brane stays supersymmetric (the submanifold is holomorphic or special Lagrangian and the bundle holomorphic or flat, respectively). So the space of all continuous deformations of the triple, say (C, E, ∇) , is the D-brane moduli space, denoted by \mathcal{M}_{hol} for B-type branes and $\mathcal{M}_{\text{slag}}$ for A-type branes. If we map a A-type brane in $\mathcal{M}_{\text{slag}}$ to its submanifold Σ , we can define a fibration $p: \mathcal{M}_{\text{slag}}(\Sigma, E, \nabla) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{slag}}(\Sigma, Y)$, where $\mathcal{M}_{\text{slag}}(\Sigma, Y)$ denotes the space of all continuous deformations of the submanifold Σ . Having defined this fibration, one can ask whether the space of one-forms on Σ can be identified with the tangent space of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{slag}}(\Sigma, Y)$ (preserving thereby the special Lagrangian condition). A theorem by McLean [87] states that first-order deformations of a special Lagrangian map $f: \Sigma \rightarrow Y$ are canonically identified with $H_{\text{DR}}^1(\Sigma, \mathbf{R})$ and that all first-order deformations of $f: \Sigma \rightarrow Y$ can be extended to actual deformations implying that the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{slag}}(\Sigma, Y)$ of special Lagrangian maps from Σ to Y is a smooth manifold of dimension $b_1(\Sigma)$. McLean's result together with the generalized mirror conjecture of Kontsevich suggests [113] the identification of the number $h^1(\Sigma)$ of complex moduli (assuming here a pairing of the number $b_1(\Sigma)$ of real moduli with the same number of real moduli of the $U(1)$ bundle) with the number $h^1(C, \text{Ext}(E))$ of moduli of the vector bundle over C on the holomorphic side. So one expects that actually the dimensions of A-type and B-type D-brane moduli spaces agree.*

7.2 T-duality as a relative Fourier-Mukai transform

Let us now discuss how the relative Fourier-Mukai transformation acts on D-branes on elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds. It is known that T-duality on the elliptic fiber maps in general (the subscripts indicate whether fiber f or base B is contained (resp. contains) the wrapped world-volume)

$$\begin{aligned}
 D6 &\rightarrow \tilde{D}4_B \\
 D4_B &\rightarrow \tilde{D}6 \quad , \quad D4_f \rightarrow \tilde{D}2_B \\
 D2_B &\rightarrow \tilde{D}4_{\tilde{f}} \quad , \quad D2_f \rightarrow \tilde{D}0 \\
 D0 &\rightarrow \tilde{D}2_{\tilde{f}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

One can describe T-duality on the T^2 fiber maps given in 24 at the sheaf level. For this let us consider the skyscraper sheaf $\mathbb{C}(x)$ at a point x of X . It is a WIT_0 sheaf and its FM transform $\Phi^0(\mathbb{C}(x))$ is a torsion-free rank one sheaf \mathcal{L}_x on the fiber of X over $p(x)$, because with the identification $X \simeq \tilde{X}$ the point x corresponds precisely to \mathcal{L}_x (see [21] or [71]) as we expect from 24 and thus we see $D0 \rightarrow \tilde{D}2_{\tilde{f}}$.

For the topological invariants we have indeed $n = x = a = 0, S = \eta = 0, s = 1$ and then

$$\text{ch}_i(\Phi^0(\mathbb{C}(x))) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 3, \quad \text{ch}_2(\Phi^0(\mathbb{C}(x))) = f \tag{25}$$

If we start with \mathcal{O}_Θ ; proceeding as in (3.16) of [71] we have⁶

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi^0(\mathcal{O}_\Theta) &= \mathcal{O}_X, & \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_\Theta) &= 0 \\
 \Phi^0(\mathcal{O}_X) &= 0, & \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_X) &= \mathcal{O}_\Theta \otimes p^*\omega_B
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Then \mathcal{O}_Θ transforms to the structure sheaf of X and \mathcal{O}_X transforms to a line bundle on Θ as we expect from 24 since $D4_B \leftrightarrow \tilde{D}6$. We have as before the transformations at the cohomology level;

$$n = 0, \quad x = 1, \quad S = 0, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2}c_1, \quad a = 0, \quad s = \frac{1}{6}\Theta c_1^2$$

and then we get

$$\text{ch}_0(\Phi^0(\mathcal{O}_\Theta)) = 1, \quad \text{ch}_i(\Phi^0(\mathcal{O}_\Theta)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

Finally, let us consider a sheaf \mathcal{F} on B ; by 26 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Phi^0(\mathcal{O}_\sigma \otimes p^*\mathcal{F}) &= p^*\mathcal{F} \quad , & \Phi^1(\mathcal{O}_\Theta \otimes p^*\mathcal{F}) &= 0 \\
 \Phi^0(p^*\mathcal{F}) &= 0 \quad , & \Phi^1(p^*\mathcal{F}) &= \mathcal{O}_\Theta \otimes p^*\mathcal{F} \otimes p^*\omega_B
 \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Then, a sheaf $\mathcal{O}_\Theta \otimes p^*\mathcal{F} = \sigma_*\mathcal{F}$ supported on a curve \tilde{C} in B embedded in X via the section σ transforms to a sheaf on the elliptic surface supported on the inverse image of \tilde{C} in X and vice versa. This is what we expected from the map $D2_B \leftrightarrow \tilde{D}4_{\tilde{f}}$ of 24.

Then at the sheaf level we have the relations 24 appropriate for the fiberwise T-duality on D-branes

$$\begin{aligned}
 D4_B &\rightarrow \tilde{D}6 \\
 D2_B &\rightarrow \tilde{D}4_{\tilde{f}} \\
 D0 &\rightarrow \tilde{D}2_{\tilde{f}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

⁶The formulae differ from those in [71] because we are using a different Poincaré sheaf

Adiabatic character of T-duality

T-duality on fibers has an adiabatic character, that is, by using a decomposition of the cohomology into base and fiber parts the operation of the fiberwise duality on the cohomology will be seen to take the form one gets from an adiabatic extension of the same operation on the cohomology of a torus of complex dimension 1 (an elliptic non-singular curve), fulfilling the expectations from the interpretation as T-duality on D-branes.

Let us see that the action of the Fourier-Mukai transform in cohomology, that is the expressions for $\text{ch}(\Phi(E))$ and $\text{ch}(\hat{\Phi}(E))$ as described in (17) and (18), once an appropriated twisted charge is introduced, shows the desired adiabatic character of T-duality.

We now modify the action of Fourier-Mukai transform in cohomology by twisting with an appropriate charge. To this end, we introduce the *effective charge* of a D-brane state $G \in D(X)$ by

$$Q(G) = \text{ch}(G) \cdot \sqrt{\text{td}(X)} \tag{29}$$

in agreement with (23) and consider the so-called **f**-map. This is the map $\mathbf{f}: H(X, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H(X, \mathbb{Q})$ given by

$$\mathbf{f}(x) = \hat{\pi}_*(\pi^*(x) \cdot Z), \text{ where } Z = \sqrt{\hat{\pi}^* \text{td}(X)} \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{P}) \cdot \sqrt{\pi^* \text{td}(X)}$$

If $x = 0$ (G has degree zero on fibers) and its Chern characters $\text{ch}_1(G)$ and $\text{ch}_2(G)$ belong respectively to $\mathbb{Q}\Theta \oplus H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})\Theta \oplus \mathbb{Q}$,⁷ one sees from (17) that the effective charge of G transforms to

$$\bar{\mathbf{f}}(Q(G)) = M \cdot Q(G)$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the map between the effective charges of the D-brane state defined by G and its FM transform *can be exhibited as the transformation matrix given by the adiabatic extension M of the usual T-duality matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the fiber. The proof that the latter matrix is the matrix for T-duality on one non-singular fiber, that is, for the Fourier-Mukai transform on a non-singular elliptic curve was stated in that form by the first time in [32].

7.3 D-branes and homological mirror symmetry

We recall here that Kontsevich proposed a homological mirror symmetry [81] for a pair (X, Y) of mirror dual Calabi-Yau manifolds; it is conjectured that there exists a categorical equivalence between the bounded derived category $D(X)$ and Fukaya's A_∞ category $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ which is defined by using the symplectic structure on Y . An object of $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ is a special Lagrangian submanifolds with a flat $U(1)$ bundle on it. If we consider a family of manifolds Y the object of $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ undergoes monodromy transformations. On the other side, the object of $D(X)$ is a complex of coherent sheaves on X and under the categorical equivalence between $D(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ the monodromy (of three-cycles) is mapped to certain self-equivalences in $D(X)$. We

⁷For many families of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds for which a mirror family has been constructed (cf. [40, 43], all elements in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ are of this form

listed in subsection 3.1 some examples of $D(X)$ self-equivalences provided by Fourier-Mukai functors and mentioned Orlov's theorem 1 saying that any self-equivalence of $D(X)$ is a Fourier-Mukai functor.

Now since all elements in $D(X)$ may be represented by suitable complexes of vector bundles on X , we can consider the topological K-group and the image $K_{\text{hol}}(X)$ of $D(X)$. The Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi^{\mathcal{E}} : D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$ induces then a corresponding automorphism $K_{\text{hol}}(X) \rightarrow K_{\text{hol}}(X)$ and also an automorphism on $H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ if one uses the Chern character ring homomorphism $\text{ch} : K(X) \rightarrow H^{\text{even}}(X, \mathbb{Q})$. With this in mind one can now introduce various kernels and their associated monodromy transformations.

For instance, let D be a divisor in X and consider the kernel $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(D)$ with Δ being the diagonal in $X \times X$; the corresponding Fourier-Mukai transform acts on an object $G \in D(X)$ as twisting by a line bundle $G \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)$, this automorphism is then identified with the monodromy about the large complex structure limit point (LCSL-point) in the complex structure moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}(Y)$.

Furthermore, considering the kernel given by the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_{Δ} on Δ one has that the effect of $\Phi^{\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}}$ on $H^{\text{even}}(X)$ can be expressed by taking the Chern character ring homomorphism:

$$\text{ch}(\Phi^{\mathcal{I}_{\Delta}}(G)) = \text{ch}_0(\Phi^{\mathcal{O}_{X \times X}}(G)) - \text{ch}(G) = \left(\int \text{ch}(G) \cdot \text{td}(X) \right) - \text{ch}(G) \quad (30)$$

Kontsevich proposed that this automorphism should reproduce the monodromy about the principal component of the discriminant of the mirror family Y . At the principal component we have vanishing S^3 cycles (and the conifold singularity) thus this monodromy may be identified with the Picard-Lefschetz formula.

Now given a pair of mirror dual Calabi-Yau threefolds, like the examples given by Candelas and others [42, 41, 40, 43, 26], and using the fact that A -type and B -type D-branes get exchanged under mirror symmetry, we can make Kontsevich's correspondence between automorphisms of $D(X)$ and monodromies of three-cycles explicit.

For this we first choose a basis for the three-cycles $\Sigma_i \in H^3(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the intersection form takes the canonical form $\Sigma_i \cdot \Sigma_j = \delta_{j, i+b_{2,1}+1} = \eta_{i,j}$ for $i = 0, \dots, b_{2,1}$. It follows that a three-brane wrapped about the cycle $\Sigma = \sum_i n_i \Sigma^i$ has an (electric, magnetic) charge vector $\mathbf{n} = (n_i)$. The periods of the holomorphic three-form Ω are then given by

$$\Pi_i = \int_{\Sigma_i} \Omega \quad (31)$$

and can be used to provide projective coordinates on the complex structure moduli space; more precisely, if we choose a symplectic basis (A_i, B_j) of $H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ then the A_i periods serve as projective coordinates and the B_j periods satisfy the relations $\Pi^j = \eta_{i,j} \partial F / \partial \Pi^i$ where F is the prepotential which has near the large radius limit the asymptotic form (cf. [43, 75, 74])

$$F = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{abc} k_{abc} t_a t_b t_c + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ab} c_{ab} t_a t_b - \sum_a \frac{c_2(X) J_a}{24} t_a + \frac{\zeta(3)}{2(2\pi i)^3} \chi(X) + \mathcal{O}(q).$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the Euler characteristic of X , c_{ab} are rational constants (with $c_{ab} = c_{ba}$) reflecting an $Sp(2h^{1,1} + 2)$ ambiguity and k_{abc} is the classical triple intersection number given by

$$k_{abc} = \int_X J_a \wedge J_b \wedge J_c.$$

The periods determine the central charge $Z(\mathbf{n})$ of a three-brane wrapped about the cycle $\Sigma = \sum_i n_i [\Sigma_i]$

$$Z(\mathbf{n}) = \int_{\Sigma} \Omega = \sum_i n_i \Pi_i, \quad (32)$$

following the conventions used in the literature, we will write $\sum_i n_i \Pi_i = n_6 \Pi_1 + n_4^1 \Pi_2 + n_4^2 \Pi_3 + n_0 \Pi_4 + n_2^1 \Pi_5 + n_2^2 \Pi_6$. The Π 's are given by the associated period vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Pi_1 \\ \Pi_2 \\ \Pi_3 \\ \Pi_4 \\ \Pi_a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} k_{abc} t_a t_b t_c + \frac{c_2(X) J_a t_a}{24} \\ -\frac{1}{2} k_{abc} + c_{ab} t_b + \frac{c_2(X) J_a}{24} \\ 1 \\ t_a \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other side, the central charge associated with an object E of $D(X)$ is given by [70]

$$Z(E) = - \int_X e^{-t_a J_a} \text{ch}(E) \left(1 + \frac{c_2(X)}{24}\right). \quad (33)$$

The two central charges are to be identified under mirror symmetry thus by comparing the expressions 32 with 33, one obtains a map relating the Chern-classes of E to the D-brane charges \mathbf{n} . We find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_0(E) &= n_6 \\ \text{ch}_1(E) &= n_4^a J_a \\ \text{ch}_2(E) &= n_2^b + c_{ab} n_4^a \\ \text{ch}_3(E) &= -n_0 - \frac{c_2(X) J_b}{12} n_4^b. \end{aligned}$$

If we insert the expressions for $\text{ch}(E)$ in 30, we find the linear transformation acting on \mathbf{n}

$$n_6 \rightarrow n_6 + n_0 \quad (34)$$

which agrees with the monodromy transformation about the conifold locus.

Similarly one finds that the monodromy transformation about the large complex structure limit point corresponds to automorphisms

$$[E] \rightarrow [E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)] \quad (35)$$

where D is the associated divisor defining the large radius limit in the Kähler moduli space. Using the central charge identification, the automorphism/monodromy correspondence has been made explicit [47, 9, 10] for various dual pairs of mirror Calabi-Yau threefolds (given as hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces). This identification provides evidences for Kontsevich's proposal of homological mirror symmetry.

Fiberwise T-duality as a Kontsevich monodromy

Another striking consequence of the identification of T-duality for an elliptic Calabi-Yau threefold $b: X \rightarrow B$ with the relative Fourier-Mukai transform $\Phi: D(X) \rightarrow D(X)$ with kernel the Poincaré relative sheaf \mathcal{P} , is that we can describe T-duality as a composition of self-equivalences of $D(X)$ that correspond to Kontsevich monodromies. We can then derive the matrix of the action of T-duality on cohomology.

As a consequence of the expression of the Poincaré sheaf (7), the relative Fourier-Mukai transform or T-duality can be expressed as a composition

$$\Phi = \Phi^{\mathcal{O}_\Delta(2c_1)} \circ \Phi^{\mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Theta)} \circ \Phi^{j_* \mathcal{I}} \circ \Phi^{j_* \mathcal{O}_\Delta(\Theta)}$$

where $j: X \times_B X \hookrightarrow X \times X$ is the immersion and \mathcal{I} is the ideal of the “relative” diagonal $X \hookrightarrow X \times_B X$. All the geometric integral functors in the above formula correspond to Kontsevich monodromies, with the possible exception of $\Phi_{j_* \mathcal{I}}$. Since $\mathcal{I} = \text{ideal of “relative” diagonal } X \hookrightarrow X \times_B X$ and $\mathcal{I}_\Delta = \text{ideal of diagonal } X \hookrightarrow X \times X$, $\Phi_{j_* \mathcal{I}}$ is not Kontsevich's conifold $\Phi_{\mathcal{I}_\Delta}$, but it is somehow similar.

7.4 Application to heterotic string theory

A compactification of the ten-dimensional heterotic string is given by a holomorphic, stable G -bundle V over a Calabi-Yau manifold X . The Calabi-Yau condition, the holomorphy and stability of V are a direct consequence of the required supersymmetry in the uncompactified space-time. We assume that the underlying ten-dimensional space M_{10} is decomposed as $M_{10} = M_4 \times X$ where M_4 (the uncompactified space-time) denotes the four-dimensional Minkowski space and X a six-dimensional compact space given by a Calabi-Yau threefold. Now let us be more precise: supersymmetry requires that the connection A on V satisfies

$$F_A^{2,0} = F_A^{0,2} = 0, \quad F^{1,1} \wedge J^2 = 0.$$

It follows that the connection has to be a holomorphic connection on a holomorphic vector bundle and in addition to satisfy the Donaldson-Uhlenbeck-Yau equation that has a unique solution if the vector bundle is μ -stable. The first topological condition the vector bundle has to satisfy, is

$$c_1(V) = 0 \pmod{2}$$

to ensure that the bundle V admits a spin-structure.

In addition to X and V one has to specify a B -field on X of field strength H . In order to get an anomaly free theory, the Lie group G is fixed to be either $E_8 \times E_8$ or $Spin(32)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ or one of their subgroups and H has to satisfy the identity

$$dH = \text{tr } R \wedge R - \text{Tr } F \wedge F$$

where R and F are the associated curvature forms of the spin connection on X and the gauge connection on V . Also tr refers to the trace of the composite endomorphism of the tangent bundle to X and Tr denotes the trace in the adjoint representation of G . For any closed four-dimensional submanifold X_4 of the ten-dimensional space-time M_{10} , the four form $\text{Tr } F \wedge R - \text{Tr } F \wedge F$ must have trivial cohomology since

$$\int_{X_4} dH = \int_{X_4} \text{tr } R \wedge R - \text{Tr } F \wedge F = 0.$$

The identity simplifies to $\text{tr } R \wedge R = \text{Tr } F \wedge F$ and can be written in terms of Chern classes of the tangent bundle TX and V . Thus the second topological condition V has to satisfy is

$$c_2(TX) = c_2(V).$$

A physical interpretation of the third Chern-class can be given as a result of the decomposition of the ten-dimensional space-time into a four-dimensional flat Minkowski space and X . The decomposition of the corresponding ten-dimensional Dirac operator with values in V shows that: *massless four-dimensional fermions are in one to one correspondence with zero modes of the Dirac operator D_V on X .*

More precisely, the spectrum of charged matter is directly related to properties of X and V . So, let us obtain the spectrum of massless fermions! We start in ten dimensions with the Dirac equation $iD_{10}\Psi = 0 = i(D_4 + D_X)\Psi$ further making the ansatz $\Psi = \psi(x)\phi(y)$ where x and y are coordinates on X respectively M_4 . If ψ is an eigenspinor of eigenvalue m then it follows $iD_X\psi = m\psi$ and so we get $(iD_4 + m)\phi = 0$. So we learn that ψ looks like a fermion of mass m , to a four-dimensional observer. Thus, massless four-dimensional fermions are in one to one correspondence with zero modes of the Dirac operator on X . The charged four-dimensional fermions are obtained from ten-dimensional ones, which transform under the adjoint of E_8 .

Now since massless fermions in four dimensions are related to the zero modes of the Dirac operator on X , they can be related to the cohomology groups $H^k(X, V)$. The index of the Dirac operator can be written as

$$\text{index}(D) = \int_X \text{td}(X) \text{ch}(V) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X c_3(V),$$

equivalently, one can write the index as $\text{index}(D) = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^k \dim H^k(X, V)$. For stable vector bundles one has $H^0(X, V) = H^3(X, V) = 0$ and so

$$\dim H^2(X, V) - \dim H^1(X, V) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X c_3(V)$$

whose absolute value gives the net-number of fermion generations N_{gen} . It has been observed in nature that $N_{\text{gen}} = 3$ thus one would like to find vector bundles with $c_3(V) = \pm 6$. In case $V = TX$, one has to search for Calabi-Yau threefolds of Euler characteristic ± 6 . This inspired an earlier work by Tian and Yau [110].

Note 1 *For a detailed introduction to string theory and further aspects of the heterotic string we refer to [65, 64, 98] and [99]. For a recent discussion of world-sheet stability issues see [106, 24] and [23]. Some aspects of vector bundles and bundle cohomology, in the context of string theory, have been studied for example in [17, 14, 46, 49] and in [50].*

The inclusion of background five-branes changes the topological constrain [101, 56, 59]. Now from classical Maxwell theory it is known that in four dimensions the magnetic dual of the electron is the monopole. In the same way one obtains that the magnetic dual of the fundamental heterotic string in ten dimensions is the heterotic five-brane. Various five-brane solutions of the heterotic string equations of motion have been discussed in [39, 38]: the gauge five-brane, the symmetric five-brane and the neutral five-brane. It has been shown that the gauge and symmetric five-brane solution involve finite size instantons of an unbroken non-Abelian gauge group. In contrast, the neutral five-branes can be interpreted as zero size instantons of the $SO(32)$ heterotic string [117].

The magnetic five-brane contributes a source term to the Bianchi identity for the three-form H ,

$$dH = \text{tr } R \wedge R - \text{Tr } F \wedge F - n_5 \sum_{\text{five-branes}} \delta_5^{(4)}$$

and integration over a four-cycle in X gives

$$c_2(TX) = c_2(V) + [W].$$

The new term $\delta_5^{(4)}$ is a current that integrates to one in the direction transverse to a single five-brane whose class is denoted by $[W]$. The class $[W]$ is the Poincaré dual of an integer sum of all these sources and thus $[W]$ should be an integral class, representing a class in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. $[W]$ can be further specified taking into account that supersymmetry requires that five-branes are wrapped on holomorphic curves thus $[W]$ must correspond to the homology class of holomorphic curves. This fact constraints $[W]$ to be an algebraic class. Further, algebraic classes include negative classes, however, these lead to negative magnetic charges, which are un-physical, and so they have to be excluded. This constraints $[W]$ to be an effective class. This is actually the unique constrain because, as mentioned above, supersymmetry implies that TX and V are holomorphic bundles and since the characteristic classes of holomorphic bundles are algebraic, it follows that $[W] = c_2(TX) - c_2(V)$ is algebraic. Thus for a given Calabi-Yau threefold X the effectivity of $[W]$ constraints the choice of vector bundles V .

In summary, we are looking for stable holomorphic vector bundles on Calabi-Yau threefolds whose characteristic classes satisfy the constraints

$$c_1(V) = 0 \pmod{2}, \quad [W] = c_2(TX) + \text{ch}_2(V).$$

However, to follow the spirit of the present paper we will restrict to the discussion of vector bundles on elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds.

Three approaches to construct holomorphic vector bundles on elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau threefolds, with structure group the complexification $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ of a compact Lie group G , have been introduced in [59]. The parabolic bundle approach applies for any simple G . One considers deformations of certain

minimally unstable G -bundles corresponding to special maximal parabolic subgroups of G . The spectral cover approach (i.e., a relative Fourier-Mukai transformation) applies for $SU(n)$ and $Sp(n)$ bundles. The del Pezzo surface approach applies for E_6 , E_7 and E_8 bundles and uses the relation between subgroups of G and singularities of del Pezzo surfaces. Various aspects of these approaches have been further explored in [27, 61, 60, 48, 14, 49, 51, 32, 35, 21, 19, 83, 2, 44, 46].

In what follows we require, as in other parts of this work, that our elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau three-fold $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ has a section σ (in addition to the smoothness of B and X). Remember that this (and the Calabi-Yau condition) restricts the base B to be a Hirzebruch surface (F_m , $m \geq 0$), a del Pezzo surface (dP_k , $k = 0, \dots, 8$), a rational elliptic surface (dP_9), blown-up Hirzebruch surfaces or an Enriques surface [49, 90].

Now following our above discussion we can define a sheaf on X in two ways

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \Phi^0(i_*\mathcal{L}) & \pi_{C*}\mathcal{L} &= \sigma^*V \\ \tilde{V} &= \hat{\Phi}^0(i_*\mathcal{L}) & \pi_{C*}\mathcal{L} &= \sigma^*\tilde{V} \otimes \omega_B \end{aligned}$$

where $i: C \hookrightarrow X$ is the closed immersion of C into X where \tilde{V} is related to V by [6] $\tilde{V} = \tau^*V \otimes \pi^*\omega_B$. We can now determine the topological invariants of the Fourier-Mukai transform of a general complex \mathcal{G} in the derived category using the expressions derived in 16. So if we start with the sheaf $E = i_*\mathcal{L}$ with Chern characters given by

$$\text{ch}_0(i_*\mathcal{L}) = 0, \quad \text{ch}_1(i_*\mathcal{L}) = n\Theta + \pi^*\eta, \quad \text{ch}_2(i_*\mathcal{L}) = \Theta\pi^*\eta_E + a_E F, \quad \text{ch}_3(i_*\mathcal{L}) = s_E$$

with $\eta_E, \eta \in H^2(B, \mathbb{Q})$, then the Chern characters of the Fourier-Mukai transform $V = \Phi^0(i_*\mathcal{L})$ of $i_*\mathcal{L}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_0(V) &= n \\ \text{ch}_1(V) &= \pi^*(\eta_E - \frac{1}{2}nc_1) \\ \text{ch}_2(V) &= (-\pi^*\eta)\Theta + (s_E - \frac{1}{2}\pi^*\eta_E c_1\Theta + \frac{1}{12}nc_1^2\Theta)F \\ \text{ch}_3(V) &= -a_E + \frac{1}{2}\Theta c_1\pi^*\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Now η_E, a_E and s_E are not completely arbitrary. Also we have not given an explicit expression for $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ so far. For this we analyze the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem applied to the n -sheeted cover $\pi_C: C \rightarrow B$ which gives

$$\text{ch}(\pi_{C*}\mathcal{L}) \text{td}(B) = \pi_{C*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{L}) \text{td}(C))$$

and so we find

$$c_1(\sigma^*V) + \frac{1}{2}c_1(B) = \pi_{C*}(c_1(\mathcal{L}) + \frac{c_1(C)}{2}).$$

For $(1, 1)$ classes α on B we have $\pi_{C*}\pi_C^*\alpha = n\alpha$ and σ^* applied to V gives $c_1(\sigma^*V) = \eta_E - \frac{1}{2}nc_1(B)$ so we get

$$\pi_{C*}(c_1(\mathcal{L})) = \pi_{C*}\left(-\frac{c_1(C)}{2} + \frac{\pi_C^*\eta_E}{n}\right)$$

which gives

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = -\frac{c_1(C)}{2} + \frac{\pi_C^*\eta_E}{n} + \gamma,$$

where $\gamma \in H^{1,1}(C, \mathbb{Z})$ is some cohomology class satisfying $\pi_{C*}\gamma = 0 \in H^{1,1}(B, \mathbb{Z})$. The general solution for γ has been derived in [59] and is given by $\gamma = \lambda(n\Theta|_C - \pi_C^*\eta + n\pi_C^*c_1(B))$ with λ some rational number which we will specify below. Let us also note γ restricted to $S = C \cap \Theta$ is given by $\gamma|_S = -\lambda\pi^*\eta(\pi^*\eta - n\pi^*c_1(B))\Theta$.

Having fixed $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ we can now go on and determine a_E and s_E in terms of η_E . For this apply the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem to $i: C \hookrightarrow X$ which gives $\text{ch}(i_*\mathcal{L}) \text{td}(X) = i_*(\text{ch}(\mathcal{L}) \text{td}(C))$. We note that $i_*(1) = C$ and using the fact that $i_*(c_1(B)\gamma) = 0$ a simple computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} a_E &= \gamma|_s + \frac{1}{n}\eta_E\eta \\ s_E &= \frac{1}{24}nc_1^2 + \frac{1}{2n}\eta_E^2 - \varpi \end{aligned}$$

where ϖ is given by

$$\varpi = -\frac{1}{24}c_1(B)^2(n^3 - n) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)n\eta(\eta - nc_1(B)).$$

A priori $\Phi^0(i_*\mathcal{L})$ gives $U(n)$ vector bundles on X whose properties have been analyzed in detail in [7]. To make contact with the work of [59] let us describe the reduction from $U(n)$ to $SU(n)$ and thus recover the second Chern class of an $SU(n)$ vector bundles originally computed in [59] and the third Chern class evaluated in [2, 46].

In order to describe the reduction to $SU(n)$ we specify the class $\eta_E = \frac{1}{2}nc_1$ giving $c_1(V) = 0$. If we insert this into the above expressions for a_E and s_E we find the new expressions

$$\begin{aligned} a_E &= \gamma|_s + \frac{1}{2}c_1\eta \\ s_E &= \frac{1}{6}nc_1^2\Theta - \varpi, \end{aligned}$$

and so we find the Chern-classes of an $SU(n)$ vector bundle V on the elliptic fibered Calabi-Yau threefold

$$r(V) = n, \quad c_1(V) = 0, \quad c_2(V) = \pi^*(\eta)\Theta + \pi^*(\varpi), \quad c_3(V) = -2\gamma|_s$$

in agreement with [59, 2, 46].

Now using the fact that on an elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau threefold we can decompose $W = W_B + a_f \mathfrak{f}$ where W_B is the class of a curve in B and \mathfrak{f} the fiber of X , we find

$$W_B = \Theta\pi^*(12c_1(B) - \eta), \quad a_f = c_2(B) + 11c_1(B)^2 - \varpi.$$

Remark 5 Note that in [59] it has been shown that for a vector bundle V with structure group $E_8 \times E_8$, the number of five-branes a_f agrees with the number N of three-branes required for anomaly cancellation in F -theory on a Calabi-Yau fourfold Y given by $\frac{\chi(Y)}{24}$. If the structure group G of V is contained in E_8 , then the observed physical gauge group in four-dimensions corresponds to the commutant \tilde{H} of G in E_8 , typically being of ADE-type. This leads to a generalized physical set-up: The heterotic string compactified on X and a pair of G -bundles is dual to F -theory compactified on a Calabi-Yau fourfold Y with section and a section θ of ADE singularities. The generalized set-up has been analyzed in [59] and [27, 3, 4, 5]. In particular it has been shown that $\frac{\chi(Y)}{24} = a_{E_8} + a_f$ where a_{E_8} corresponds to the number of five-branes associated to the E_8 vector bundle and a_f as given above.

Remark 6 The discussion of stability of V and W depends on the properties of the defining data C and \mathcal{L} . If C is irreducible and \mathcal{L} a line bundle over C then V and W will be vector bundles stable with respect to

$$J = \epsilon J_0 + \pi^* H_B, \quad \epsilon > 0 \tag{36}$$

if ϵ is sufficiently small (cf. [61, Theorem 7.1] where the statement is proven under the additional assumption that the restriction of V to the generic fiber is regular and semistable). Here J_0 refers to some arbitrary Kähler class on X and H_B a Kähler class on the base B . It implies that the bundle V can be taken to

be stable with respect to J while keeping the volume of the fiber \mathfrak{f} of X arbitrarily small compared to the volumes of effective curves associated with the base. That J is actually a good polarization can be seen by assuming $\epsilon = 0$. Now one observes that π^*H_B is not a Kähler class on X since its integral is non-negative on each effective curve C in X , however, there is one curve, the fiber \mathfrak{f} , where the integral vanishes. This means that π^*H_B is on the boundary of the Kähler cone and to make V stable, one has to move slightly into the interior of the Kähler cone, that is, into the chamber which is closest to the boundary point π^*H_B . Also we note that although π^*H_B is in the boundary of the Kähler cone, we can still define the slope $\mu_{\pi^*H_B}(V)$ with respect to it. Since $(\pi^*H_B)^2$ is some positive multiple of the class of the fiber \mathfrak{f} , semi-stability with respect to π^*H_B is implied by semi-stability of the restrictions $V|_{\mathfrak{f}}$ to the fibers. Assume that V is not stable with respect to J , then there is a destabilizing sub-bundle $V' \subset V$ with $\mu_J(V') \geq \mu_J(V)$. But semi-stability along the fibers says that $\mu_{\pi^*H_B}(V') \leq \mu_{\pi^*H_B}(V)$. If we had equality, it would follow that V' arises by the spectral construction from a proper sub-variety of the spectral cover of V , contradicting the assumption that this cover is irreducible. So we must have a strict inequality $\mu_{\pi^*H_B}(V') < \mu_{\pi^*H_B}(V)$. Now taking ϵ small enough, we can also ensure that $\mu_J(V') < \mu_J(V)$ thus V' cannot destabilize V .

Let us now consider the case that C is flat over B . If C is not irreducible then there may exist line bundles such that $V = \Phi^0(i_*\mathcal{L})$ is not stable with respect to the polarization given by 36, however, the condition one has to impose to the spectral data in order that V is a stable sheaf on X with respect to 36, has been derived in [8] (cf. Remark 1). Actually, if C is flat over B and \mathcal{L} is a pure dimension sheaf on C then V is stable with respect to $\bar{J} = \bar{\epsilon}\Theta + \pi^*H_B$ for sufficiently small $\bar{\epsilon}$ if and only if $i_*\mathcal{L}$ is stable with respect to this polarization. Let us note here that stability with respect to 36 for ϵ sufficiently small is equivalent to stability with respect to \bar{J} for sufficiently small $\bar{\epsilon}$ if we take $J_0 = a\Theta + b\pi^*H_B$ for some positive a, b . Furthermore, note that if C is irreducible and \mathcal{L} is a line bundle the latter condition is automatically satisfied.

Moreover, V and W are simultaneously stable with respect to \bar{J} . This is not a surprise because from $ch_i(\tau^*V) = ch(V)$ we know that V and τ^*V are simultaneously stable and from $W = \tau^*V \otimes \pi^*\omega_B^{-1}$ we know that stability is the same for W and τ^*V .

Note that assuming C is flat and \mathcal{L} a pure dimension one sheaf, one finds a larger class of stable sheaves V (and W) than originally constructed in [59], because we do not need an irreducible spectral cover and that the restriction of the bundle (sheaf) to the generic fiber is regular.

Moduli of vector bundles

The number of moduli of V can be determined in two ways, depending on whether one works with V directly or with its spectral cover data (C, \mathcal{N}) . In the direct approach one is restricted to so called τ -invariant bundles and therefore to a rather special point in the moduli space, whereas the second approach is not restricted to such a point. We give a brief review of both approaches; the first approach was originally introduced in [59] making concrete earlier observations in [116]. The issue of τ -invariance has been also addressed in [50].

The first approach starts with the index of the $\bar{\partial}$ operator with values in $\mathcal{E}xt(V)$. The index can be evaluated using

$$\text{index}(\bar{\partial}) = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i \dim H^i(X, \mathcal{E}xt(V)).$$

As this index vanishes by Serre duality on the Calabi-Yau threefold, one has to introduce a further twist to get a non-trivial index problem. This is usually given if the Calabi-Yau space admits a discrete symmetry group [116]. In case of elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau manifolds one has such a group G given by the involution τ coming from the “sign flip” in the elliptic fibers. One assumes that this symmetry can be lifted to an action on the bundle at least at some point in the moduli space [59]. In particular the action of τ lifts to an action on the adjoint bundle $ad(V)$, the traceless endomorphisms of $\mathcal{E}xt(V)$. It follows that the index of the $\bar{\partial}$ operator generalizes to a character valued index where for each $g \in G$ one defines $\text{index}(g) =$

$\sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^{i+1} \text{Tr}_{H^i(X, ad(V))} g$ where $\text{Tr}_{H^i(X, ad(V))}$ refers to a trace in the vector space $H^i(X, ad(V))$. The particular form of this index for elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds has been determined in [59] (with $g = 1 + \frac{\tau}{2}$) one finds $\text{index}(g) = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^{i+1} \dim H^i(X, ad(V))_e$ where the subscript “e” indicates the projection onto the even subspace of $H^i(X, ad(V))$. One can compute this index using a fixed point theorem as shown in [59].

The second approach makes intuitively clear where the moduli of V are coming from, namely, the number of parameters specifying the spectral cover C and by the dimension of the space of holomorphic line bundles \mathcal{L} on C . The first number is given by the dimension of the linear system $|C| = |n\Theta + \eta|$. The second number is given by the dimension of the Picard group $\text{Pic}(C) = H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^*)$ of C . One thus expects the moduli of V to be given by [36]

$$h^1(X, \mathcal{E}xt(V)) = \dim |C| + \dim \text{Pic}(C).$$

If C is an irreducible, effective, positive divisor in X one can evaluate $h^1(X, \mathcal{E}xt(V))$ using the Riemann-Roch theorem.

7.5 Feedback to derived categories

The interpretation of (topological) D-branes on a Calabi-Yau threefold as objects of the derived category, has brought new interest to the old problem of ascertaining to what extent the derived category determines the geometry of the variety.

A lot of work in that direction has been done and a general answer could be that the derived category $D(X)$ contains plenty of information about the variety X itself. The first of the number of milestones in the path that leads from the derived category of an algebraic variety to the variety itself, is due to Bondal and Orlov [29]:

Proposition 20 *Assume that X is a smooth projective variety and let K_X be the canonical divisor. If either K_X or $-K_X$ is ample (X is of general type or Fano), then X can be reconstructed from $D(X)$.*

The result is not true for other kinds of algebraic surfaces. Mukai had proven by that time [91] that there exist non isomorphic abelian varieties and also non isomorphic K3 surfaces having equivalent derived categories. In the case of K3 surfaces, Orlov [97] gave a much precise statement, that be thought as a Torelli type theorem for K3’s. He proved that two complex K3-surfaces have equivalent derived categories if and only if the transcendental lattices of their cohomology spaces are Hodge-isometric.

Du to the fact that every isomorphism of derived categories is a Fourier-Mukai functor, as we reported in Theorem 1, two varieties with isomorphic derived categories are also known as *Mukai partners* or *mirror partners*. After Mukai, the problem of finding Fourier-Mukai partners has been considered by Bridgeland-Maciocia [35] and Kawamata [79]; they have proved that if X is a smooth projective surface, then there is a finite number of surfaces Y (up to isomorphisms) such that $D(X) \simeq D(Y)$. There are recent works about counting the number of Mukai partners and determining the structure of the finite set of such partners (cf. [76, 108] for K3 surfaces or [112] for elliptic surfaces). For Calabi-Yau threefolds, Bridgeland [34] has proven the following result:

Proposition 21 *If two Calabi-Yau threefolds X and Y are birational, then they have equivalent derived categories, $D(X) \simeq D(Y)$.*

If a given Calabi-Yau manifold X undergoes a “flop-transition” (i.e., if a rational curve C is blown-down and then blown-up in a transverse direction) one typically finds that the topological type of X changes. In particular, if one starts from X and a collection of holomorphic curves C^i on X then the second Chern-class $c_2(X)$ of X changes according to a theorem by Tian and Yau [110] as $c_2(X') = c_2(X) + 2 \sum_i \int_D [C^i]$ where D is an arbitrary divisor and $[C^i] \in H^4(X)$ which is Poincare dual of C^i . From the physics point of view such transitions have been studied in [16] (for a review see also [66]) where it has been shown that the conformal field theory associated to the singular target space X_{sing} is perfectly well defined (i.e.,

one can “smoothly” go from X to X'). However, if one includes D-branes one expects certain jumps. For instance, the Calabi-Yau manifold X can be considered (to some approximation) as the moduli space of 0-branes thus it must undergo some transition during the flop [52]. These transitions have been analyzed (using Π -stability) in [13], showing that only 0-branes associated to C are affected by the flop transition. Moreover, from the physics of the B-model one expects that the derived categories of X and X' are equal, in agreement with Proposition 21.

One can make the following conjecture (cf. also [37]):

Conjecture 1 *If we have two Calabi-Yau threefolds X and Y with $D(X) \simeq D(Y)$, then X is deformation equivalent to a birational model of Y .*

This conjecture has great interest in string theory as well, since whenever two Calabi-Yau threefolds have the same derived category, they should have the same D-branes.

The conjecture is still unproven. Căldăraru [37] has found some explicit models of Fourier-Mukai partners for three-dimensional Calabi-Yau threefolds. In order to give evidences for the conjecture (or in the contrary to disprove it), it will be very interesting to exhibit new ones.

When the problem of reconstructing the variety from the derived category is considered for varieties other than Calabi-Yau threefolds, there are important contributions due to Kawamata. He proved that if X, Y are smooth projective varieties with $D(X) \simeq D(Y)$, then $n = \dim X = \dim Y$ and if moreover $\kappa(X) = n$ (that is, X is of general type), then there exist birational morphisms $f: Z \rightarrow X, g: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $f^*K_X \sim g^*K_Y$ [79].

A Simpson stability for pure sheaves

Here we give a brief account of Simpson stability for pure sheaves, introduced to construct moduli spaces of stable and semistable sheaves which may fail to be torsion-free. The interested reader is referred to [107] for details.

A.1 Simpson’s slope and reduced Hilbert polynomial

The notions of rank, slope, actually the whole Hilbert polynomial, can be extended to arbitrary sheaves. The definition depends on the choice of a polarization (or an ample divisor in more algebraic geometry language). Take then a projective scheme Y with a polarization H , that we take very ample by the sake of simplicity. This means that there is a closed immersion of Y into a projective space \mathbb{P}^N such that H is the intersection of Y with a hyperplane class. For every sheaf \mathcal{E} on Y there is a polynomial $P(\mathcal{E}, n)$ with rational coefficients and degree $s = \dim \text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})$ such that

$$P(\mathcal{E}, n) = \chi(Y, \mathcal{E}(n)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \dim H^i(Y, \mathcal{E}(n)), \quad (\mathcal{E}(n) = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(nH))$$

This is the *Hilbert polynomial* of \mathcal{E} and can be written in the form

$$P(\mathcal{E}, n) = \frac{r(\mathcal{E})}{s!} n^s + \frac{d(\mathcal{E})}{(s-1)!} n^{s-1} + \dots$$

where $r(\mathcal{E})$ and $d(\mathcal{E})$ are integer numbers. These numbers are close relatives to the rank $\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})$ and the degree $\text{deg}(\mathcal{E})$ with respect to H when Y is irreducible and \mathcal{E} is torsion-free as we shall see in the following subsection.

Simpson defined the *reduced Hilbert polynomial* and the *slope* of \mathcal{E} as the polynomial $p_S(\mathcal{E})$ defined by

$$p_S(\mathcal{E}, n) = \frac{P(\mathcal{E}, n)}{r(\mathcal{E})}, \quad \mu_S(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{d(\mathcal{E})}{r(\mathcal{E})}$$

A.2 Pure sheaves and stability

When Y is irreducible, a sheaf \mathcal{E} on Y is torsion-free precisely when it has no subsheaves supported by a subvariety of smaller dimension. The latter property has a sense for any Y , and this was taken as the notion that substitutes torsion-freeness when we are on non irreducible varieties. The right definition is

Definition 7 *A coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} is pure of dimension $s = s(\mathcal{E})$ if the support of any non-zero subsheaf $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ has dimension s as well.*

With this definition, torsion-free sheaves on connected varieties can be understood as pure sheaves of maximal dimension.

We define $p \leq q$ for two rational polynomials whenever $p(n) \leq q(n)$ for $n \gg 0$; then Simpson definition of semistability and stability is as follows

Definition 8 *A coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on Y is (Gieseker) semistable if it is pure of dimension $s = s(\mathcal{E})$ and for every non-zero subsheaf $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ one has*

$$p_S(\mathcal{F}) \leq p_S(\mathcal{E}).$$

A sheaf is (Gieseker) stable if $p_S(\mathcal{F}) < p_S(\mathcal{E})$ for every non-zero subsheaf $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ with $r(\mathcal{F}) < r(\mathcal{E})$.

For slope stability we have

Definition 9 *A coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on Y is μ_S -semistable if it is pure of dimension $s = s(\mathcal{E})$ and for every non-zero subsheaf $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ one has*

$$\mu_S(\mathcal{F}) \leq \mu_S(\mathcal{E}).$$

A sheaf is μ_S -stable if $\mu_S(\mathcal{F}) < \mu_S(\mathcal{E})$ for every non-zero subsheaf $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ with $r(\mathcal{F}) < r(\mathcal{E})$.

A direct computation shows that we have implications

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_S\text{-stable} & \implies & \text{Gieseker stable} \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \mu_S\text{-semistable} & \longleftarrow & \text{Gieseker semistable} \end{array}$$

We may wonder whether those stability conditions are equivalent to the usual ones for a torsion-free sheaf \mathcal{E} on an irreducible projective variety Y . In this case, Gieseker (semi)stability is defined as above though using

$$p(\mathcal{E}, n) = \frac{P(\mathcal{E}, n)}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})}$$

as reduced Hilbert polynomial and also μ -(semi)stability is defined as above using the ordinary slope

$$\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\text{deg}(\mathcal{E})}{\text{rk}(\mathcal{E})}.$$

The answer is that Gieseker (semi)stability for $p_S(\mathcal{E})$ and for $p(\mathcal{E})$ are equivalent and also that μ_S and μ -(semi)stability are equivalent. The reason is that we have

$$r(\mathcal{E}) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \text{deg}(Y), \quad d(\mathcal{E}) = \text{deg}(\mathcal{E}) + \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})C \quad (37)$$

where $\text{deg}(Y)$ is the degree of Y in \mathbb{P}^N and C is a constant. For instance, if Y is a smooth surface and \mathcal{E} is locally free then the Hilbert polynomial is

$$P(\mathcal{E}, n) = \frac{H^2 \cdot \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})}{2} n^2 + (\text{deg}(\mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2} \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})H \cdot K_X) n + \chi(Y, \mathcal{E})$$

so that

$$r(\mathcal{E}) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot H^2 = \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}) \cdot \text{deg}(Y), \quad d(\mathcal{E}) = \text{deg}(\mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2} \text{rk}(\mathcal{E})H \cdot K_X,$$

in agreement with (37).

Now, (37) gives the hint of a sensible definition for the rank of a sheaf on its support

Definition 10 *Let Y be a projective scheme and H polarization in Y . The polarized rank of a coherent sheaf \mathcal{E} on Y is the rational number*

$$\text{rk}_H(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{r(\mathcal{E})}{\text{deg}(Y)}.$$

Note that if Y is irreducible and smooth and $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})$ is different from Y , then the ordinary rank of \mathcal{E} (even if defined as the Chern character ch_0 as in (2)) is zero, but the polarized rank may be not. If $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})$ is irreducible, then $\text{rk}_H(\mathcal{E}) = \text{rk}(\mathcal{E}|_{\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})})$.

Simpson constructed moduli spaces for stable and semistable sheaves both for μ_S and Gieseker stability. Let us talk simply about μ_S -stability because the analogous results for Gieseker stability are also true. We first recall the definition of S-equivalence: it is defined to ensure the existence a coarse moduli space of semistable sheaves with prescribed topological invariants. Actually the moduli space parametrizes S-equivalence classes of semistable sheaves rather than semistable sheaves themselves.

The precise definition requires the notion of the Jordan-Hölder filtration: every semistable sheaf \mathcal{F} has a filtration $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_m \supset \mathcal{F}_{m-1} \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{F}_0 = 0$ whose quotients $\mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ are stable with the same slope as \mathcal{F} . The Jordan-Hölder filtration is not unique but the graduate $G(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_i/\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ is uniquely determined by the sheaf. Two semistable sheaves \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are then called S-equivalent if $G(\mathcal{F}) \simeq G(\mathcal{G})$.

We then see that two stable sheaves are S-equivalent only when they are isomorphic.

The final existence result is

Theorem 6

1. *There exists a coarse moduli scheme $M^{ss}(Y, p(n))$ for the moduli problem of S-equivalence classes of semistable pure sheaves with fixed reduced Hilbert polynomial $p(n)$.*
2. *The moduli scheme $M^{ss}(Y, p(n))$ is projective.*
3. *The closed points of $M^{ss}(Y, p(n))$ represent S-equivalence classes of semistable sheaves on X with reduced Hilbert polynomial $p(n)$ $\mathcal{E} \sim \mathcal{E}'$ if $G(\mathcal{E}) \simeq G(\mathcal{E}')$.*
4. *There exists an open subscheme $M^s(Y, p(n)) \subseteq M^{ss}(Y, p(n))$ whose points represent the isomorphism classes of stable sheaves.*

Analogous results hold for families, that is for projective morphisms $Y \rightarrow B$ with a relative polarization and flat sheaves which are (semi)stable on the fibers.

The first construction of the moduli space of semistable torsion-free sheaves are due to Mumford [94], Narasimhan and Seshadri [95, 105] for curves (cf. also [96]), to Gieseker for surfaces [63] and to Maruyama in arbitrary dimension [85, 86]. Simpson construction [107] though still based as the other ones (with the exception of [95]) on Geometric Invariant Theory, is much simpler and works for singular varieties as well.

Acknowledgement. Björn Andreas is supported by DFG Schwerpunktprogramm (1096) “String Theory im Kontext von Teilchenphysik, Quantenfeldtheorie, Quantengravitation, Kosmologie und Mathematik”. Daniel Hernández Ruipérez is supported by DGI research project BFM2003-00097 “Transformadas Geométricas Integrales y Aplicaciones” and by JCYL research project SA114/04 “Aplicaciones de los funtores integrales a la Geometría y a la Física”.

The authors thank U. Bruzzo, C. Bartocci, J. M. Muñoz Porras and H. Kurke for useful discussions and suggestions.

References

- [1] Altman, A. B. and Kleiman, S. L. (1980). Compactifying the Picard scheme, *Adv. in Math.*, **35**, 50–112.
- [2] Andreas, B. (1999). On vector bundles and chiral matter in $N = 1$ heterotic compactifications, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 11, 11 pp. (electronic).
- [3] Andreas, B. and Curio, G. (1998). Three-branes and five-branes in $N = 1$ dual string pairs, *Phys. Lett. B*, **417**, 41–44.
- [4] Andreas, B. and Curio, G. (1999). On discrete twist and four-flux in $N = 1$ heterotic/F-theory compactifications, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, **3**, 1325–1413.
- [5] Andreas, B. and Curio, G. (2000) Horizontal and vertical five-branes in heterotic/F-theory duality, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 13, pp. 20.
- [6] Andreas, B. and Hernández Ruipérez, D.. In preparation.
- [7] Andreas, B. and Hernández Ruipérez, D.. *$U(n)$ vector bundles on Calabi-Yau threefolds for string theory compactifications*. hep-th/0410170.
- [8] Andreas, B. and Hernández Ruipérez, D. (2003). Comments on $N = 1$ heterotic string vacua, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, **7**, 751–786.
- [9] Andreas, B., Yau, S.-T., Curio, G. and Hernández Ruipérez, D., Fourier-mukai transform and mirror symmetry for D-branes on elliptic Calabi-Yau. math.AG/0012196.
- [10] Andreas, B., Yau, S.-T., Curio, G. and Hernández Ruipérez, D. (2001), Fibrewise T -duality for D-branes on elliptic Calabi-Yau, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 20, pp. 13.
- [11] Aspinwall, P. S., D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds. hep-th/0403166.
- [12] Aspinwall, P. S., D-branes, π -stability and θ -stability. hep-th/0407123.
- [13] Aspinwall, P. S., (2003). A point’s point of view of stringy geometry, *J. High Energy Phys.*, pp. 002, 15.
- [14] Aspinwall, P. S. and Donagi, R. Y. (1998). *The heterotic string, the tangent bundle and derived categories*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, **2**, 1041–1074.
- [15] Aspinwall, P. S. and Douglas, M. R. (2002). D-brane stability and monodromy, *J. High Energy Phys.*, **31**, 35.
- [16] Aspinwall, P. S. Greene, B. R. and Morrison, D. R. (1994). Calabi-Yau moduli space, mirror manifolds and spacetime topology change in string theory, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **416**, 414–480.
- [17] Aspinwall, P. S. and Morrison, D. R., (1997). Point-like instantons in $K3$ orbifolds, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **503**, 533–564.
- [18] Atiyah, M. F. (1957). Vector bundles over an elliptic curve, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), **7**, 414–452.
- [19] Bartocci, C., Bruzzo, U. and Hernández Ruipérez, D. (1997). A Fourier-Mukai transform for stable bundles on $K3$ surfaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **486**, 1–16.
- [20] Bartocci, C., Bruzzo, U., Hernández Ruipérez, D. and Jardim, M. (2005). Nahm and Fourier-Mukai transforms in geometry and mathematical physics. To appear in *Progress in Mathematical Physics*, Birkhäuser.
- [21] Bartocci, C. Bruzzo, U., Hernández Ruipérez, D. and Muñoz Porras, J. M. (1998). Mirror symmetry on $K3$ surfaces via Fourier-Mukai transform, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **195**, 79–93.
- [22] Bartocci, C. Bruzzo, U., Hernández Ruipérez, D. and Muñoz Porras, J. M. (2002). Relatively stable bundles over elliptic fibrations, *Math. Nachr.*, **238**, 23–36.

- [23] Basu, A. and Sethi, S. (2003). World-sheet stability of $(0, 2)$ linear sigma models, *Phys. Rev. D* (3), **68**, pp. 025003, 8.
- [24] Beasley, C. and Witten, E. (2003). Residues and world-sheet instantons, *J. High Energy Phys.*, **065**, 39 pp. (electronic).
- [25] Beauville, A., Narasimhan, M. S. and Ramanan, S. (1989). Spectral curves and the generalised theta divisor, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **398**, 169–179.
- [26] Berglund, P., Candelas, P. de la Ossa, X., et al. (1994). Periods for Calabi-Yau and Landau-Ginzburg vacua, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **419**, 352–403.
- [27] Bershadsky, M., Johansen, A., Pantev, T. and Sadov, V. (1997). On four-dimensional compactifications of F -theory, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **505**, 165–201.
- [28] Bershadsky, M., Vafa, C. and Sadov, V. (1996). D-branes and topological field theories, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **463**, 420–434.
- [29] Bondal, A. I. and Orlov, D. O. (2001). Reconstruction of a variety from the derived category and groups of autoequivalences, *Compositio Math.*, **125** 327–344.
- [30] Bridgeland, T.. Stability conditions on K3 surfaces. [math.AG/0307164](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0307164).
- [31] Bridgeland, T.. Stability conditions on triangulated categories. [math.AG/0212237](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0212237).
- [32] Bridgeland, T. (1998). Fourier-Mukai transforms for elliptic surfaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **498**, 115–133.
- [33] Bridgeland, T. (1999). Equivalences of triangulated categories and Fourier-Mukai transforms, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **31**, 25–34.
- [34] Bridgeland, T. (2002). Flops and derived categories, *Invent. Math.*, **147**, 613–632.
- [35] Bridgeland, T. and Maciocia, A. (2002). Fourier-Mukai transforms for $K3$ and elliptic fibrations, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **11**, 629–657.
- [36] Buchbinder, E., Donagi, R. and Ovrut, B. A. (2002). Vector bundle moduli and small instanton transitions, *J. High Energy Phys.*, **54**, 44.
- [37] Căldăraru, A. (2002). Fiberwise stable bundles on elliptic threefolds with relative Picard number one, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **334**, 469–472.
- [38] Callan, Jr., C. G., Harvey, J. A. and Strominger, A. (1991). Worldbrane actions for string solitons, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **367**, 60–82.
- [39] Callan, Jr., C. G., Harvey, J. A. and Strominger, A. (1991). Worldsheet approach to heterotic instantons and solitons, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **359**, 611–634.
- [40] Candelas, P., de la Ossa, X., Font, A., Katz, S. and Morrison, D. R. (1994). Mirror symmetry for two-parameter models. I, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **416**, 481–538.
- [41] Candelas, P., de la Ossa, X., Font, A., Katz, S. and Morrison, D. R. 1997. Mirror symmetry for two parameter models. I, in *Mirror symmetry, II*, vol. 1 of AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 483–543.
- [42] Candelas, P. de la Ossa, X., Green, P. S. and Parkes, L. (1991). A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **359**, 21–74.
- [43] Candelas, P., Font, A., Katz, S. and Morrison, D. R. (1994). Mirror symmetry for two-parameter models. II, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **429**, 626–674.
- [44] Curio, G. (1998). Chiral matter and transitions in heterotic string models, *Phys. Lett. B*, **435**, 39–48.

- [45] Deligne, P., (1975). Courbes elliptiques: formulaire d'après J. Tate, in *Modular functions of one variable, IV* (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972), Springer, Berlin, 53–73. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 476.
- [46] Diaconescu, D.-E. and Ionesei, G. (1998). *Spectral covers, charged matter and bundle cohomology*, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 1, 15 pp. (electronic).
- [47] Diaconescu, D.-E. and Römelsberger, C. (2000). D-branes and bundles on elliptic fibrations, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **574**, 245–262.
- [48] Donagi, R., (1998). Taniguchi lectures on principal bundles on elliptic fibrations, in *Integrable systems and algebraic geometry* (Kobe/Kyoto, 1997), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, , 33–46.
- [49] Donagi, R., Lukas, A., Ovrut, B. A. and Waldram, D., (1999). Holomorphic vector bundles and non-perturbative vacua in M-theory, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 34, 46 pp. (electronic).
- [50] Donagi, R., Ovrut, B. A., Pantev, T. and Waldram, D. (2001). Standard-model bundles, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, **5**, 563–615.
- [51] Donagi, R., Ovrut, B. A., Pantev, T. and Waldram, D. (2001). Standard models from heterotic M-theory, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*, **5**, 93–137.
- [52] Douglas, M. R. (2001). D-branes, categories and $N = 1$ supersymmetry, *J. Math. Phys.*, **42**, 2818–2843. Strings, branes, and M-theory.
- [53] Douglas, M. R. (2001). D-branes on Calabi-Yau manifolds, in *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II* (Barcelona, 2000), vol. **202** of Progr. Math., Birkhäuser, Basel, 449–466.
- [54] Douglas, M. R. (2002). Dirichlet branes, homological mirror symmetry, and stability, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III* (Beijing, 2002), Beijing, 2002, Higher Ed. Press, 395–408.
- [55] Douglas, M. R., Fiol, B. and Römelsberger, C., *Stability and bps branes*. hep-th/0002037.
- [56] Duff, M. J., Minasian, R. and Witten, E. (1996). Evidence for heterotic/heterotic duality, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **465**, 413–438.
- [57] Friedman, R. (1995). Vector bundles and $SO(3)$ -invariants for elliptic surfaces, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **8**, 29–139.
- [58] Friedman, R. and Morgan, J. W. (1994). *Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces*, vol. **27** of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)* [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Springer-Verlag, Berlin,
- [59] Friedman, R., Morgan, J. W. and Witten, E. (1997). Vector bundles and F theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **187**, 679–743.
- [60] Friedman, R., Morgan, J. W. and Witten, E. (1998). Principal G -bundles over elliptic curves, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **5**, 97–118.
- [61] Friedman, R., Morgan, J. W. and Witten, E. (1999). Vector bundles over elliptic fibrations, *J. Algebraic Geom.*, **8**, 279–401.
- [62] Fulton, W. (1984). *Intersection theory*, vol. **2** of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)* [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [63] Gieseker, D. (1977). On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **106**, 45–60.
- [64] Green, M. B., Schwarz, J. H. and Witten, E. (1988). *Superstring theory. Vol. 1*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second ed. Introduction.
- [65] Green, M. B., Schwarz, J. H. and Witten, E. (1988). *Superstring theory. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second ed., 1988. Loop amplitudes, anomalies and phenomenology.

- [66] Greene, B. R. (1997). String theory on Calabi-Yau manifolds, in *Fields, strings and duality* (Boulder, CO, 1996), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 543–726.
- [67] Grothendieck, A. (1961). *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 167 p.
- [68] Hartshorne, R. (1966). *Residues and duality*, With an appendix by P. Deligne. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **20**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [69] Hartshorne, R. (1977). *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [70] Harvey, J. A. and Moore, G. (1998). On the algebras of BPS states, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **197**, 489–519.
- [71] Hernández Ruipérez, D. and Muñoz Porras, J. M. (2002). Stable sheaves on elliptic fibrations, *J. Geom. Phys.*, **43**, 163–183.
- [72] Hitchin, N. J. (1987). The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, **55**, 59–126.
- [73] Horja, P., Derived category automorphisms from mirror symmetry. To appear in *Duke Mathematical Journal*, math.AG/0103231.
- [74] Hosono, S. (1998). GKZ systems, Gröbner fans, and moduli spaces of Calabi-Yau hypersurfaces, in *Topological field theory, primitive forms and related topics* (Kyoto, 1996), vol. **160** of Progr. Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 239–265.
- [75] Hosono, S., Klemm, A., Theisen, S. and Yau, S.-T. (1995). Mirror symmetry, mirror map and applications to complete intersection Calabi-Yau spaces, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **433**, 501–552.
- [76] Hosono, S., Lian, B. H., Oguiso, K. and Yau, S.-T. (2003). Fourier-Mukai partners of a $K3$ surface of Picard number one, in *Vector bundles and representation theory* (Columbia, MO, 2002), vol. **322** of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 43–55.
- [77] Illusie, L. (1990). Catégories dérivées et dualité: travaux de J.-L. Verdier, *Enseign. Math. (2)*, **36**, 369–391.
- [78] Jardim, M. and Maciocia, A. (2003). A Fourier-Mukai approach to spectral data for instantons, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **563**, 221–235.
- [79] Kawamata, Y. (2002). D -equivalence and K -equivalence, *J. Differential Geom.*, **61**, 147–171.
- [80] Kodaira, K. (1963). On compact analytic surfaces. II, III, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **77**, 563–626; *ibid.*, **78** (1963), 1–40.
- [81] Kontsevich, M. (1995). Homological algebra of mirror symmetry, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2* (Zürich, 1994), Basel, Birkhäuser, 120–139.
- [82] Lange, H. and Birkenhake, C. (1992). *Complex abelian varieties*, vol. **302** of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [83] Maciocia, A. (1996). Generalized Fourier-Mukai transforms, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **480**, 197–211.
- [84] Macrì, E. Some examples of moduli spaces of stability conditions on derived categories. math.AG/0411613.
- [85] Maruyama, M. (1977). Moduli of stable sheaves. I, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **17**, 91–126.
- [86] Maruyama, M. (1978). Moduli of stable sheaves. II, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **18**, 557–614.
- [87] McLean, R. P. *Deformations of calibrated submanifolds*. PhD thesis, Duke University.
- [88] Minasian, R. and Moore, G. (1997). K -theory and Ramond-Ramond charge, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 2, 7 pp. (electronic).
- [89] Miranda, R. (1983). Smooth models for elliptic threefolds, in *The birational geometry of degenerations* (Cambridge, Mass., 1981), vol. **29** of Progr. Math., Birkhäuser Boston, Mass., 85–133.

- [90] Morrison, D. R. and Vafa, C. (1996). Compactifications of F -theory on Calabi-Yau threefolds. II, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **476**, 437–469.
- [91] Mukai, S. (1981). Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **81**, 153–175.
- [92] Mukai, S. (1987). On the moduli space of bundles on $K3$ surfaces. I, in *Vector bundles on algebraic varieties* (Bombay, 1984), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., vol. 11, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 341–413.
- [93] Mumford, D. (1970). *Abelian varieties*, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., vol. 5, Tata Inst. Fundamental Res., Bombay.
- [94] Mumford, D., Fogarty, J. and Kirwan, F. (1994). *Geometric invariant theory*, vol. 34 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2)* [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (2)], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third ed.
- [95] Narasimhan, M. S. and Seshadri, C. S. (1965). Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **82**, 540–567.
- [96] Newstead, P. E. (1978). *Introduction to moduli problems and orbit spaces*, vol. 51 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics and Physics*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay.
- [97] Orlov, D. O. (1997). Equivalences of derived categories and $K3$ surfaces, *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, **84**, 1361–1381. Algebraic geometry, 7.
- [98] Polchinski, J. (1998). *String theory. Vol. I*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. An introduction to the bosonic string.
- [99] Polchinski, J. (1998). *String theory. Vol. II*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. Superstring theory and beyond.
- [100] Rim, D. S. (1972). Formal deformation theory, in *Groupes de monodromie en géométrie algébrique. I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, viii+523. Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1967–1969 (SGA 7 I), Dirigé par A. Grothendieck. Avec la collaboration de M. Raynaud et D. S. Rim, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 288.
- [101] Seiberg, N. and Witten, E. (1996). Comments on string dynamics in six dimensions, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **471**, 121–134.
- [102] Sen, A. (1998). Stable non-BPS states in string theory, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 7, 21 pp. (electronic).
- [103] Serre, J.-P. (1955). Faisceaux algébriques cohérents, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **61**, 197–278.
- [104] Serre, J.-P. (1965). *Algèbre locale. Multiplicités*, vol. 11 of *Cours au Collège de France, 1957–1958*, rédigé par Pierre Gabriel. Seconde édition, 1965. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [105] Seshadri, C. S. (1967). Space of unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **85**, 303–336.
- [106] Silverstein, E. and Witten, E. (1995). Criteria for conformal invariance of $(0, 2)$ models, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **444**, 161–190.
- [107] Simpson, C. T. (1994). *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 47–129.
- [108] Stellari, P. (2004). Some remarks about the FM-partners of $K3$ surfaces with Picard numbers 1 and 2, *Geom. Dedicata*, **108**, 1–13.
- [109] Thomas, R. P., Derived categories for the working mathematician. [math.AG/0001045](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0001045).
- [110] Tian, G. and Yau, S.-T. (1987). Three-dimensional algebraic manifolds with $C_1 = 0$ and $\chi = -6$, in *Mathematical aspects of string theory* (San Diego, Calif., 1986), vol. 1 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 543–559.

- [111] Tu, L. W. (1993). Semistable bundles over an elliptic curve, *Adv. Math.*, **98**, 1–26.
- [112] Uehara, H. (2004). An example of Fourier-Mukai partners of minimal elliptic surfaces, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **11**, 371–375.
- [113] Vafa, C. (1999). Extending mirror conjecture to Calabi-Yau with bundles, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **1**, 65–70.
- [114] Verdier, J.-L. (1977). Catégories dérivées. quelques résultats (etat 0), in *Cohomologie étale, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie SGA 4 $\frac{1}{2}$* , Avec la collaboration de J. F. Boutot, A. Grothendieck, L. Illusie et J. L. Verdier, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 262–311. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **569**.
- [115] Verdier, J.-L. (1997). *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*, Astérisque, (1996), xii+253 pp. With a preface by Luc Illusie, Edited and with a note by Georges Maltsiniotis.
- [116] Witten, E. (1986). New issues in manifolds of SU(3) holonomy, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **268**, 79–112.
- [117] Witten, E. (1996). Small instantons in string theory, *Nuclear Phys. B*, **460**, 541–559.
- [118] Witten, E. (1998). D-branes and \bar{K} -theory, *J. High Energy Phys.*, Paper 19, 41 pp. (electronic).
- [119] Witten, E. (1999). Dynamics of quantum field theory, in *Quantum fields and strings: a course for mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2* (Princeton, NJ, 1996/1997), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1119–1424.
- [120] Yoshioka, K. (2001). Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces, *Math. Ann.*, **321**, 817–884.

Björn Andreas
Institut für Mathematik,
Humboldt Universität zu
Berlin, D-10115
Berlin, Germany.
andreas@mathematik.hu-berlin.de

Daniel Hernández Ruipérez
Departamento de Matemáticas and
Instituto Universitario de Física Fundamental
y Matemáticas (IUFFYM),
Universidad de Salamanca,
Plaza de la Merced 1-4,
37008 Salamanca, Spain.
ruiperez@usal.es